Public Document Pack



<u>To</u>: Councillor Flynn, <u>Convener</u>; Councillor Yuill, <u>Vice Convener</u>; and Councillors Allard, Duncan, Graham, Lumsden, Avril MacKenzie, Reynolds and Townson.

Town House, ABERDEEN 27 November 2018

AUDIT, RISK AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

The Members of the AUDIT, RISK AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE are requested to meet in Committee Room 2 - Town House on TUESDAY, 4 DECEMBER 2018 at 2.00 pm.

FRASER BELL CHIEF OFFICER - GOVERNANCE

BUSINESS

DETERMINATION OF URGENT BUSINESS

1.1 There are no items of urgent business at this time

DETERMINATION OF EXEMPT BUSINESS

2.1 <u>Members are requested to determine that any exempt business be</u> considered with the Press and Public excluded

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3.1 <u>Members are requested to intimate any declarations of interest</u> (Pages 5 - 6)

REQUESTS FOR DEPUTATION

4.1 There are no requests at this time

MINUTE OF PREVIOUS MEETING

5.1 Minute of Previous Meeting of 25 September 2018 (Pages 7 - 18)

COMMITTEE BUSINESS PLANNER

6.1 Committee Business Planner (Pages 19 - 24)

NOTICE OF MOTION

7.1 There are none at this time

FINANCE, PERFORMANCE RISK AND SERVICE WIDE ISSUES

Performance and Improvement

- 8.1 <u>SPSO Decisions, Inspector of Crematoria Complaint Decisions -</u> CUS/18/297 (Pages 25 36)
- 8.2 RIPSA Activity GOV/18/073 (Pages 37 42)
- 8.3 ALEO Assurance Hub Annual Review GOV/18/235 (Pages 43 70)
- 8.4 <u>MOT Issues OPE/18/236</u> (Pages 71 78)

Control Environment and Assurance - Internal

- 8.5 Internal Audit Progress Report IA/18/017 (Pages 79 90)
- 8.6 <u>Transformation IA/AC/1910</u> (Pages 91 102)
- 8.7 Devolved Education Management IA/AC/1903 (Pages 103 114)
- 8.8 <u>Internal Transport Tendering Procedures IA/AC/1830</u> (Pages 115 126)
- 8.9 <u>Business Rates IA/AC/1911</u> (Pages 127 142)

Control Environment and Assurance - Audit Follow Up

8.10 <u>Internal Audit Follow Up on Recommendations IA/18/018</u> (Pages 143 - 178)

EHRIA's related to reports on this agenda can be viewed at Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessments

To access the Service Updates for this Committee please use the following link: https://committees.aberdeencity.gov.uk/ecCatDisplayClassic.aspx?sch=doc&cat=13450&path=0

Website Address: www.aberdeencity.gov.uk

Should you require any further information about this agenda, please contact Karen Finch, tel 01224 522723 or email kfinch@aberdeencity.gov.uk



Agenda Item 3.1

You must consider at the earliest stage possible whether you have an interest to declare in relation to any matter which is to be considered. You should consider whether reports for meetings raise any issue of declaration of interest. Your declaration of interest must be made under the standing item on the agenda, however if you do identify the need for a declaration of interest only when a particular matter is being discussed then you must declare the interest as soon as you realise it is necessary. The following wording may be helpful for you in making your declaration.

OR

I have considered whether I require to declare an interest in item (x) for the following reasons however, having applied the objective test, I consider that my interest is so remote / insignificant that it does not require me to remove myself from consideration of the item.

OR

I declare an interest in item (x) for the following reasons however I consider that a specific exclusion applies as my interest is as a member of xxxx, which is

- (a) a devolved public body as defined in Schedule 3 to the Act;
- (b) a public body established by enactment or in pursuance of statutory powers or by the authority of statute or a statutory scheme;
- (c) a body with whom there is in force an agreement which has been made in pursuance of Section 19 of the Enterprise and New Towns (Scotland) Act 1990 by Scottish Enterprise or Highlands and Islands Enterprise for the discharge by that body of any of the functions of Scottish Enterprise or, as the case may be, Highlands and Islands Enterprise; or
- (d) a body being a company:
 - i. established wholly or mainly for the purpose of providing services to the Councillor's local authority; and
 - ii. which has entered into a contractual arrangement with that local authority for the supply of goods and/or services to that local authority.

OR

I declare an interest in item (x) for the following reasons.....and although the body is covered by a specific exclusion, the matter before the Committee is one that is quasi-judicial / regulatory in nature where the body I am a member of:

- is applying for a licence, a consent or an approval
- is making an objection or representation
- has a material interest concerning a licence consent or approval
- is the subject of a statutory order of a regulatory nature made or proposed to be made by the local authority.... and I will therefore withdraw from the meeting room during any discussion and voting on that item.

This page is intentionally left blank

ABERDEEN, 25 September 2018. Minute of Meeting of the AUDIT, RISK AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE. <u>Present</u>:- Councillor Flynn, <u>Convener</u>; Councillor Yuill, <u>Vice-Convener</u>; Councillor Donnelly, the Depute Provost (as substitute for Councillor Lumsden); and Councillors Allard, Duncan, Graham, Houghton (as substitute for Councillor Reynolds until item 8.1), Avril MacKenzie and Townson and Wheeler (as substitute for Councillor Reynolds from item 8.1).

The agenda and reports associated with this minute can be found at:https://committees.aberdeencity.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=507&Ml d=6258&Ver=4

Please note that if any changes are made to this minute at the point of approval, these will be outlined in the subsequent minute and this document will not be retrospectively altered.

DETERMINATION OF EXEMPT BUSINESS

1. The Convener proposed that the Committee consider item 9.1 (Corporate Investigation Team Annual Report) (article 25 of this minute) on the agenda with the press and public excluded.

The Committee resolved:-

in terms of Section 50(A)(4) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, to exclude the press and public from the meeting during consideration of item 9.1 on the agenda so as to avoid disclosure of exempt information of the class described in paragraph 14.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- **2.** Members were requested to intimate any declarations of interest in respect of the items on the agenda, thereafter, the following declaration of interest was intimated:-
- (1) Councillor Duncan declared an interest in item 8.4 (ALEO Assurance Hub) (article 8) by virtue of her position as a Board Member of Aberdeen Performing Arts and considered that the nature of her interest did not require her to leave the meeting at that item on the agenda.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the declaration of interest.

MINUTE OF PREVIOUS MEETING OF 26 JUNE 2018

3. The Committee had before it the minute of its previous meeting of 26 June 2018.

25 September 2018

The Committee resolved:-

to approve the minute as an accurate record.

COMMITTEE BUSINESS PLANNER

4. The Committee had before it the Business Planner as prepared by the clerk.

The Committee resolved:-

- to note that items 6 (Transformation), 9 (Devolved Education Management), 11 (Internal Transport Tendering Procedures) and 14 (Digital Strategy) would be transferred to the 4 December 2018 meeting;
- (ii) to note that items 18 (Health and Social Care Partnership Charging Policy) and 22 (Money Laundering) would be transferred to the 14 February 2019 meeting; and
- (iii) to otherwise note the content of the business planner.

INFORMATION GOVERNANCE ANNUAL STATEMENT 2017-2018 - GOV/18/072

5. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Officer Business Intelligence and Performance Management which presented the annual report on the Council's Information Governance Performance, including information relating to the changes implemented through the Council's readiness approach to ensure compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018.

The report recommended:

That the Committee -

- (a) notes the information provided about the Council's information governance performance at sections 3.1 3.5 and in the Information Governance Report at Appendix 1; and
- (b) notes the implementation of changes made through the Council's GDPR readiness approach, as part of the Council's wider information assurance improvement plan at sections 3.6 3.9.

Members asked questions around the level of GDPR training in place, to ensure that all employees were able to complete the mandatory training, wherein the Information Manager advised that there were various methods available, including group sessions and online training.

The Committee resolved:-

to approve the recommendations contained in the report.

25 September 2018

CORPORATE RISK REGISTER - GOV/018/076

6. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Officer Governance which presented the Corporate Risk Register in accordance with the Risk Management Framework.

The report recommended:

That the Committee -

- (a) note the Corporate Risk Register as set out in Appendix A;
- (b) note that the Corporate Risk Register would be further developed to correlate risks with organisational outcomes and that this would be completed as the Local Outcome Improvement Plan is refreshed; and
- (c) note the progress being made with finalising Cluster Risk Registers for all Chief Officer areas and the proposed timetable for reporting those to relevant committees as set out in Appendix B.

Members asked various questions in relation to the content of the Corporate Risk Register.

The Committee resolved:-

- (i) in response to questions from Councillors Allard and Donnelly regarding the Workforce of the Future risk specifically around the potential impact of Brexit for current and future employees from the EU, to note that the Interim Chief Officer People and Organisation would provide a response to the Committee outwith the meeting; and
- (ii) to otherwise approve the recommendations contained in the report.

RIPSA ANNUAL REPORT - GOV/18/073

7. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Officer Governance which presented the annual statement on the Council's Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Scotland) Act 2000 (RIPSA) policy and the statistical information on relevant activity.

The report recommended:

that the Committee note the update within the report and protocol.

The Committee resolved:-

- (i) in response to a question from Councillor Townson relating to section 6.2 within the protocol and the terminology for employees, to note that Officers would clarify the terminology and circulate a response to the Committee; and
- (ii) to otherwise approve the recommendation contained in the report.

25 September 2018

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Wheeler declared an interest in the following article due him to being a Council appointed Director of the Aberdeen Sports Village and considered that the nature of his interest di not require him to leave the meeting during consideration of the item.

ALEO ASSURANCE HUB - GOV/18/075

8. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Officer Governance which provided assurance on the risk management, financial management and governance arrangements of Arm's Length External Organisations (ALEOs) within the ALEO Assurance Hub's terms of reference.

The report recommended:

That the Committee -

- (a) note the level of assurance provided by each ALEO on risk management, financial management and goverance; and
- (b) note that the Assurance Hub officers and ALEO Service Leads would discuss any outstanding issues identified in the appendices and identified at the Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee with ALEO representatives, with a view to improving the assessment ratings at the next Hub meeting.

The Committee resolved:-

to approve the recommendations contained in the report.

FLEET AND MOT ISSUES - OPE/18/127

9. With reference to article 13 of the minute of its meeting of 8 May 2018, the Committee had before it a report by the Chief Operating Officer which provided an update in relation to the results of the Freight Transport Association (FTA) Audit.

The report recommended:

that the Committee acknowledge the steps taken to address the matters raised in the FTA Audit report and be advised of the continuing Corporate Investigation enquiry.

The Committee resolved:-

- (i) in response to a question from Councillor Allard relating to the FTA Audit report stating that there was currently no detailed trend analysis for incidents and whether this was accurate, to note that the Chief Officer Operations and Protective Services would liaise with Officers and provide a response to the Committee; and
- (ii) to otherwise approve the recommendation contained in the report.

25 September 2018

SCOTTISH PUBLIC SERVICES OMBUDSMAN DECISIONS AND INSPECTOR OF CREMATORIA COMPLAINT DECISIONS - CUS/18/081

10. The Committee had before it a report by the Director for Customer which provided information on all Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) and Inspector of Crematoria decisions made in relation to Aberdeen City Council since the last reporting cycle to provide assurance that complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund applications were being handled appropriately.

The report recommended:

that the Committee note the content of the report.

The Committee resolved:-

to approve the recommendation contained in the report.

INTERNAL AUDIT PROGRESS REPORT - IA/18/016

11. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which advised on progress against the 2017/18 and 2018/19 internal audit plans.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the content of the report.

TIMESHEETS AND ALLOWANCES - IA/AC1909

12. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which presented an audit in relation to Timesheets and Allowances which reviewed a sample of timesheets to ensure that they had been completed, authorised and paid correctly.

The Committee resolved:-

- (i) in response to questions regarding when the new payroll system would be in place, to note that testing of the system was underway and that the system would be available early in 2019 and if the timescales were to change due to the results of testing that the Committee would be informed; and
- (ii) to otherwise note the content of the report and endorse the recommendations for improvement as agreed by the Service.

GENERAL DATA PROTECTION REGULATIONS - IA/AC/1904

13. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which presented an audit in relation to General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) which was undertaken

25 September 2018

to provide assurance that the Council had adequate arrangements in place that were understood across the organisation to protect the Council's information.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the content of the report and endorse the recommendations for improvement as agreed by the Service.

UNIVERSAL CREDIT AND HOUSING RENT - IA/AC1905

14. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which presented an audit in relation to Universal Credit and the impact on Housing Rent which was undertaken to provide assurance that appropriate arrangements had been put in place to manage the impact of Universal Credit on rent collection including intervention relating to identified vulnerable cases.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the content of the report and endorse the recommendations for improvement as agreed by the Service.

DEBTORS SYSTEM - IA/AC1902

15. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which presented an audit in relation to the Debtors System which was undertaken to provide assurance over system controls, documentation supporting invoices raised and the debt recovery process.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the content of the report and endorse the recommendations for improvement as agreed by the Service.

VAT - IA/AC1906

16. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which presented an audit report in relation to VAT which was undertaken to provide assurance over arrangements in place to maximise the recovery of VAT and to ensure that VAT recovered was adequately supported.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the content of the report and endorse the recommendations for improvement as agreed by the Service.

25 September 2018

CREDITORS PAYMENTS - IA/AC1901

17. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which presented an audit in relation Creditors Payments which was undertaken to provide assurance that there were adequate controls around the interface of payment data from named systems to the Creditors System and that any associated balance sheet or suspense codes were reconciled on a regular basis.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the content of the report and endorse the recommendations for improvement as agreed by the Service.

FIXED ASSET REGISTER - IA/AC1823

18. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which presented a report in relation to the Fixed Asset Register which considered whether procedures for ensuring timely recording of the acquisition or disposal of assets were adequate, revaluations were undertaken in accordance with recognised best practice and that a sample of recorded assets existed and those assets required to be recorded were.

The Committee resolved:-

- (i) in response to a question from Councillor Allard regarding whether there had been any breaches relating to publishing the Common Good register, to note that if there had been, information would be circulated to the Committee; and
- (ii) to otherwise note the content of the report and endorse the recommendations for improvement as agreed by the Service.

STORES PURCHASING - IA/AC1829

19. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which presented an audit in relation to Stores Purchasing which considered whether adequate controls were in place regarding procurement and receipt of materials.

The Committee resolved:-

- (i) in response to a question from Councillor Duncan regarding why contracts had not been signed by suppliers that were still being used, to note that the Chief Officer Commercial and Procurement would liaise with officers and provide a response to the Committee:
- (ii) to otherwise note the content of the report and endorse the recommendations for improvement as agreed by the Service.

25 September 2018

EXTERNAL AUDIT ANNUAL REPORT

20. The Committee had before it a report by the External Auditor which presented their annual report on their conclusions in respect of the wider scope matters of Audit Scotland's Code of Audit Practice including financial management, financial sustainability, governance and transparency and value for money.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the content of the report.

AUDIT SCOTLAND'S REPORT ON COUNCILS' USE OF ARM'S LENGTH ORGANISATIONS - GOV/18/078

21. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Officer Governance which presented Audit Scotland's recently published report on 'Councils' use of arms-length organisations' and outlined the Council's proposed response to Audit Scotland's report recommendations.

The report recommended:

That the Committee -

- (a) review, discuss and comment on Audit Scotland's report as attached at Appendix A;
- (b) note that there were no direct recommendations for Aberdeen City Council within Audit Scotland's report; and
- (c) to endorse the Council's proposed response to the report's recommendations.

The Committee resolved:-

- (i) to congratulate staff involved with the ALEO Hub for the work undertaken to date and the positive audit report; and
- (ii) top otherwise approve the recommendations contained in the report.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSION - LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN SCOTLAND CHALLENGES AND PERFORMANCE 2018 - GOV/018/077

22. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Officer Governance which provided assurance on actions being taken or proposed to be taken to respond to the recommendations from the Accounts Commission within their report 'Local Government in Scotland: Challenges and Performance 2018'.

The report recommended:

That the Committee -

(a) note the report from the Accounts Commission; and

25 September 2018

(b) note the responses from officers against the recommendations contained in the Accounts Commission report.

The Committee resolved:-

to approve the recommendations contained in the report.

FOLLOW UP ON AGREED INTERNAL AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS - GOV/18/171

23. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Officer Governance which provided further information on internal audit recommendations which had not been progressed in accordance with agreed timescales.

The report recommended:

That the Committee -

- (a) note the responses from Chief Officers and Internal Audit as detailed;
- (b) agrees to remove the following items from the internal audit report on overdue recommendations:
 - (1) AC1714 Land and Buildings;
 - (2) AC1806 Corporate Landlord Responsibilities (2.2.4, 2.2.6 and 2.2.8);
 - (3) AC1709 Care First System;
 - (4) AC1814 Travel Costs;
 - (5) AC1805 Attendance Management;
 - (6) AC1621 ALEOs;
 - (7) AC1722 ALEOS Management by Services (Integrated Children's and Family Services):
 - (8) AC1623 Compliance with Procurement Legislation
 - (9) AC1602 Craft Workers Terms and Conditions;
 - (10) AC1705 Roads Payroll;
 - (11) AC1808 Vehicle Maintenance;
 - (12) AC1709 Care First System;
 - (13) AC1803 Health and Safety SSERC;
 - (14) AC1809 Care of Children; and
 - (15) AC1826 Out of Authority Placements;
- (c) agree that the following items remain listed in the internal audit report on overdue recommendations at the present time:
 - (1) AC1806 Corporate Landlord Responsibilities (2.2.3, 2.3.8 and 2.3.13);
 - (2) AC1812 Financial Ledger System;
 - (3) AC1722 ALEOS Management by Services (Integrated Children's and Family Services);
 - (4) AC1608 Trade Waste
 - (5) AC1604 Payment Controls in Children's Social Work
 - (6) AC1811 Placing Requests
 - (7) AC1722 ALEOs Management by Services (Health and Social Care Partnership)

25 September 2018

(8) AC1617 – Self-Directed Support (Health and Social Care Partnership)

The Chief Internal Auditor advised that in order to comply with the Internal Audit Standards, he would still be required to monitor all outstanding recommendations until such time as they had been fully completed.

The Committee resolved:-

to instruct the Chief Internal Auditor to continue to monitor and report to this Committee on all outstanding audit recommendations.

INTERNAL AUDIT FOLLOW UP ON AGREED RECOMMENDATIONS - IA/18/015

24. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Internal Auditor which advised on progress made by Services with implementing recommendations that had been agreed in Internal Audit reports.

The Committee resolved:-

to note the content of the report and request all Services to undertake the work required to complete the outstanding audit recommendations.

In accordance with the decision recorded under article 2 of this minute, the following item of business was considered with the press and public excluded.

CORPORATE INVESTIGATION TEAM ANNUAL REPORT 2017/18

25. The Committee had before it a report by the Chief Officer Governance which presented the Corporate Investigation Team's Annual Report for 2017/18.

The report recommended:

that the Committee note the level of activity and statistics undertaken during the year.

Members asked various questions in relation to the report.

The Committee resolved:-

- (i) to note the thanks to the Corporate Investigation Team for their work in this area over the past year:
- (ii) to otherwise approve the recommendation contained in the report.
- COUNCILLOR STEPHEN FLYNN, Convener

AUDIT, RISK AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE 25 September 2018

This page is intentionally left blank

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	
1		AUDIT, RISK & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE BUSINESS PLANNER The Business Planner details the reports which have been instructed by the Committee as well as reports which the Functions expect to be submitting for the calendar year.								
2	Report Title	Minute Reference/Committee Decision or Purpose of Report	Update	Report Author	Chief Officer	Directorate	Terms of Reference	Delayed or Recommende d for removal or transfer, enter either D, R, or T	Explanation if delayed, removed or transferred	
3			04 December 2018							
4	Transformation	To provide assurance that the Council has appropriate arrangements in place to ensure the success of its transformational aspirations.	on the agenda	David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
5	Devolved Education Management	To provide assurance that the scheme in place is adequate and that the decision making process is appropriate based on delegations in place.	on the agenda	David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
6	Internal Transport Tendering Procedures	to consider whether robust tendering procedures are in place and are operating satisfactorily.	on the agenda	David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
7	Digital Strategy	To provide assurance that there are appropriate plans in place to manage the Council's digital strategy including reporting of progress against established milestones.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2	D	Commencement delayed at request of Service pending Chief Officer – Digital and Technology taking up post.	
8	Internal Audit Progress and Performance	To provide an update on progress for the 2017/18 and 2018/19 audit	on the agenda	David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.4			
9	Voluntary Severance / Early Retirement (VSER) Scheme	To provide assurance that the terms of the VSER Scheme are complied with and that payments made / enhancements to pensions are accurate.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2	D	Further testing is required to be undertaken in this area.	
10	Compliance with Procurement Legislation and Council Regulations	To provide assurance that the Council has appropriate arrangements in place that are being complied with, to ensure compliance with procurement legislation and internal regulations.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2	D	Delayed due to delays with other audits and additional follow up work on recommendations.	
11	Business Rates	To provide assurance over the accuracy of Business Rates billing and robustness of collection arrangements.	on the agenda	David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I		
1		AUDIT, RISK & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE BUSINESS PLANNER The Business Planner details the reports which have been instructed by the Committee as well as reports which the Functions expect to be submitting for the calendar year.									
2	Report Title	Minute Reference/Committee Decision or Purpose of Report	Update	Report Author	Chief Officer	Directorate	Terms of Reference	Delayed or Recommende d for removal or transfer, enter either D, R, or T	Explanation if delayed, removed or transferred		
12		To provide assurance over the Council's arrangements to ensure data security where business is transacted through the Cloud.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2		There have been delays in information requested by Internal Audit being received from the Service. Subsequently, the Auditor undertaking this audit has left Internal Audit.		
		To provide assurance that risk to supply is controlled through adequate monitoring of supply and suppliers and related business continuity plans. (Ref Kingsmead Nursing Home.)		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2	D	Delayed due to delays with other audits and additional follow up work on recommendations.		
13		AR&S 8 May instruct the Chief Operating Officer to report back to this Committee within two committee cycles, following the completion of the investigation and following consideration of the matter being concluded at Operational Delivery Committee	on the agenda	Mark Reilly	Operations and Protective Services	Operations	6.2				
15	Inspector of Crematoria	In order to provide assurance to Committee that complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund applications are being handled appropriately, this report provides information on all Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) and Inspector of Crematoria decisions made in relation to Aberdeen City Council since the last reporting cycle.	on the agenda	Lucy Mackenzie	Customer Experience	Customer	6.9				
16	ALEO Assurance Hub Annual Review	To review the ALEO Assurance Hub terms of reference and oversight of ALEOs over the previous 12 months	on the agenda	Iain Robertson	Governance	Governance	1.2				
17		Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee's decision 26/9/17 the Committee requested quarterly updates on policy/compliance. The update includes an update on training delivered, the number of applications "live" and extant, and any new procedural requirements.	on the agenda	Jess Anderson	Governance	Governance	5.2				
18	Internal Audit Follow Up on Recommendations	To provide an update on where Services are with implementing agreed recommendations	on the agenda	David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.3				
19			14 February 2019								

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	
1		AUDIT, RISK & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE BUSINESS PLANNER The Business Planner details the reports which have been instructed by the Committee as well as reports which the Functions expect to be submitting for the calendar year.								
2	Report Title	Minute Reference/Committee Decision or Purpose of Report	Update	Report Author	Chief Officer	Directorate	Terms of Reference	Delayed or Recommende d for removal or transfer, enter either D, R, or T	Explanation if delayed, removed or transferred	
20	Health and Social Care Partnership Charging Policy	To provide assurance that there is a clear charging policy in place and that it is being complied with.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
21	Money Laundering	To present the reviewed Money Laundering policy for approval.		Brian Muldoon	Governance	Governance	GD7.1			
22	Internal Audit Progress and Performance	To provide an update on progress for the 2018/19 audit		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.4			
23	Internal Audit Follow Up on Recommendations	To provide an update on where Services are with implementing agreed recommendations		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.3			
24	Health and Safety	To provide assurance that appropriate arrangements to manage Health and Safety have been implemented across the Council.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
25	Prevention of Fraud, Bribery and Corruption	To provide assurance that the Council's arrangements for the prevention of fraud, bribery and corruption are adequate and proportionate.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
26	Pupil Equity Fund	To provide assurance that schools are spending in accordance with their plans, and that these were developed as required, to close the poverty related attainment gap.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
27	i-World	To provide assurance that appropriate control is being exercised over the i-World system and that interfaces to and from other systems are accurate and properly controlled.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
28	Craft Workers' Terms and Conditions	To provide assurance that new Terms and Conditions have been implemented and are being complied with.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
29	Bond Governance	To provide assurance that the requirements of the Bond Trust Deed are complied with.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2			
30	SPSO Decisions, Inspector of Crematoria Complaint Decisions	In order to provide assurance to Committee that complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund applications are being handled appropriately, this report provides information on all Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) and Inspector of Crematoria decisions made in relation to Aberdeen City Council since the last reporting cycle.		Lucy Mackenzie	Customer Experience	Customer	6.9			
31	Equal Pay Audit	To present the outcome of the Equal Pay Audit.		Neil Yacamini	People	Resources	5.2 & 6.7			
32	ICT Access Control Policy	To seek the Audit, Risk & Scrutiny Committee's approval of a draft ICT Access Control Policy		Lita Greenwell	Digital and Technology	Customer	Purpose 1.1, Remit 1.4			

4	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I
		AUI The Business Planner details the reports which have been	OIT, RISK & SCRUTINY Con instructed by the Commit			etions expect to be	submitting for th	ne calendar year.	
	Report Title	Minute Reference/Committee Decision or Purpose of Report	Update	Report Author	Chief Officer	Directorate	Terms of Reference	Delayed or Recommende d for removal or transfer, enter either D, R, or T	Explanation if delayed, removed or transferred
	ALEO Assurance Hub	To provide assurance to the Committee on the risk management, financial management and governance arrangements of the ALEOs within the remit of the		Vikki Cuthbert	Governance	Governance	Remit 1.3		
		arrangements of the ALLESS water the forms of the	30 April 2019						
	Internal Audit Progress	To provide an update on progress for the 2018/19	30 April 2019	David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.4		
	and Performance	laudit		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.4		
T	Internal Audit Follow Up on Recommendations	To provide an update on where Services are with implementing agreed recommendations		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.3		
		To provide assurance over the controls around on-line		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2		
	Charges	booking and collection of fees and charges		Savia Hagiles	507011141100	301011141100			
	Music Centre	To provide assurance that procedures have been improved following completion of work undertaken by Corporate Investigation Team.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2		
(Contract Management	To focus on recent identified issues - 3rd Don Crossing - Photovoltaic Panels		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2		
9		To include data/intelligence used for monitoring escalation of risk							
0	Criminal Justice	To provide assurance that adequate control is exercised over income and expenditure, that system data is accurate and adequately supported, and that reporting arrangements between the Council and IJB are appropriate.		David Hughes	Governance	Governance	2.2		
	Marchburn Park	Operational Delivery Committee 19 April 2018 that in regards to Marchburn Park, "to instruct the Chief Officer (Early Intervention and Community Empowerment) to bring a report to Audit following conclusion of all matters detailed within this report."		Neil Carnegie	Early Intervention and Community Empowerment	Customer	6.2	D	Work is still ongoing in this area therefore a report will be submitted to this Committee on completion of the work. A Service Update to be provided.
11	DIDCA Activity	Audit Disk and Courting Courting to decision 00/0/47		Jose Andrea	Coversion	Cavar	F.0		p.ovidou.
2	RIPSA Activity	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee's decision 26/9/17 the Committee requested quarterly updates on policy/compliance. The update includes an update on training delivered, the number of applications "live" and extant, and any new procedural requirements.		Jess Anderson	Governance	Governance	5.2		
3			Other Items						

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	
1		AUDIT, RISK & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE BUSINESS PLANNER The Business Planner details the reports which have been instructed by the Committee as well as reports which the Functions expect to be submitting for the calendar year.								
2	Report Title	Minute Reference/Committee Decision or Purpose of Report	Update	Report Author	Chief Officer	Directorate	Terms of Reference	Delayed or Recommende d for removal or transfer, enter either D, R, or T	Explanation if delayed, removed or transferred	
44	Annual Committee Effectiveness Report	To present the annual effectiveness report for the Committee.	May-19		Governance	Governance	GD 7.4			
4!	Risk Management Framework	To present the Risk Management Framework	Sep-19	Ronnie McKean	Governance	Governance	Purpose 1.2 Remit 1.1			
46	Corporate Risk Register	To present the Corporate Risk Register	Sep-19	Ronnie McKean	Governance	Governance	Purpose 1.2 Remit 1.1			

This page is intentionally left blank

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit Risk and Scrutiny
DATE	4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	Scottish Public Services Ombudsman Decisions and
	Inspector of Crematoria Complaint Decisions
REPORT NUMBER	CUS/18/297
DIRECTOR	Andy MacDonald
REPORT AUTHOR	Lucy McKenzie
TERMS OF REFERENCE	6.9

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 This report provides information on all Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) and Inspector of Crematoria decisions made in relation to Aberdeen City Council since the last reporting cycle, together with details of the SPSO Local Authority 2016-17 annual statistics tables, to provide assurance to Committee that complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund applications are being handled appropriately.

2. RECOMMENDATION(S)

2.1 It is recommended that Committee notes the details of the report.

3. BACKGROUND

3.1 A report detailing all Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) and/or Inspector of Crematoria decisions relating to Aberdeen City Council is submitted to Audit Risk and Scrutiny Committee each reporting cycle. This is to provide assurance that complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund decisions are being handled appropriately. The last report on this matter was submitted to the 25 September 2018 Committee.

Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) Complaint Decisions

- 3.2 The Scottish Complaints Handling Procedure (CHP) followed by Aberdeen City Council is outlined by the SPSO. Details of the CHP can be accessed at www.aberdeencity.gov.uk/complaints
- 3.3 There are three SPSO decision relating to Aberdeen City Council complaints to notify the Committee of. One complaint was upheld, and two complaints were not upheld. The SPSO did not make any recommendations. Please refer to Appendix A for further information.

- 3.4 The SPSO have recently presented their 2017-18 annual complaint statistic tables, which are detailed in Appendix B to this report. The information demonstrates how many complaints the SPSO received about Aberdeen City Council. It should be noted that the statistical information contained in the tables do not necessarily match the information held by the council as complainants may contact the SPSO without the council's knowledge or before having gone through the council's complaint process.
- 3.5 Table 1 shows complaints received by main subject area, both about Aberdeen City Council and overall in the sector for the past two financial years. Table 2 shows the outcomes of 'determined' complaints for the same period. Determined complaints are those that the SPSO have looked at and for which they have closed their file. The SPSO will have given the complainant a decision by letter or public report or will have explained why they didn't investigate their complaint. The SPSO does not normally investigate 'premature' complaints where the complainant has not completed the Aberdeen City Council complaints process in the first instance.
- 3.6 As demonstrated in Table 1, there has been an overall rise in the number of complainants approaching the SPSO regarding Aberdeen City Council. This may be explained by the change to the Social Work Complaints Handling Procedure which took effect from 1 April 2017, as the SPSO were not previously responsible for handling all social work complaint reviews. There has also been a higher volume of Aberdeen City Council complaints recorded as 'subject unknown or out of jurisdiction' which means that the SPSO did not progress the matter past their initial enquiry stage. The number of Aberdeen City Council complaints received by the SPSO as a percentage of the Sector totals were 5.9% in 2017-18 compared to 4% in 2016-17. For context, the population of Aberdeen City is 4.2% of the Scottish total.
- 3.7 The issues were similar to previous years with Housing and Social Work at the top of the list. The data in Table 2 demonstrates an increase in the number of occasions the SPSO have felt it appropriate to investigate Aberdeen City Council complaints (8 complaints in 2017-18 compared to 4 complaints in 2016-17). However, of those that were investigated by the SPSO, the percentage of complaints upheld/partially upheld reduced in 2017-18 (37.5% compared to 50%) which is less than the sector total. Action to further improve complaint handling across the council will continue throughout 2018-19.

Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) Scottish Welfare Fund Review Decisions

- 3.8 The Scottish Welfare Fund is delivered by Local Councils across Scotland and offers two types of grants Crisis Grants and Community Care Grants. Further information is available at https://www.aberdeencity.gov.uk/services/benefits-and-advice/apply-scottish-welfare-fund
- 3.9 Since the last reporting period, the SPSO has carried out one Second Tier Reviews in relation to Aberdeen City Council Scottish Welfare Fund application decisions. On this occasion, the SPSO changed the Council's original decision and provided some feedback on the handling of the crisis grant application.
- 3.10 The 2017-18 annual SPSO Second Tier Review statistic tables are detailed in Appendix C to this report. As background, there were 13,185 claims in total handled by Aberdeen City Council in 2017/18. The SPSO received 4 review requests from Aberdeen City Council applicants (2 Crisis Grants and 2 Community Care Grant). The overall upheld rate (cases where the SPSO change the council's decision are recorded as upheld) was 0% for Crisis Grant reviews and 0% for Community Care Grants reviews. The national average was 35% for Crisis Grants reviews and 52% for Community Care Grant reviews.

Inspector of Crematoria Decisions

3.11 The Inspector of Crematoria responds to complaints or queries from the public about cremations. There have been no decisions by the Inspector of Crematoria in relation to Aberdeen City Council cremations to date.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

	Risk	Low (L), Medium (M), High (H)	Mitigation
Financial	Each time a complaint escalates it is more costly to the council then the previous stage due to the effort involved, therefore financially it is in the council's best interest to resolve complaints early in the process. There is also a risk that the council may be required to undertake additional actions as a result of an SPSO decision, including financial compensation.	L	The complaint handling procedure encourages resolution at first point of contact whenever possible. The financial benefits of early resolution is highlighted to responding officers in training.
Legal	There are no legal risks associated with this report.	N/A	Not applicable
Employee	Staff morale may be lowered as a result of a negative outcome of a SPSO decision.	L	Whilst it is not pleasant to receive a complaint, officers are encouraged to view complaints in a positive light, as a learning point going forwards.
Customer	There is a risk to the council's relationship with customers if a complaint or a Scottish Welfare Fund application is not handled correctly.	L	Support in complaint handling is available to responding officers through a variety of methods. In addition, all Stage 2 responses are also quality assured to ensure that responses are appropriate. Officers responsible for Scottish Welfare Fund applications receive comprehensive training to ensure they have the necessary knowledge to undertake assessments.

Environment	There are no environmental risks associated with this report	N/A	Not applicable
Technology	There are no technological risks associated with this report.	N/A	Not applicable
Reputational	Compliance with the Complaints Handling Procedure is audited by Audit Scotland. Noncompliance carries reputational risk. Customer perception of the council could also be negatively impacted if complaints and Scottish Welfare Fund applications are not handled correctly.	L	There is a centralised Customer Feedback Team responsible for ensuring that complaints are being handled consistently and appropriately across the council.

7. OUTCOMES

Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes					
	Impact of Report				
Prosperous People					

Design Principles of Target Operating Model						
	Impact of Report					
Customer Service Design	The report supports a focus on the delivery of customer centric services through the scrutiny of service delivery to customers. The organisation should look to solve the core issue which led to the complaint and learn from the outcome so to reduce the potential for more / similar complaints. This leads to an improvement in customer service delivery and a reduction in time spent on handling and investigating repeat complaints, which can be a lengthy process for those involved.					
Organisational Design	The report focuses on complaints outcomes which provide rich customer insight for the organisation to act upon to help transform service delivery.					
Governance	The report ensures transparency around complaint					

	and Scottish Welfare Fund application handling and provides assurances that informed decisions are
	being made.
Workforce	The outcomes of SPSO decisions are fed back to the
	relevant staff. This includes both upheld and not
	upheld decisions to engage staff and ensure they are
	fully informed of outcomes. The information is also
	used to inform changes in working practices and
	training provision for staff to improve their experience
	as well as that of the customer.
Process Design	Processes may be redesigned as a result of lessons
_	learnt from a complaint or an SPSO decision to
	better meet the needs of customers.
Technology	Complaints data can help to inform decisions around
	the use of technology as it provides insight into the
	customer experience of accessing services digitally.

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	Not required
Privacy Impact Assessment	Not required
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable.

9. BACKGROUND PAPERS

N/A

10. APPENDICES (if applicable)

Appendix A - Complaint Details and Subsequent SPSO Recommendations

Appendix B - SPSO Annual Complaints Statistics 2017-18 compared to 2016-17

Appendix C - Scottish Welfare Fund SPSO Review Decisions

Appendix D – SPSO Scottish Welfare Fund Annual Statistics 2017-18 compared to 2016-17

11. REPORT AUTHOR CONTACT DETAILS

Lucy McKenzie

<u>LucyMcKenzie@aberdeencity.gov.uk</u>
01224 346976

Appendix A - Complaint Details and Subsequent Recommendations

Complaint Received Date	SPSO Decision Date	Complaints Investigated by the SPSO	Directorate	SPSO Decision	SPSO Recommendations	Date Recommendations Implemented
4 May 2017	29 August 2018	 The council unreasonably failed to ensure that an accurate description of the site in respect of landscaping was submitted for assessment (not upheld) The council unreasonably failed to consider the Landscape policy when assessing the application (not upheld) The council unreasonably failed to require additional public consultation once the council established that the Leylandii had been felled (not upheld) The council unreasonably failed to ensure that the applicant adhered to the planning conditions imposed on the consent (not upheld) 	Communities Housing and Infrastructure	Complaint Not Upheld	Not applicable	Not applicable
31 October 2017	11 September 2018	 The head teacher unreasonably failed to follow relevant procedures after an incident at the school (upheld) The council's handling of complaints about his matter was unreasonable (upheld) 	Education and Children's Services	Complaint Upheld	Not applicable	Not applicable
15 September 2017	17 October 2018	 The council unreasonably failed to investigate, and act on, the complainant's reports of antisocial behaviour since 2014 (not upheld) The council's handling of the complaint was unreasonable (not upheld) 	Communities Housing and Infrastructure	Complaint Not Upheld	Not applicable	Not applicable

Appendix B – SPSO Annual Complaints Statistics 2017-18 compared to 2016-17

TABLE 1 Local Authority Complaints Received by the SPSO 2017-18 compared to 2016-17

Local Authority Complaints Received 2017-18

	Aberdeen City Council			l		
Subject Group	City Council	Rank	Complaints as % of total	Sector Total	Rank	Complaints as % of total
Housing	30	1	34.48%	316	1	21.44%
Social Work	18	2	20.69%	254	2	17.23%
Environmental Health & Cleansing	6	3-	6.90%	116	5	7.87%
Legal & Admin	6	3-	6.90%	71	8	4.82%
Education	5	5-	5.75%	151	3	10.24%
Finance	5	5-	5.75%	112	6	7.60%
Planning	3	7-	3.45%	134	4	9.09%
Roads & Transport	3	7-	3.45%	104	7	7.06%
Land & Property	2	9	2.30%	17	10	1.15%
Personnel	1	10	1.15%	12	12	0.81%
Recreation & Leisure	0	-	0.00%	24	9	1.63%
Building Control	0	-	0.00%	16	- 11	1.09%
Welfare Fund - Community Care Grants	0	-	0.00%	7	13	0.47%
Other	0	-	0.00%	6	14	0.41%
Consumer Protection	0	-	0.00%	4	15=	0.27%
National Park Authorities	0	-	0.00%	4	15=	0.27%
Fire & Police Boards	0	-	0.00%	3	17	0.20%
Economic Development	0	-	0.00%	2	18-	0.14%
Welfare Fund - Crisis Grants	0	-	0.00%	2	18-	0.14%
Subject Unknown or Out Of Jurisdiction	8	-	9.20%	119	-	8.07%
Total	87		100.00%	1,474		100.00%

Complaints as % of Sector 5.9% 100.0%

TABLE 1 Complaints Received by Subject 2016-17

	Aberdeen		Complaints as	Sector		Complaints
Subject Group	City Council	Rank	% of total	Total	Rank	as % of total
Housing	33	1	54.1%	388	1	25.4%
Social Work	7	2	11.5%	219	2	14.3%
Roads & Transport	6	3	9.8%	112	7	7.3%
Finance	5	4-	8.2%	120	6	7.9%
Legal & Admin	5	4-	8.2%	73	8	4.8%
Education	2	6	3.3%	144	4	9.4%
Planning	1	7=	1.0%	160	3	10.5%
Environmental Health & Cleansing	1	7=	1.0%	124	5	8.1%
Recreation & Leisure	1	7=	1.0%	29	10	1.9%
Building Control	0	-	0.0%	34	9	2.2%
Land & Property	0	-	0.0%	19	11	1.2%
Welfare Fund - Community Care Grants	0	-	0.0%	14	12	0.9%
Other	0	-	0.0%	8	13-	0.5%
Valuation Joint Boards	0	-	0.0%	7	13-	0.5%
National Park Authorities	0	-	0.0%	6	15	0.4%
Economic Development	0	-	0.0%	5	16-	0.3%
Personnel	0	-	0.0%	5	16-	0.3%
Welfare Fund - Crisis Grants	0	-	0.0%	5	16-	0.3%
Consumer Protection	0	-	0.0%	4	16-	0.3%
Fire & Police Boards	0	-	0.0%	4	16-	0.3%
Subject Unknown or Out Of Jurisdiction	0	-	0.0%	48	-	3.1%
Total	61		100.0%	1528		100.0%

Complaints as % of Sector 4.0% 100.0%

TABLE 2 Local Authority Complaints Determined by SPSO 2017-18 compared to 2016-17

Local Authority Complaints Determined 2017-18

		2017-	-18
Stage	Outcome Group	Aberdeen City Council	Sector Total
Advice	Not duly made or withdrawn	15	253
	Out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	0	3
	Out of jurisdiction (non- discretionary)	0	5
	Premature	11	381
	Total	26	642
Early Resolution	Not duly made or withdrawn	1	38
	Out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	8	99
	Out of jurisdiction (non- discretionary)	8	113
	Outcome not achievable	7	85
	Premature	3	53
	Proportionality	22	314
	Resolved	2	29
	Total	51	731
Investigation	Fully upheld	2	47
	Some upheld	1	49
	Not upheld	5	69
	Not duly made or	0	1
	withdrawn		
	Resolved	0	3
	Total	8	169
Total Complaints		85	1,542

Local Authority Complaints Determined 2016-17

		2016-	17
Stage	Outcome Group	Aberdeen City Council	SectorT otal
Advice	Not duly made or withdrawn	8	279
	Out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	0	3
	Outcome not achievable	0	1
	Premature	15	467
	Total	23	750
Early Resolution	Not duly made or withdrawn	1	43
	Out of jurisdiction (discretionary)	5	82
	Out of jurisdiction (non-discretionary)	1	111
	Outcome not achievable	5	115
	Premature	3	57
	Proportionality	5	132
	Resolved	3	20
	Total	23	560
Investigation	Fully upheld	0	52
	Some upheld	2	42
	Not upheld	2	60
	Not duly made or withdrawn	0	1
	Resolved	0	1
	Total	4	156
Total Complaints		50	1,466

	2017-18		
	Aberdeen City Council	Sector Total	
Total Premature Complaints	14	434	
Premature Rate	16.5%	28.1%	
Total Investigation Decisions	8	165	
Total Upholds	3	96	
Uphold Rate	37.5% 58.2%		

	2016-17		
	Aberdeen City Council	Sector Total	
Total Premature Complaints	18	524	
Premature Rate	35.7%	37.6%	

Previous uphold rate calculation for comparison purposes (included incomplete investigations)

(moradou moomproto mirootigationo)						
Total Cases 'Fit for SPSO'	8	169				
Total Cases Upheld / Some Upheld	3	96				
Uphold Rate (total upheld / total fit for SPSO)	37.5%	56.8%				

Total Cases Fit for SPSO		
(Investigations)	4	156
Total Cases Upheld / Some		
Upheld	2	94
Uphold Rate (total upheld / total		
fit for SPSO)	50.0%	60.3%

Appendix C – Scottish Welfare Fund SPSO Review Decisions

Crisis Grant Application Received Date	Application Type	Aberdeen City Council 1 st Tier Review Decision Date	SPSO 2 nd Tier Review Decision Date	SPSO Decision	Additional SPSO Feedback	Date Implemented
14 August 2018	Crisis Grant	14 August 2018	29 August 2018	Aberdeen City Council decision not upheld	It was noted that there had been a misinterpretation of guidance during the decision-making process.	Not applicable

Appendix D – SPSO Scottish Welfare Fund Annual Statistics 2017-18 compared to 2016-17

Cases closed pre-decision

	2017-18 Aberdeen City Council				
	Community				
Outcome	Care	Crisis	Total		
Advice only	0	0	0		
Out of jurisdiction	0	0	0		
Premature	2	1	3		
Not duly made or					
withdrawn	0	1	1		
Total	2	2	4		

Cases closed pre-decision

	2016-17 Aberdeen City Council						
	Community	Community					
Outcome	Care	Crisis	Total				
Advice only	4	9	13				
Out of jurisdiction	2	0	2				
Premature	2	6	8				
Not duly made or							
withdrawn	0	1	1				
Total	8	16	24				

Total decisions 2017-18

Application type	Total Decisions	Not Upheld	Upheld	Uphold Rate	National Average Uphold Rate
Crisis	3	3	0	0%	35%
Community					
Care	0	0	0	0%	52%
Total	3				

Total decisions 2016-17

	Total	Not		Uphold	National Average Uphold
Application type	Decisions	Upheld	Upheld	Rate	Rate
Crisis	2	1	1	50%	32%
Community Care	1	1	0	0%	43%
Total	3				

This page is intentionally left blank

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk & Scrutiny	
DATE	4 December 2018	
REPORT TITLE	RIPSA - Quarterly Report	
REPORT NUMBER	GOV-18-073	
DIRECTOR	Chief Executive	
CHIEF OFFICER	Fraser Bell - Chief Officer	
REPORT AUTHOR	Jess Anderson /Alan Thomson	
TERMS OF REFERENCE	5.2	

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 It is a requirement, under paragraph 3.29 of the Scottish Government's Code of Practice for Covert Surveillance and Property interference, that elected members review the authority's use of covert surveillance on a quarterly basis to ensure that it is being used consistently with the local authority's policy and that that policy remains fit for purpose.

2. RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Committee: -

2.1 Note the update within the report.

BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Scotland) Act 2000 (RIPSA), gives the Council powers to conduct two types of covert surveillance:
 - 1. Directed Surveillance; and
 - 2. the use of a Covert Human Intelligence Source (the use of an undercover officer).
- 3.2 The Council has a policy in place which governs the use and management of covert surveillance. Further, all members of staff wishing to use RIPSA, are required to undertake training prior to being able to make an application under RIPSA.

3.3 Various Council services use RIPSA as an investigatory tool. It is considered the "tool of last resort" in light of its intrusiveness into the privacy of the person(s) being surveyed. Council services such as Trading Standards, Environmental Health and Benefit Fraud are most likely to use RIPSA.

QUARTER 3-2018

- 3.4 In the period from September until 12th November 2018, there has been one authorisation for Directed Surveillance, from Trading Standards. It was cancelled within the statutory three-month period. The surveillance was for Tobacco and Nicotine Vapour Products Test Purchasing. The authorisation was executed in accordance with the Council's policy on covert surveillance and RIPSA and has also been audited by the Governance team- Legal Services as is normal practice.
- 3.5 Test purchases for underage sale of tobacco and nicotine products are part of an enforcement initiative promoted by the Scottish Government. One part of that enforcement activity is undertaking test purchases. This can either be a random check or as part of a planned operation after complaints have been made about the shop(s) targeted. A test purchase is the only way in which Trading Standards can ascertain whether the shop is selling age restricted goods or not, and thus proves necessary to continued education and enforcement with sellers of age restricted goods such as tobacco and nicotine.
- 3.6 The use of covert surveillance is restricted to certain legal purposes; crime prevention and detection, public health and public safety. The continued ability to use surveillance, in appropriate circumstances, for the investigation of offences such as the selling of age restricted goods is connected to the themes; Prosperous Place, Prosperous People and Prosperous Economy in the Local Outcome Improvement Plan (LOIP). The investigation and enforcement of regulatory laws (such as the sale of age restricted goods) by the Council, ensure that young people are protected, and communities can be assured that the Council is proactively enforcing its powers and making communities safe.
- 3.7 After an application for a covert surveillance operation is authorised by a senior officer, known as an Authorising Officer, it is sent through to the Chief Officer-Governance for logging and auditing. Members of the Governance Team within Legal Services then carry out an audit of the authorisation and raise any matters of good practice, policy or procedure with the Authorising Officer and the applicant. Officers within Legal services do not interfere with the authorisation as that responsibility lies with the Authorising Officer. This approach has been agreed and was supported by the Surveillance Commissioner.
- 3.7 There have been no further applications for covert surveillance made or approved within this quarter.
- 3.8 There have been no changes to the Protocol and it remains fit for purpose.

TRAINING

- 3.10 Training forms a large part of RIPSA compliance and is something which all officers must have before they are permitted to carry out covert surveillance under RIPSA. The Council's training regime is something which has been commended on by the Surveillance Commissioner on the last three inspections.
- 3.11 The mandatory course is run by solicitors in Governance, Legal Services and a senior Trading Standards officer. It consists of theory, practice and practical application of the rules and procedures around RIPSA, with the aim that all attendees are equipped with the skills to apply for, and have granted, a covert surveillance application and that all attendees are trained to the same standard.
- 3.12 Training was given on the 14th September, 4th October and 16th November 2018. The Chief Officer Governance formally appointed two new Authorising Officers who were trained on 4th October 2018. Another officer has been trained as an Authorising Officer on 16th November and is awaiting formal appointment.
- 3.13 At the end of November, there will be a quarterly meeting with Authoring Officers. In December, a Quarterly Bulletin will be sent to all Authorising Officers, which will provide updates on case law, examples of best practice and lessons learnt from audits, updates on internal management processes and any communications from the Investigatory Powers Commissioner.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The Scottish Government Code of Practice on Covert Surveillance sets an expectation that elected members review and monitor the use of RIPSA on a quarterly basis. This is also a matter which is taken into account by the Investigatory Powers Commissioner when they carry out their inspections.
- 5.2 A review of the Council's RIPSA activity by elected members provides assurance that the Council's use of RIPSA is being used consistently and that the standards set by its policy remain fit for purpose.
- 5.3 The management, knowledge and awareness of those involved with RIPSA activity was something which was commended by the Commissioner in his inspection in 2017. The reporting of RIPSA activity to Committee provides another level of scrutiny and assurance on the use of RIPSA.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

	Risk	Low (L), Medium (M), High (H)	Mitigation
Financial	there are no financial risks arising from this report.	L	
Legal	Reporting on the use of RIPSA ensures that the Council continues to comply with the Code of Practice and that elected members continue to provide a level of scrutiny on matters of policy.	L	Quarterly reporting on RIPSA activity and the related policy to members, mitigates the risks highlighted in this section.
Employee	There are no employee risks related to this report.	L	Appropriate and mandatory training arms staff with the correct skills to carry out surveillance and thus, there is little to no risk to staff. All requests for training are met.
Customer	Failure to report to and update Committee on RIPSA activity means that it would undermine public confidence in the Council and how it operates.	L	
Environment	There are no environmental risks arising from this report.	L	
Technology	There are no technological risks arising from this report	L	
Reputational	Failure to not update Committee on RIPSA activity would mean that the Council would be at risk of negative reputational damage when this is raised by the Surveillance	L	External inspections on RIPSA activity operate every 3-4 years. This provides external assurance to the Committee of the Council's compliance with RIPSA. The Inspection Report is shared with Committee and any

Commissioner in their	Action Plan created,
inspection.	endorsed and approved by
	Committee.

7. OUTCOMES

Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes		
Impact of Report		
Prosperous Economy	This report does not link to this theme directly. The use of RIPSA activity by the Council as an investigatory tool may have an impact on the economy as a result of enforcement action taken by services such as Trading Standard, e.g. such as in enforcing the law around counterfeit goods.	
Prosperous Place	Enforcement activity undertaken by the Council by using, where appropriate, its powers under RIPSA, may have an impact on this theme by tackling the selling of counterfeit goods.	

Design Principles of Target Operating Model		
	Impact of Report	
Governance	Reporting to this Committee on the Councils use of RIPSA ensures compliance with the Code of Practice and gives Committee assurances that the Council's use of RIPSA is being done according to its policy.	

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	The purpose of this report is to update Committee on the Council's use of RIPSA. This report does not propose or endorse any changes to the Councils policy on RIPSA not its procedure and as such, an EHIRA is not required.

Privacy Impact Assessment	The purpose of this report is to update Committee on the Council's use of RIPSA. As such, a Privacy Impact Assessment is not required.
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	There is no requirement to consider the Fairer Scotland Duty as this report does not seek approval for any Strategic decisions and is merely providing Committee with an update RIPSA activity.

9. BACKGROUND PAPERS

None

10. APPENDICES (if applicable)

None

11. REPORT AUTHOR CONTACT DETAILS

Name: Alan Thomson

Title: Solicitor,

Email Address: alathomson@aberdeencity.gov.uk

Tel: 01224 52 3249

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny
DATE	4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	ALEO Assurance Hub Annual Review
REPORT NUMBER	GOV-18-235
CHIEF OFFICER	Fraser Bell
REPORT AUTHOR	lain Robertson
TERMS OF REFERENCE	Remit - 1.2

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To present an updated terms of reference for the ALEO Assurance Hub for discussion, consideration and approval and to present the associated annual work plan for information.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Committee:-

- 2.1 Approve the revised ALEO Assurance Hub Terms of Reference attached as **Appendix A**; and
- 2.2 Note the ALEO Assurance Hub Work Plan for 2019 attached as **Appendix B**.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The ALEO Assurance Hub is one component of the wider ALEO Assurance Framework which also includes:-
 - (a) ALEOs reporting their annual business plans to the Strategic Commissioning Committee which has a remit to approve all external commissioning activity by the Council, including the approval of service specifications, performance frameworks and outcomes. This includes commissioning activities relating to Arm's Length External Organisations (ALEOs);
 - (b) Financial reporting on Tier 1 ALEOs on a quarterly basis to the City Growth and Resources Committee to provide additional assurance on

- their financial sustainability in line with the Council's bond governance arrangements;
- (c) An ALEO Strategic Partnership which is a forum consisting of senior Council officers and ALEO Managing Directors that meets on a six weekly basis to discuss strategic and business planning, with a view to strengthening the corporate relationship between the Council and its ALEOs; and
- (d) Representatives of the Head of Commercial and Procurement Services, known as Service Leads being assigned to each ALEO to provide them with a single point of contact at the Council. Service Leads will be the Council's primary liaison officer with ALEOs and will be in regular contact with ALEOs to discuss strategic, operational and performance matters.
- 3.2 The ALEO Assurance Hub's terms of reference were approved by Committee on 26 September 2017. The Hub aims to balance the Council's need for assurance with an ALEO's right to govern themselves as independent entities, with their own Boards; management structures; auditors; and regulators.
- 3.3 The Hub has adopted a proportionate and risk based approach and receives assurance from ALEOs through exception reporting which allows it to assess the level of ALEO risk to the Council. The reporting is based on the degree of assurance provided on each ALEO's financial management; risk management and governance arrangements.
- 3.4 Audit Scotland's report on *How councils use arms-length organisations* reported that all sample councils had appropriate governance processes for overseeing ALEOs but more effective practice included scrutiny proportionate to the risks involved, and clear roles and responsibilities for councillor and officer oversight. Audit Scotland particularly highlighted Aberdeen City Council's ALEO Assurance Hub model as an example of effective practice in the oversight of ALEOs.
- 3.5 Following a review of the Assurance Hub's terms of reference undertaken by Hub officers which took account feedback provided by key stakeholders such as Elected Members; ALEO representatives; and relevant Council officers, in addition to findings from Audit Scotland's report on ALEOs: it is proposed that the scope of the Hub's remit be widened within the existing parameters of risk management; financial management; and governance.
- 3.6 It is proposed that the Hub continues to seek assurance on ALEOs information governance arrangements due to changes in Data Protection legislation, and for it to begin to request assurance from ALEOs on how they help the Council meet its PREVENT Strategy obligations. The Hub also intends to seek assurance from ALEOs on how they intend to meet Audit Scotland recommendations, with particular focus on strengthening

- accountability and transparency; and increasing service user involvement in the design and delivery of public services.
- 3.7 The Hub will continue to monitor standing items such as management trading accounts and risk registers at each meeting; in addition to following up on areas where further assurance was required or where an aspect of ALEO governance posed a material risk to the Council.
- 3.8 The revised terms of reference, attached as **Appendix A**, sets out the purpose and remit of the Hub. The Work Plan attached as **Appendix B**, outlines specific areas the Hub will request assurance on during 2019, including rationales for seeking this information, with particular reference to decisions taken at Council meetings; audit reports; and the Council's corporate risk register.
- 3.9 The Assurance Hub is next scheduled to meet on 13 December 2018 and will report to the next Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee on 14 February 2019.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this report.
- 4.2 The role of the Hub is to ensure that ALEOs provide assurance that risks, including financial ones are identified and managed. One of the Hub's primary functions is to ensure that the Council is able to follow the public pound as outlined in Accounts Commission guidance.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 A review of ALEO service level agreements is currently being undertaken by Commercial and Procurement Services. The implementation of the Assurance Hub has been taken into consideration during this review.
- 5.2 The Hub will help identify any projects and/or initiatives that could influence investment decisions of bond holders or the Council's credit rating and ensure that the appropriate governance is put in place. This adds to the Council's existing bond governance arrangements.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

	Risk	Low (L), Medium (M), High (H)	Mitigation
Financial	Financial Failure of	(L)	ALEOs report financial
	ALEOs impacting on the		performance and governance
	Council and its credit		to their boards and present

	rating.		their annual accounts for scrutiny by an external auditor. One of the Hub's key functions is to provide assurance to Committee on the financial management of Council ALEOs.
Legal	ALEO service level agreements are not up to date and ALEOs are not delivering on Council outcomes.	(L)	Commercial and Procurement Services are currently reviewing ALEO service level agreements to ensure they remain robust and fit for purpose.
			The Strategic Commissioning Committee will have oversight of how ALEOs are achieving Council outcomes and complying with the terms of their service level agreements.
	Data Protection Compliance		The Information Management team and Legal Services provide support and advice to the Hub on the steps ALEOs are taking on data protection compliance in order for the Hub to provide assurance to Committee on ALEOs' management of this risk.
Employee	The Hub is not sufficiently resourced and therefore unable to fulfil its functions and duties.	(L)	The Assurance Manager has been identified as the Chairperson of the Hub. The Hub requires only three other officers to provide assurance on Risk Management, Financial Management and Governance. The Hub's membership has been stable throughout its first year of operation.
			ALEO Service Leads also provide ongoing support to ensure that the Hub can fulfil

			its functions and duties.
			The Corporate Risk Lead will provide operational support to the Hub.
Customer	The Council's customers are not aware of how the Council uses ALEOs to deliver public services.	(M)	The Hub's terms of reference have been updated to address recommendations made by Audit Scotland in its report on How councils use arms-length organisations to provide assurance on the accountability and transparency of ALEO governance and decision making.
Environment	No direct risks arising from the report's recommendations.		
Technology	Data Protection Compliance.	(M)	The Hub will continue to seek assurance on ALEOs information governance arrangements put in place to comply with Data Protection legislation due to its complexity and ongoing nature.
Reputational	ALEO corporate governance or service delivery failure has a negative impact on the Council's reputation.	(L)	The ALEO Assurance Hub provides assurance to the Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee on each ALEO's governance and approach towards financial management and risk management.
			Tier 1 ALEOs financial performance is incorporated into the Council's quarterly financial performance report to the City, Growth and Resources Committee providing further assurance on financial performance.
			The Strategic Commissioning

Committee will have oversight of ALEO contractual compliance and delivery of outcomes.
The Council has established an ALEO Strategic Partnership which is a sixweekly forum attended by senior Council officers and ALEO Managing Directors to discuss strategic and business planning; and manage reputational issues.

7. OUTCOMES

Design Principles of Target Operating Model					
	Impact of Report				
Governance	The Hub supports the principles outlined in the Accounts Commission's "Following the Public Pound" guidance by providing oversight of ALEOs to receive assurance on the robustness of their governance arrangements.				
Partnerships and Alliances	The Hub is one component of the wider ALEO Assurance Framework and complements the work of the ALEO Strategic Partnership. The Partnership offers ALEO access to senior Council officers and provides a forum to discuss strategic planning, business planning and horizon scanning; with a view to strengthen links between the Council and its partner ALEOs.				

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	Sections 1,6 and 8 of the EHRIA have been completed and sent to the Equalities Team.
Privacy Impact Assessment	Not required
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable

9. BACKGROUND PAPERS

CG/17/073 - ALEO Operating Model - reported to Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee, 27 June 2017

CG/17/108 - ALEO Assurance Hub Terms of Reference - reported to Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee, 26 September 2017; and

GOV/18/075 - ALEO Assurance Hub – reported to Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee, 25 September 2018.

10. APPENDICES

Appendix A – ALEO Assurance Hub revised terms of reference; and **Appendix B** – ALEO Assurance Hub Work Plan for 2019.

11. REPORT AUTHOR CONTACT DETAILS

Iain Robertson
Committee Services Officer
iairobertson@aberdeencity.gov.uk
01224 522869

This page is intentionally left blank

Terms of Reference

ALEO Assurance Hub

Arms-Length External Organisations (ALEOs) are companies, charities and other bodies that are separate from the Council but subject to its control or influence.

The purpose of the Assurance Hub is to receive assurance from ALEOs that appropriate systems and policies are in place to mitigate and manage risks to the organisation and to the Council. For the avoidance of doubt, the ALEO Assurance Hub shall provide high level, strategic oversight of ALEOs but will not undertake quality checks on the operation of ALEOs.

The Assurance Hub shall promote the principles of accountability and transparency as set out in the Following the Public Pound Guidance.

- 1) The Assurance Hub is one component of the ALEO Assurance Framework which will be the basis for how the Council oversees and supports its arms-length bodies. The Framework will consist of:
 - a. Regular performance review of ALEOs by the Strategic Commissioning Committee. The Aberdeen City Integration Joint Board (IJB) shall have an oversight role in relation to Bon Accord Care
 - b. Quarterly financial reporting for ALEOs within the Council's Financial Performance Report to the City Growth and Resources Committee to provide assurance on the Council's bond issue;
 - c. Six weekly meetings of the ALEO Strategic Partnership as a forum for senior Council and ALEO representatives to discuss high level strategic issues such as business planning and horizon scanning; and
 - d. Representatives of the Head of Commercial and Procurement Services, known as Service Leads are assigned to each ALEO to provide them with a single point of contact at the Council. Service Leads will be the Council's primary liaison officer with ALEOs and will be in regular contact with ALEOs to discuss strategic, operational and performance matters.
- 2) The membership of the Hub shall consist of:
 - a. The Assurance Manager;
 - b. The Corporate Risk Lead
 - c. A representative of the Chief Officer Finance; and
 - d. A representative from Democracy.
- 3) The Assurance Manager shall be the Chairperson of the Assurance Hub.
- 4) The Director of Commissioning or his or her nominee shall be invited to attend meetings as advisers to the Hub as required.
- 5) The Hub may co-opt additional advisors as required.
- 6) The Hub shall scrutinise each ALEO within its remit at least once a year.
- 7) The Hub shall have the authority to increase or reduce its oversight of ALEOs based on the level of assurance it has received.

- 8) The Hub may invite ALEO representatives to attend Hub meetings to provide information and assurance on relevant matters as requested.
- 9) The Hub shall report its level of assurance on ALEOs to the Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee.
- 10) The Hub shall be responsible for setting its own programme of scrutiny.
- 11) The Conveners and Vice Conveners of the following committees and Board shall be consulted on the Hub's areas of intended scrutiny prior to any Assurance Hub meeting:
 - a. Audit, Risk and Scrutiny;
 - b. Strategic Commissioning Committee;
 - c. City Growth and Resources; and
 - d. Integration Joint Board;
- 12) An open invitation shall be extended to the Council's internal and external auditors to attend Hub meetings as appropriate in order for them to meet their audit objectives.
- 13) To ensure that risk posed to the Council by ALEO operations is monitored, the Hub shall oversee ALEO risk management arrangements including:
 - a. Risk management policy;
 - b. Management of risk registers;
 - c. Risk identification structures, including horizon-scanning;
 - d. Business continuity planning; and
 - e. ALEO internal and external audit reports.
- 14) To ensure compliance with Following the Public Pound guidance, the Hub shall oversee ALEOs:
 - a. Financial governance;
 - b. Financial management;
 - c. Accounting practices; and
 - d. Financial performance.
- 15) The Hub shall review ALEO decision making structures including:
 - a. Reporting arrangements, including powers reserved to the Board and powers delegated to executive officers;
 - b. Compliance with key governance standards, such as codes of conduct;
 - c. The composition and capacity of the board;
 - d. Transparency and accountability:
 - e. Induction and ongoing training programmes for Board members;
 - f. Ongoing assurance around information governance arrangements and data protection compliance; and
 - g. Progress against recommendations made within Audit Scotland's report on *How councils use arms-length organisations.*
- 16) The Hub shall receive assurance on ALEOs risk management, financial management and governance arrangements through exception reporting and officers will assess ALEO governance and management of risk through a scoring matrix.

- 17) The Hub may monitor high level employment practices.
- 18) The Hub shall monitor reports relating to ALEOs from the Council's internal and external auditors and address any recommendations which are within the remit of the Hub's terms of reference. The Hub shall monitor best practice guidance from organisations such as Audit Scotland and the Standards Commission for Scotland on the development of guidance relating to ALEOs.
- 19) The Hub shall monitor co-ordination arrangements between ALEO partners where the Council is not the sole shareholder.
- 20) The Hub shall receive assurance that ALEOs are supporting the Council to meet its obligations under the PREVENT Strategy.
- 21) The following organisations have been deemed to be ALEOs and will be subject to the Hub's oversight arrangements:
 - a. Aberdeen Heat and Power:
 - b. Aberdeen Performing Arts;
 - c. Aberdeen Sports Village;
 - d. Bon Accord Care; and
 - e. Sport Aberdeen.
- 22) The Chief Officer Governance will review these Terms of Reference annually to ensure their ongoing appropriateness in monitoring ALEO governance.

This page is intentionally left blank

Governance

	l A	В	С	D	E	F			
1		SPORT ABERDEEN The Work Plan outlines the assurance the Hub will request from Sport Aberdeen during 2019.							
2	Area of Assurance	Scope	Objective	Assurance Hub Terms of Reference	Reference to Risk Register/Audit Report/Council Decision	Target Date for Reporting to Committee			
3	Financial Management	Quarterly Trading Accounts	Assurance that accounts are being managed within budget and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Standing Item			
4	Risk Management	Risk Register	Assurance that risks had been adequately identified and scored; and appropritate controls were in place to limit risk to the Council.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item			
5	Risk Management	ALEO Internal and External Audit Reports	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate internal and external audit arrangements in place. The Hub will monitor reporting to the Board and plans put in place by ALEOs to address and close audit recommendations.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item			
6	Governance	Aberdeen and Garthdee	Assurance that the integration process was being undertaken in line with statutory requirements and risk to the Council was low.	14,15,16,18, 20	Finance, Policy and Resources Committee Review of Sports Organisations ECS/17/067	14-Feb-19			
			Assurance that ALEOs had taken into		Audit Scotland report:				

transparency of decision making.

Transparency of ALEOs and aim to increase the accountability and

account Audit Scotland recommendations

How councils use

arms-length

organisations (2018)

14-Feb-19

16

Accountability and

	А	В	С	D	E	F
2	Area of Assurance	Scope	Objective	Assurance Hub Terms of Reference	Reference to Risk Register/Audit Report/Council Decision	Target Date for Reporting to Committee
8	Governance	Public Participation in ALEO decision making	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the level of public participation in the design and delivery of public services.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms-length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19
9	Financial Management	SA Audited Annual Accounts 2017-18	Assurance that accounts were being managed within budget, were in line with statutory requirements and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	14-Feb-19
10	Financial Management	GAS Audited Annual Accounts 2017-18	Assurance that accounts were being managed within budget, were in line with statutory requirements and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	14-Feb-19
11	Risk Management	IBUGINAGE L'ANTINUITY	Assurance that appropriate arrangements are in place to test the Business Continuity Plan.	14	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp- 004	Jun-19
12	Governance	Information Governance and Data Protection	Assurance on compliance with GDPR.	16	Corporate Risk Register: Information Governance Corp-005	Jun-19
13	Risk Management	PREVENT Strategy	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate procedures in place to work effectively with the Council to ensure it can meet its PREVENT obligations.	21	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp- 004	Jun-19

	А	В	С	D	E	F
2	Area of Assurance	Scope	Objective	Assurance Hub Terms of Reference	Reference to Risk Register/Audit Report/Council Decision	Target Date for Reporting to Committee
14	Governance	High Level Employment Practices	To advise Committee on each ALEO's use/non use of zero hour contracts and adoption of the Scottish Living Wage.	18	Council: Living Wage Accreditation CG/16/044	Jun-19
15	Governance	Induction and Training Programme for ALEO Board Members	Assurance that ALEOs have developed a robust induction and training programme which aim to increase the Board's capacity and ensure that well informed decisions could be taken. The training programme should outline how each ALEO would inform Board members of their obligations in terms of conflicts of interest and the code of conduct.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19
16	Governance	Compositon and Capacity of the ALEO Board	Assurance that ALEO Boards have the capacity and expertise within their memberships to take well informed decisions and minimise risk to the Council. Assurance will also be sought on the profile of the Board and representation of equalities groups.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19
17	Financial Management	Financial Regulations	Assurance on robustness and compliance with financial regulations and procedures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Oct-19

	А	В	С	D	E	F
2	Area of Assurance	Scope	Objective	Assurance Hub Terms of Reference	Reference to Risk Register/Audit Report/Council Decision	Target Date for Reporting to Committee
18	i Financiai Manadement	Medium-Term Financial Planning	Assurance that ALEOs had prepared a medium-term financial plan or had incorporated medium term planning into a Business Plan. This would provide assurance that ALEOs had prepared for core funding pressures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Oct-19
19	Financial Management	SA Audited Annual Accounts 2018-19	Assurance that accounts were being managed within budget, were in line with statutory requirements and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Oct-19

ABERDEEN SPORTS VILLAGE

The Work Plan outlines the assurance the Hub will request from Aberdeen Sports Village during 2019.

Area of Assurance	Scope	Objective	Assurance Hub Terms of Reference	Reference to Risk Register/Audit Report/Council Decision	Target Date for Reporting to Committee
Financial Management	Quarterly Trading Accounts	Assurance that accounts are being managed within budget and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp-001	Standing Item
Risk Management	Risk Register	Assurance that risks had been adequately identified and scored; and appropritate controls were in place to limit risk to the Council.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item
Risk Management	ALEO Internal and External Audit Reports	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate internal and external audit arrangements in place. The Hub will monitor reporting to the Board and plans put in place by ALEOs to address and close audit recommendations.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item
Financial Management	Medium-Term Financial Planning	Assurance that ALEOs had prepared a medium-term financial plan or had incorporated medium term planning into a Business Plan. This would provide assurance that ALEOs had prepared for core funding pressures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp-001	14-Feb-19
Financial Management	Audited Annual Accounts 2017-18	Assurance that accounts were being managed within budget, were in line with statutory requirements and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp-001	14-Feb-19
Risk Management	Business Continuity Plan	Assurance that a robust and consolidated Business Continuity Plan had been developed and approved by the Board.	14	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp-004	14-Feb-19
Governance	Accountability and transparency of ALEOs	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the accountability and transparency of decision making.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms- length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19
Governance	Public Participation in ALEO decision making	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the level of public participation in the design and delivery of public services.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms- length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19

Risk Management	Business Continuity Planning	Assurance that appropriate arrangements are in place to test the Business Continuity Plan.	14	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp-004	Jun-19
Risk Management	PREVENT Strategy	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate procedures in place to work effectively with the Council to ensure it can meet its PREVENT obligations.	21	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp-004	Jun-19
Governance	Scheme of Delegation	Assurance that a scheme had been developed to support decision making and outlined which powers were reserved to the Board and which powers had been delegated to executive officers.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Jun-19
Governance	Information Governance and Data Protection	Assurance on compliance with GDPR.	16	Corporate Risk Register: Information Governance Corp-005	Jun-19
Financial Management	Financial Regulations	Assurance on robustness and compliance with financial regulations and procedures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp-001	Jun-19
Governance	High Level Employment Practices	To advise Committee on each ALEO's use/non use of zero hour contracts and adoption of the Scottish Living Wage.	18	Council: Living Wage Accreditation CG/16/044	Jun-19
Governance	Induction and Training Programme for ALEO Board Members	Assurance that ALEOs have developed a robust induction and training programme which aim to increase the Board's capacity and ensure that well informed decisions could be taken. The training programme should outline how each ALEO would inform Board members of their obligations in terms of conflicts of interest and the code of conduct.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19
Governance	Compositon and Capacity of the ALEO Board	Assurance that ALEO Boards have the capacity and expertise within their memberships to take well informed decisions and minimise risk to the Council. Assurance will also be sought on the profile of the Board and representation of equalities groups.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19

BON ACCORD CARE

The Work Plan outlines the assurance the Hub will request from Bon Accord Care during 2019.

Area of Assurance	Scope	Objective	Assurance Hub Terms of Reference	Reference to Risk Register/Audit Report/Council Decision	Target Date for Reporting to Committee
Risk Management	Risk Register	Assurance that risks had been adequately identified and scored; and appropritate controls were in place to limit risk to the Council.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item
Financial Management	Quarterly Trading	Assurance that accounts are being managed within budget and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp-001	Standing Item
Risk Management	ALEO Internal and	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate internal and external audit arrangements in place. The Hub will monitor reporting to the Board and plans put in place by ALEOs to address and close audit recommendations.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item
Governance	Public Participation in ALEO decision making	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the level of public participation in the design and delivery of public services.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms- length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19
Governance	Accountability and transparency of ALEOs	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the accountability and transparency of decision making.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms- length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19
Governance	appointment of new	Assurance that the recruitment process had complied with employment and equalities legislation; and to report if an appointment had been made.	16	Urgent Business Committee: Prospective Appointments 6 July 2018	14-Feb-19

Governance	Scheme of Delegation	Assurance that a scheme had been developed to support decision making and outlined which powers were reserved to the Board and which powers had been delegated to executive officers.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	14-Feb-19
Risk Management	Business Continuity Planning	Assurance that appropriate arrangements are in place to test the Business Continuity Plan.	14	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp- 004	14-Feb-19
Risk Management	Oversight provided by Risk Management Committee	Assurance on how the Risk Management Committee monitors and reviews BAC's risk register and risk management framework.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	14-Feb-19
Risk Management	PREVENT Strategy	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate procedures in place to work effectively with the Council to ensure it can meet its PREVENT obligations.	21	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp- 004	Jun-19
Governance	Information Governance and Data Protection	Assurance on compliance with GDPR.	16	Corporate Risk Register: Information Governance Corp-005	Jun-19
Financial Management	Financial Regulations	Assurance on robustness and compliance with financial regulations and procedures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp-001	Jun-19
Governance	High Level Employment Practices	To advise Committee on each ALEO's use/non use of zero hour contracts and adoption of the Scottish Living Wage.	18	Council: Living Wage Accreditation CG/16/044	Jun-19
Governance	Induction and Training Programme for ALEO Board Members	Assurance that ALEOs have developed a robust induction and training programme which aim to increase the Board's capacity and ensure that well informed decisions could be taken. The training programme should outline how each ALEO would inform Board members of their obligations in terms of conflicts of interest and the code of conduct.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19

Governance	Compositon and Capacity of the ALEO Board	Assurance that ALEO Boards have the capacity and expertise within their memberships to take well informed decisions and minimise risk to the Council. Assurance will also be sought on the profile of the Board and representation of equalities groups.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19
Financial Management	Medium-Term Financial Planning	Assurance that ALEOs had prepared a medium-term financial plan or had incorporated medium term planning into a Business Plan. This would provide assurance that ALEOs had prepared for core funding pressures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp-001	Oct-19
Financial Management	Audited Annual Accounts 2018-19	Assurance that accounts were being managed within budget, were in line with statutory requirements and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp-001	Oct-19

ABERDEEN HEAT AND POWER

The Work Plan outlines the assurance the Hub will request from Aberdeen Heat and Power during 2019.

Area of Assurance	Scope	Objective	Assurance Hub Terms of Reference	Reference to Risk Register/Audit Report/Council Decision	Target Date for Reporting to Committee
Financial Management	Quarterly Trading Accounts	Assurance that accounts are being managed within budget and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Standing Item
Risk Management	Risk Register	Assurance that risks had been adequately identified and scored; and appropritate controls were in place to limit risk to the Council.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item
Risk Management	ALEO Internal and External Audit Reports	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate internal and external audit arrangements in place. The Hub will monitor reporting to the Board and plans put in place by ALEOs to address and close audit recommendations.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item
Financial Management	Medium-Term Financial Planning	Assurance on the evidence base and process used by AHP when making financial assumptions within its five-year Business Plan.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	14-Feb-19
Governance	Public Participation in ALEO decision making	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the level of public participation in the design and delivery of public services.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms- length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19
Governance	Accountability and transparency of ALEOs	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the accountability and transparency of decision making.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms- length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19

Governance	Data Protection Policy	Assurance that a Data Protection Policy had been developed and approved by the Board which was in compliance with GDPR.	16	Corporate Risk Register: Information Governance Corp-005	14-Feb-19
Governance	Scheme of Delegation	Assurance that a scheme had been developed to support decision making and outlined which powers were reserved to the Board and which powers had been delegated to executive officers.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	14-Feb-19
Governance	Board Report Template	Assurance that AHP had developed a Board Report Template which clearly set out sections for recommendations, financial implications and managing risk.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	14-Feb-19
Risk Management	Business Continuity Planning	Assurance that appropriate arrangements are in place to test the Business Continuity Plan.	14	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp- 004	Jun-19
Risk Management	PREVENT Strategy	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate procedures in place to work effectively with the Council to ensure it can meet its PREVENT obligations.	21	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp- 004	Jun-19
Governance	Information Governance and Data Protection	Assurance on compliance with GDPR.	16	Corporate Risk Register: Information Governance Corp-005	Jun-19
Financial Management	Financial Regulations	Assurance on robustness and compliance with financial regulations and procedures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Jun-19
Governance	High Level Employment Practices	To advise Committee on each ALEO's use/non use of zero hour contracts and adoption of the Scottish Living Wage.	18	Council: Living Wage Accreditation CG/16/044	Jun-19

Governance	Induction and Training Programme for ALEO Board Members	Assurance that ALEOs have developed a robust induction and training programme which aim to increase the Board's capacity and ensure that well informed decisions could be taken. The training programme should outline how each ALEO would inform Board members of their obligations in terms of conflicts of interest and the code of conduct.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19
Governance	Compositon and Capacity of the ALEO Board	Assurance that ALEO Boards have the capacity and expertise within their memberships to take well informed decisions and minimise risk to the Council. Assurance will also be sought on the profile of the Board and representation of equalities groups.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19
Financial Management	Medium-Term Financial Planning	Assurance that ALEOs had prepared a medium-term financial plan or had incorporated medium term planning into a Business Plan. This would provide assurance that ALEOs had prepared for core funding pressures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Oct-19
Financial Management	Audited Annual Accounts 2018-19	Assurance that accounts were being managed within budget, were in line with statutory requirements and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Oct-19

ABERDEEN PERFORMING ARTS

The Work Plan outlines the assurance the Hub will request from Aberdeen Performing Arts during 2019.

Area of Assurance	Scope	Objective	Assurance Hub Terms of Reference	Reference to Risk Register/Audit Report/Council Decision	Target Date for Reporting to Committee
Financial Management	Quarterly Trading Accounts	Assurance that accounts are being managed within budget and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Standing Item
Risk Management	Risk Registers	Assurance that risks within the Corporate and Music Hall risk registers had been adequately identified and scored; and appropritate controls were in place to limit risk to the Council.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item
Risk Management	ALEO Internal and External Audit Reports	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate internal and external audit arrangements in place. The Hub will monitor reporting to the Board and plans put in place by ALEOs to address and close audit recommendations.	14	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Standing Item
Governance	Accountability and transparency of ALEOs	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the accountability and transparency of decision making.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms-length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19
Governance	Public Participation in ALEO decision making	Assurance that ALEOs had taken into account Audit Scotland recommendations and aim to increase the level of public participation in the design and delivery of public services.	16	Audit Scotland report: How councils use arms-length organisations (2018)	14-Feb-19
Governance	Scheme of Delegation	Assurance that a scheme had been developed to support decision making which outline which powers were reserved to the Board and which powers had been delegated to executive officers.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	14-Feb-19
Financial Management	Financial Regulations	Assurance on robustness and compliance with financial regulations and procedures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	14-Feb-19

Risk Management	Business Continuity Planning	Assurance that appropriate arrangements are in place to test the Business Continuity Plan.	14	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp- 004	Jun-19
Risk Management	PREVENT Strategy	Assurance that ALEOs have appropriate procedures in place to work effectively with the Council to ensure it can meet its PREVENT obligations.	21	Corporate Risk Register: Civil Contingencies Corp- 004	Jun-19
Governance	Information Governance and Data Protection	Assurance on compliance with GDPR.	16	Corporate Risk Register: Information Governance Corp- 005	Jun-19
Governance	High Level Employment Practices	To advise Committee on each ALEO's use/non use of zero hour contracts and adoption of the Scottish Living Wage.	18	Council: Living Wage Accreditation CG/16/044	Jun-19
Governance	Induction and Training Programme for ALEO Board Members	Assurance that ALEOs have developed a robust induction and training programme which aim to increase the Board's capacity and ensure that well informed decisions could be taken. The training programme should outline how each ALEO would inform Board members of their obligations in terms of conflicts of interest and the code of conduct.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19
Governance	Compositon and Capacity of the ALEO Board	Assurance that ALEO Boards have the capacity and expertise within their memberships to take well informed decisions and minimise risk to the Council. Assurance will also be sought on the profile of the Board and representation of equalities groups.	16	Audit Scotland report: ALEOs: are you getting it right? (2011)	Oct-19
Financial Management	Medium-Term Financial Planning	Assurance that ALEOs had prepared a medium-term financial plan or had incorporated medium term planning into a Business Plan. This would provide assurance that ALEOs had prepared for core funding pressures.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Oct-19

Financial Management	Audited Annual Accounts 2018-19	Assurance that accounts were being managed within budget, were in line with statutory requirements and the level of financial risk to the Council is low.	15	Corporate Risk Register: Financial Sustainability Corp- 001	Oct-19
-------------------------	------------------------------------	---	----	--	--------

This page is intentionally left blank

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Operational Delivery
	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny
DATE	Operational Delivery – 6 November 2018
	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny – 4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	Fleet and Transport MOT Issues
REPORT NUMBER	OPE/18/236
DIRECTOR	Rob Polkinghorne
CHIEF OFFICER	Mark Reilly
REPORT AUTHOR	Mark Reilly
TERMS OF REFERENCE	Terms of Ref Committee 1 – Purpose 1; Remit 1 & 4
	Terms of Ref Committee 2 – Purpose 1; Remit 6.2

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 To report the findings of the Corporate Investigation Team regarding the information that had been received that there were several Council vehicles that had been used without having an MOT certificate.
- 1.2 At Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee on 8 May 2018, the Chief Operating Officer was instructed to report back to Audit Risk and Scrutiny Committee within two committee cycles, following the completion of the investigation and following consideration of the matter being concluded by the Operational Delivery Committee.

2. RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Committee:

2.1 Note the findings and recommendations of the internal Corporate Investigation into the use of Council vehicles without an MOT certificate.

3. BACKGROUND

3.1 Introduction and background

- 3.1.1 A Corporate Investigation was commissioned by the Council's Chief Operating Officer following information that had been received that there were several Council vehicles that had been used without having an MOT certificate.
- 3.1.2 Council vehicles are maintained by Fleet Services based at Kittybrewster. This service is now part of the Operations function.
- 3.1.3 The Council has approximately 483 vehicles within its fleet and will hire additional vehicles as and when required. Fleet vehicles are not active every day as they may be off the road for servicing, repairs or may not be required on a particular day.
- 3.1.4 On 4 April 2018 a media enquiry was received alleging that six vehicles had been operated with no MOT.
- 3.1.5 A preliminary report was presented to Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee on 8 May 2018 (OPE/18/024).

3.2. Summary of findings

- 3.2.1 The investigation was completed late September 2018.
- 3.2.2. The investigation found that four of the vehicles reported by the media were ACC vehicles and two were on hire. Four of the vehicles did not have an MOT.
- 3.2.3 During the investigation a further three vehicles were identified as not having a valid MOT.

3.3 MOT and the Law

- 3.3.1 MOTs are administered by the Driver and Vehicle Licensing Agency (DVLA) and all vehicles in the United Kingdom must:
 - Be registered with DVLA
 - Have an up to date vehicle tax
 - Have a current MOT Certificate (if the vehicle needs one)
 - Be roadworthy
 - Have a minimum of third party insurance

- 3.3.2 An MOT is designed to ensure the vehicle being tested meets road safety and environmental standards and must be obtained by either:
 - The third anniversary of its registration
 - The anniversary of its last MOT, if it is over 3 years old.

The following vehicles require an MOT 1 year after they have been registered.

- Private passenger vehicles with 9-12 passenger seats
- Private passenger vehicles with 13-16 passenger seats
- Private passenger vehicles over 16 seats
- Play buses
- 3.3.3. The Road Traffic Act 1988 section 47 (1) states

"A person who uses on a road at any time, or causes or permits to be so used, a motor vehicle to which this section applies, and as respects which no test certificate has been issued within the appropriate period before that time, is quilty of an offence.

In this section and section 48 of this Act, the "appropriate period" means a period of twelve months or such shorter period as may be prescribed."

3.3.4 The highway code states the maximum penalty for driving without an MOT is £1000.

3.4. Fleet Services

- 3.4.1 Fleet Services have overall responsibility for the Council's operator's licence and for ensuring that the Council meets the requirements of the licence and relevant legislation. The service is also responsible for ensuring non-operator licenced vehicles meet the necessary standards and comply with road traffic legislation. Failure to meet these responsibilities puts the council's operator's licence at risk.
- 3.4.2 There are issues with the current Fleet Management System, which was purchased in 2009. Additional systems are being used to schedule routine works, including MOTs. In April 2018 the service started to use the DVLA online reminder system for MOTs. These processes have now been reviewed and work has commenced to deliver a replacement robust digital system of work.
- 3.4.3 The Council's Insurance Officer has advised that if a Council vehicle was being used on the public highway without an MOT it would still have been insured under the Council's motor vehicle policy.

The Fleet and Transport MOT issues have not directly increased the Council's premiums.

3.5 Conclusion of the Management Investigation

- 3.5.1 There have been issues with the fleet's ICT system and the systems have been reviewed and work has commenced to deliver a replacement.
- 3.5.2 Management have stated they have three systems in place to manage MOT schedules; Tranman, T-card and the diary function on Outlook. In April 2018 they also started to use the DVLA Fleet portal to track MOTs.

3. 6 Recommendations from the Management Investigation included:

- 3.6.1 A fully accurate and reliable MOT and road taxing system be put in place.
- 3.6.2 Place a sticker in each vehicle and within the vehicle defect log book to advise the driver(s) of key dates i.e. regular service, 8-week safety check (if applicable) and MOT. When the administration staff print the job card and pass it to the workshop they can also arrange to print the sticker.
- 3.6.3 Enquire whether the workshop job card can, by default, have the next MOT or service date printed on it so if the vehicle is in the workshop for an unrelated matter this information is readily available to the mechanic.
- 3.6.4 Fleet management to ensure all staff are aware of, and have access to, the Council's Whistleblowing Policy.
- 3.6.5 Fleet management to ensure that all communication from third parties such as hire companies come via fleet services and not to individual officers in services who manage vehicles. This will ensure fleet services are able to maintain complete records of all issues.
- 3.6.6 Where discrepancies in mileage are found without a corresponding log sheet these are brought to the attention of the Fleet Compliance Manager for investigation.
- 3.6.7 The vehicle safety check to be altered to ensure the vehicle has a valid MOT certificate.

3.7 Service Actions to address Recommendations (above) from the Management Investigation

3.7.1 In April 2018 Fleet began to use the DVLA Web site (View vehicle records) to check MOT and Road Tax expiry dates and renew Road Tax Licences. It is intended that a more robust system will be developed with the introduction of the new Fleet Management system.

- 3.7.2 In September 2018 Fleet completed the issue of information packs to all services. These packs included copies of MOT, Road Tax and Insurance documents. When information needs to be updated an up to date copy will be sent to the service to replace the previous copy document. The packs are held within the vehicle and the driver will be responsible to check that the documents are present as part of his daily checks. The daily check sheets are being currently updated to include this check. Some services have started placing "Service / MOT" date stickers on windscreens and Fleet has decided to include this information as part of the Vehicle First Use Check Book. This book is being revised now and when finalised it will be held in every vehicle and viewed by the driver when "first use checks" are completed prior to the vehicle being used.
- 3.7.3 Fleet Services are currently looking to print on the workshop job card the next MOT expiry date, so the information is readily available to the mechanic. It is intended that this facility will be available with the replacement Fleet Management system.
- 3.7.4 Details of the Council's whistleblowing policy have been placed on the staff notice boards. The policy is referred to on the information screen in Fleet's canteen. It is intended to inform the staff of the policy at the next toolbox talks which will be in November 2018.
- 3.7.5 In October 2018 a control system for vehicle hires was put in place to ensure that all communication from third parties such as hire companies come via fleet services and not to individual officers in services who manage vehicles.
- 3.7.6. Fleet services are investigating the feasibility of developing a system to manage and check mileage through the introduction of the new Fleet Management system.
- 3.7.7. The vehicle safety check will be altered to ensure the vehicle has a valid MOT certificate will be developed with the introduction of Electronic Safety Checks as part of the new Fleet Management system. In the meantime, Fleet Services are currently looking to print on the workshop job card the next MOT expiry date, so the information is readily available to the mechanic. (See 3.7.3 above).

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 The Council may potentially be subject to fines.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Non-compliance with road traffic legislation regarding MOTs may result in fixed penalty fines and put the Council's "Operator's" licence at risk.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

	Risk	Low (L), Medium (M), High (H)	Mitigation
Financial	Risk of fine	M	Ensure systems are in place to prevent Council vehicles being on the road without a valid MOT certificate.
Legal	Fines for non- compliance with Road Traffic legislation and loss of operator's licence.	M	Ensure systems are in place to prevent Council vehicles being on the road without a valid MOT certificate.
Employee	Issue to individual employees of fixed penalty notices for non-compliance	L	Ensure systems are in place to prevent Council vehicles being on the road without a valid MOT certificate.
Customer	None	L	N/A
Environment	None	L	N/A
Technology	No significant related technology risks arising from this report.	L	N/A
Reputational	Reputational risks	L	Internal & external assurance and review processes.

7. OUTCOMES

Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes				
	Impact of Report			
Prosperous Economy	This report does not link to this theme directly.			
Prosperous People	This report does not link to this theme directly.			
Prosperous Place	This report does not link to this theme directly.			
Enabling Technology	This report does not link to this theme directly.			

Design Principles of Target Operating Model					
	Impact of Report				
Customer Service Design	This report does not link to this theme directly.				
Organisational Design	This report does not link to this theme directly.				
Governance	Reporting to this Committee on the Council's use of its Management Investigation procedures regarding compliance with relevant legislation and the conditions of its "vehicle operating licence" gives Committee assurances that appropriate action is being taken where non-compliance has been identified.				
Workforce	This report does not link to this theme directly.				
Process Design	This report does not link to this theme directly.				
Technology	This report does not link to this theme directly.				
Partnerships and Alliances	This report does not link to this theme directly.				

8. **IMPACT ASSESSMENTS**

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	Not required
Data Protection Impact Assessment	Not required
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable

9. **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

None

APPENDICES (if applicable) 10.

None

11. REPORT AUTHOR CONTACT DETAILS

Name: M Reilly

Title: Chief Officer Operations and Protective Services
Email: mareilly@aberdeencity.gov.uk
Tel: 01224 523096

This page is intentionally left blank

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee
DATE	4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	Internal Audit Progress
REPORT NUMBER	IA/18/017
DIRECTOR	N/A
REPORT AUTHOR	David Hughes
TERMS OF REFERENCE	2.2 and 2.4

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 This report advises the Committee of Internal Audit's progress against the approved 2018/19 Internal Audit plans.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 The Committee is requested to review, discuss and comment on the issues raised within this report and the attached appendix.

3. BACKGROUND / MAIN ISSUES

- 3.1 The Internal Audit plan for 2018/19 was approved by the Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee on 22 February 2018. The plan included an indicative Committee date by when it was planned to report each audit and progress against the plan has been reported to each subsequent meeting of the Committee.
- Appendix A to this report shows progress with the audits contained in the 2018/19 plan. A summary is shown in the following table.

2018/19 Planned	As at 21 November 2018 by Original Target Committee Date					%age	
Audit Status	Jun 18	Sep 18	Dec 18	Feb 19	Apr 19	Total	
Complete	1	7	1	0	0	9	36.0
Draft Report Issued	0	1	1	0	0	2	8.0
Work in Progress	0	1	3	4	1	9	36.0
To Start	0	0	0	2	3	5	20.0
Total	1	9	5	6	4	25	100.0

Item: Page: 2

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

The Internal Audit process considers risks involved in the areas subject to review. Any risk implications identified through the Internal Audit process are detailed in the resultant Internal Audit reports. Recommendations are made to address the identified risks and Internal Audit follows up progress with implementing those that are agreed with management. Where planned progress is not maintained, there is a risk that sufficient work will not have been completed by the end of the financial year for Internal Audit to complete its annual opinion on the Council's control environment.

7. OUTCOMES

- 7.1 There are no direct impacts, as a result of this report, in relation to the Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes of Prosperous Economy, People or Place, or Enabling Technology, or on the Design Principles of the Target Operating Model.
- 7.2 However, Internal Audit plays a key role in providing assurance over, and helping to improve, the Council's framework of governance, risk management and control. These arrangements, put in place by the Council, help ensure that the Council achieves its strategic objectives in a well-managed and controlled environment.

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	An assessment is not required because the reason for this report is to report Internal Audit's progress to Committee. As a result, there will be no differential impact, as a result of the proposals in this report, on people with protected characteristics.
Privacy Impact Assessment	Not required
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable

9. APPENDICES

9.1 Appendix A – Progress with 2018/19 Internal Audit Plan.

Item: Page: 3

10. REPORT AUTHOR DETAILS

David Hughes, Chief Internal Auditor David.Hughes@aberdeenshire.gov.uk (01467) 537861

APPENDIX A

PROGRESS WITH 2018/19 INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN (Note – text in italics represents updates provided to Committee previously)

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at	Red	Comment where
		21 November 2018	Amber	applicable
			Green	

Originally Planned for September 2018 Committee

Transformation	To provide assurance that the Council has appropriate	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	04.09.18 29.08.18	Green	Delay due to combination of Internal Auditor resigning and availability of key officers.
	arrangements in place to ensure the success of its transformational aspirations.	Management response due Management response received	07.09.18 17.09.18	Amber	
		Final draft issued to management Management confirmation received	17.09.18 27.09.18	Amber	
		Final Report Issued	27.09.18	Green	
		Original target Committee date Revised target Committee date	25.09.18 04.12.18	Amber	
		Actual Submission to Committee	04.12.18		
Digital Strategy	To provide assurance that there are appropriate plans in place to manage	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	27.09.18 26.09.18	Green	Commencement delayed at request of
	the Council's digital strategy including reporting of progress against established milestones.	Management response due Meeting to discuss	24.10.18 10.10.18	Amber	Service pending Chief Officer – Digital
		Further evidence requested from Service which is now being considered	23.10.18		and Technology taking up post.
		by Internal Audit Original target Committee date Revised Committee Date	TBC 25.09.18 14.02.18	Amber	

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at 21 November 2018	Red Amber	Comment where applicable
			Green	

Originally Planned for September 2018 Committee (continued)

Devolved Education Management Scheme	To provide assurance that the scheme in place is adequate and that	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	28.09.18 28.09.18	Green	Commencement delayed pending
	the decision making process is appropriate based on delegations in	Management response due	15.10.18	Green	planning meeting with Service
place.	Management response received	16.10.18		With Gervice	
		Final draft issued to management pending receipt of further information from Service and C&PSS	19.10.18	Green	
		Management confirmation received	09.11.18	Amber	
		Final Report Issued	12.11.18	Green	
		Original target Committee date	25.09.18	Amber	
		Revised Committee Date	04.12.18		
		Actual Submission to Committee	04.12.18		
Haalth and Casial Casa	To provide accompany that there is a	Dueft nament due to be included	04.40.40	0	Deleved of resurrent of
Health and Social Care Partnership Charging	To provide assurance that there is a clear charging policy in place and	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	21.12.18 N/A	Green	Delayed at request of Service which is
Policy	that it is being complied with.	Original target Committee date	25.09.18	Amber	developing a new
		Revised Committee Date	14.02.19		strategy

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at	Red	Comment where
		21 November 2018	Amber	applicable
			Green	

Originally Planned for December 2018 Committee

Voluntary Severance / Early Retirement (VSER)	Retirement (VSER) of the VSER Scheme are complied	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	16.10.18 16.10.18	Green	
	with and that payments made / enhancements to pensions are	Management response due Management response received	30.10.18 31.10.18	Green	
accurate.	Final draft issued to management Management confirmation received	22.11.18 TBC	Amber	Further testing required	
		Final Report Issued	TBC	Amber	
		Original target Committee date Revised Committee Date	04.12.18 14.02.19	Amber	_
Compliance with Procurement Legislation and Council Regulations	To provide assurance that the Council has appropriate arrangements in place that are being complied with, to ensure compliance with procurement legislation and internal regulations.	Original target Committee date Revised Committee Date	04.12.18 14.02.19	Amber	Delayed due to delays with other audits and additional follow up work on recommendations.

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at 21 November 2018	Red Amber	Comment where applicable
			Green	

Originally Planned for December 2018 Committee (continued)

Business Rates	To provide assurance over the accuracy of Business Rates billing and robustness of collection arrangements.	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued Management response due Management response received Final draft issued to management Management confirmation received	04.10.18 04.10.18 25.10.18 19.10.18 02.11.18 N/A	Green Green	Meeting held with Service on 30.10.18 to go over report / responses.
		Final Report Issued Original target Committee date Actual Submission to Committee	19.11.18 04.12.18 04.12.18	Green	
Data security in a cloud based environment	To provide assurance over the Council's arrangements to ensure data security where business is transacted through the Cloud.	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	21.12.18 TBC	Green	There have been delays in information requested by Internal Audit being received
		Original target Committee date Revised Committee Date	04.12.18 14.02.19	Green	from the Service. Subsequently, the Auditor undertaking this audit left Internal Audit.

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at 21 November 2018	Red Amber	Comment where applicable
			Green	

Originally Planned for December 2018 Committee (continued)

National Care Home Contract	To provide assurance that risk to supply is controlled through adequate	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	21.12.18 TBC		Delayed due to delays with other
	monitoring of supply and suppliers and related business continuity plans. (Ref Kingsmead Nursing Home.)	Original target Committee date Revised Committee Date	04.12.18 14.02.19	Amber	audits and additional follow up work on recommendations.

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at 21 November 2018		Red Amber Green	Comment where applicable
Originally Planned for	r February 2019 Committee				
Health and Safety Arrangements across the	To provide assurance that appropriate arrangements to manage	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	12.12.18 N/A	Green	
Council	Health and Safety have been implemented across the Council.	Original target Committee date	14.02.19		
Prevention of Fraud, Bribery and Corruption	To provide assurance that the Council's arrangements for the	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	11.12.18 N/A	Green	
prevention of fraud, bribery and corruption are adequate and proportionate.	Original target Committee date	14.02.19			
i-World Housing Management System	To provide assurance that appropriate control is being exercised	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	14.12.18 N/A	Green	
	over the i-World system and that interfaces to and from other systems are accurate and properly controlled.	Original target Committee date	14.02.19		
Pupil Equity Fund	To provide assurance that schools are spending in accordance with their plans, and that these were developed	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	12.12.18 N/A	Green	
as required, to close the poverty related attainment gap.	Original target Committee date	14.02.19			
Craft Workers' Payroll	To provide assurance that new Terms and Conditions have been implemented and are being complied with.	Original target Committee date	14.02.19	Green	Not yet commenced

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at 21 November 2018	Red Amber	Comment where applicable
			Green	

Originally Planned for February 2019 Committee (continued)

Bond Trust Deed	To provide assurance that the requirements of the Bond Trust Deed	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued	19.12.18 N/A	Green	Not yet commenced
	are complied with.	Original target Committee date	14.02.19		

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at 21 November 2018		Red Amber Green	Comment where applicable
Originally Planned for	April 2019 Committee				
Digital Booking, Fees and Charges	To provide assurance over the controls around on-line booking and collection of fees and charges	Original target Committee date	30.04.19	Green	Not yet commenced
Music Centre	To provide assurance that procedures have been improved following completion of work undertaken by Corporate Investigation Team - review of income, expenditure (procurement and payroll), and inventory	Original target Committee date	30.04.19	Green	Not yet commenced
Management of high risk contracts	To focus on recent identified issues:- - 3 rd Don Crossing - Photovoltaic Panels To include data / intelligence used for monitoring and escalation of risk.	Original target Committee date	30.04.19	Green	Not yet commenced
Criminal Justice Service	To provide assurance that adequate control is exercised over income and expenditure, that system data is accurate and adequately supported, and that reporting arrangements between the Council and IJB are appropriate.	Draft report due to be issued Draft report issued Original target Committee date	07.12.18 N/A 30.04.19	Green	

SUBJECT / SCOPE	OBJECTIVE	Progress as at
		21 November 2018

No Planned Reporting to Committee

Interreg Projects where Aberdeen City Council is	To certify required grant claims in accordance with Programme	BEGIN Project ACC Partner Claim for period 2 certified (June 2018).
involved as a Lead Partner and / or Project	Secretariat requirements.	HyTrEc2 Project ACC Partner Claim for period 2 certified (June 2018)
Partner	There will be no specific reporting to management and / or Audit Risk and	HyTrEc2 Overall Project Claim for period 2 certified (June 2018)
	Scrutiny Committee in relation to these grant claims unless a	HeatNet Project ACC Partner Claim for period 3 certified (August 2018)
	significant issue were identified.	BEGIN Project ACC Partner Claim for period 3 – work in progress (November 2018)
		HyTrEc2 Project ACC Partner Claim for period 3 - work in progress (November 2018)

GENERAL

Contingency -	To undertake investigations and	Additional works being undertaken are detailed in the following tables.
Investigations and	additional works as they arise and to	
additional works.	provide a contingency should	
	systems subject to audit not be	
	adequately documented by Services	
	prior to audit.	

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee
DATE	4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	Internal Audit Report AC1910 – Transformation
REPORT NUMBER	IA/AC1910
DIRECTOR	N/A
REPORT AUTHOR	David Hughes
TERMS OF REFERENCE	2.2

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 The purpose of this report is to present the planned Internal Audit report on Transformation.

2. RECOMMENDATION

2.1 It is recommended that the Committee review, discuss and comment on the issues raised within this report and the attached appendix.

3. BACKGROUND / MAIN ISSUES

3.1 Internal Audit has completed the attached report which relates to an audit of Transformation.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

The Internal Audit process considers risks involved in the areas subject to review. Any risk implications identified through the Internal Audit process are as detailed in the attached appendix.

7. OUTCOMES

- 7.1 There are no direct impacts, as a result of this report, in relation to the Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes of Prosperous Economy, People or Place, or Enabling Technology, or on the Design Principles of the Target Operating Model.
- 7.2 However, Internal Audit plays a key role in providing assurance over, and helping to improve, the Council's framework of governance, risk management and control. These arrangements, put in place by the Council, help ensure that the Council achieves its strategic objectives in a well-managed and controlled environment.

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome	
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	An assessment is not required because the reason for this report is for Committee to review, discuss and comment on the outcome of an internal audit. As a result, there will be no differential impact, as a result of the proposals in this report, on people with protected characteristics.	
Privacy Impact Assessment	Not required	
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable	

9. APPENDICES

9.1 Internal Audit report AC1910 – Transformation.

10. REPORT AUTHOR DETAILS

David Hughes, Chief Internal Auditor David.Hughes@aberdeenshire.gov.uk (01467) 537861



Internal Audit Report

Transformation (Target Operating Model)

Issued to:

Angela Scott, Chief Executive
Rob Polkinghorne, Chief Operating Officer
Steven Whyte, Director of Resources
Fraser Bell, Chief Officer – Governance
Jonathan Belford, Chief Officer – Finance
Morven Spalding, Interim Chief Officer – People and Organisation
External Audit

Date of Issue: November 2018 Report No. AC1910

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council is undertaking an ambitious and extensive transformation programme in order to refocus on its key priorities as set out in the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan, and to rebalance its resources in order to achieve balanced future budgets. This includes review and rationalisation of the organisational structure, a change in the approach to commissioning, a move towards new digital solutions, and development and implementation of new governance arrangements to support these changes.

The objective of this audit was to provide assurance that the Council has appropriate arrangements in place to ensure the success of its transformational aspirations. This involved a review of the governance and programme management arrangements for implementing the Target Operating Model and progress made to date against key milestones including structural, financial and governance changes.

The transformational changes are generally well progressed and have followed a clear governance framework for development and implementation in line with a set of Target Operating Model design principles. The first phase of change, including transition to an interim staffing structure and other workforce changes, was set out in a clear programme plan, and is now almost complete. Plans and schedules for implementation of further phases, up to 2021, are being drafted.

The Strategic Transformation Committee has been central to the governance of programmes and funds within the transformation portfolio, however presentation of financial data could benefit from a more consistent and forward looking approach in order to better demonstrate progress, costs and forecast delivery of savings through projects and the overall programme. Finance has stated that presentation of financial information has evolved over time and will now provide details of approved, actual and forecast costs with forecast delivery of approved savings for 2018/19 in future reports to the Committee. The Transformation Strategy has been used to inform and steer budget setting for 2018/19 and beyond. Setting, and achieving, a balanced budget in future years will help demonstrate achievement of the planned outcomes.

Review of financial data indicates variation between reported and actual spend from the transformation fund; revised transformation fund budgets; and differences between the estimated and actual achievable savings arising through voluntary severance / early retirement. In addition, although savings have been calculated and applied to the budget, a reconciliation has still to be completed against the payroll system. Finance has reviewed and updated its records and have reviewed Committee reporting as noted above. People and Organisation anticipate concluding reconciliations to the payroll system before the end of December 2018.

Development and implementation of the Council's new governance arrangements and supporting documentation followed a clear programme plan, and records indicate that training was planned and delivered in their use. Opportunities to enhance the efficiency of decision making are being considered by officers.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Council is undertaking an ambitious and extensive transformation programme in order to refocus on its key priorities as set out in the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan, and to rebalance its resources in order to achieve balanced future budgets. This includes review and rationalisation of the organisational structure, a change in the approach to commissioning, a move towards new digital solutions, and development and implementation of new governance arrangements to support these changes.
- 1.2 The objective of this audit was to provide assurance that the Council has appropriate arrangements in place to ensure the success of its transformational aspirations. This involved a review of the governance and programme management arrangements for implementing the Target Operating Model and progress made to date against key milestones including structural, financial and governance changes.
- 1.3 The factual accuracy of this report and action to be taken with regard to the recommendations made have been agreed with Angela Scott, Chief Executive; and Fraser Bell, Chief Officer Governance.

2. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 Plans and Communication

- 2.1.1 The Council has set out a vision and a set of design principles for the Target Operating Model, and commenced a programme of work to develop options, agree and implement them over 5 years.
- 2.1.2 As plans to deliver this vision have developed, they have been presented as a set of programmes to the Council for approval, and thereafter (following development and implementation of new governance arrangements) the Strategic Transformation Committee was created to monitor progress with delivery of the Target Operating Model and ensure that service improvements and savings are delivered in line with the Council's Transformation Objectives.
- 2.1.3 There are defined 'design principles' which projects have to be able to demonstrate adherence to, and a defined time period over which they have to provide tangible benefits, including savings. Plans have been refined to include more detail through various reviews and iterations. Development and delivery are being reported to the Committee.
- 2.1.4 A dedicated intranet site has been made available to communicate the changes internally, outlining the governance changes and information on voluntary severance and early retirement (VS/ER) through which a proportion of the changes and savings will be achieved, and which have the most significant direct impact on staff. Although the site has not been frequently updated as new change projects have progressed, the majority of Committee papers are open to the public, including staff, except for programmes which are still under development or with commercial sensitivities. Consultation, and engagement sessions, have also been carried out with staff to support the transition. Further communication is anticipated as new processes and systems are developed and brought on-line.

2.2 Governance and Programme Management

- 2.2.1 A clear governance structure was developed and put in place, including clearly defined roles, responsibilities and processes. Support was provided by the Programme Management Office (PMO).
- 2.2.2 Each project was developed by one or more programme Delivery Board and had to pass through five Control Boards: Finance / Commissioning; Establishment; Design; Technology; and Communications, and thereafter the Management Board and Strategic Transformation Committee. The inclusion of these stages presented a risk of delay, which was mitigated by regular meetings, but the requirement for projects to pass through all of the Boards improved scrutiny and control to ensure each project met the target operating model design principles before progressing to implementation.
- 2.2.3 Although there was no published timetable for implementation, a very detailed project plan was set out for the first phase which included all of the supporting activities for development of business cases and restructuring to the interim structure.
- 2.2.4 Management is in the process of developing plans for the next phase of implementation. Although it may not be possible to schedule every action with certainty, it may be useful to set out a full implementation timetable in order to set and maintain the pace of change towards a clear end goal. This may also be an opportunity to review the balance of scrutiny and progress (i.e. the number of boards and meetings) to ensure all of the objectives can be delivered as planned whilst maintaining an appropriate level of assurance.

Recommendation

An implementation timetable should be set out.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. The PMO has reviewed existing programme and project management arrangements to help ensure the Council delivers its Transformation Objectives, including consideration of the balance between scrutiny and progress. This reflects the implementation of the interim functional structure. The PMO has developed plans for phases 2, 3 and 4 of transformation up to 2021 so far as possible.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
Implemented	Chief Officer –	Important within audited
	Governance	area

2.3 Progress

- 2.3.1 The Strategic Transformation Committee is provided with Highlight reports at each meeting. These are high level summaries of progress, prepared by the PMO in conjunction with Senior Responsible Officers following review by the Delivery and Control Boards. More detailed reports including risks, actions, issues and decisions, have been considered by the Boards on a regular basis.
- 2.3.2 Detail of progress having been made is included in the Highlight reports, however these are high level, reporting on success and selected exceptions. The selection of data has varied, including reports which have amalgamated or re-named various workstreams, and milestone dates which have been revised or extended, without a note that it has occurred and supporting explanations. In each case only 'current' or 'completed' milestones are listed, giving no indication of the stage each programme / project / action has reached and how far each has still to go. Therefore, although the Committee can see what is being done, it may not be provided with sufficient assurance that this is achieving the intended results within agreed timescales. Monitoring against a timetable (see 2.2.4 above) could provide more assurance over delivery, if supported by clear explanations for variances.
- 2.3.3 In August 2017, Council agreed to the establishment of a £15 million Transformation Fund to accelerate the delivery of change, through development and implementation of various business cases. The Fund is intended to be self-financing with cashable benefits from project delivery reimbursing the fund over defined payback periods.
- 2.3.4 Council further agreed that use of the Fund would be reported to the Finance Policy & Resources Committee as part of the Council's quarterly financial statements. The same meeting approved the establishment of the Strategic Transformation Committee whose remit and responsibilities included: allocation of funding as appropriate from the Transformation Fund; and to monitor spend against profiled budget and forecast outturns of the Transformation Fund. Finance has stated that to avoid duplicate reporting, the full portfolio budget reporting was presented to the Strategic Transformation Committee whilst the use of the fund was reflected via the 'Reserves' page in the Council's quarterly financial reports to the City Growth & Resources Committee which from 1 April 2018 superseded the Finance, Policy & Resources Committee. Although the Fund is included as a separate line in the financial statements on the 'Reserves' page now reported to the City Growth & Resources Committee, the reserves have only been broken down into this level of detail in the annual statement.
- 2.3.5 Not all of the reports in relation to financial and other aspects of the Target Operating Model and Transformation have been published timeously. Although reasons for this have been published and agreed by the Convener in formal late report documents, including

the pace and scale of change and need to align with budget setting schedules, there is a risk that this might have reduced the time available for Councillors to review and scrutinise the content.

- 2.3.6 Three changes to Transformation Fund budget lines were made in the report to the February 2018 committee: additional budget was allocated to developing business cases for Fleet and Facilities Management. Each was originally awarded £150,000 based on estimated costs per the business cases, yet later budget monitoring shows each with a budget of £166,000; and £103,000 has been added for an activity analysis. There is no recorded explanation, formal request for approval, or minuted decision in respect of these changes. Finance has stated that the estimates were subsequently reviewed and amended to reflect updated information and that as the revised estimates for these activities did not result in a change in the agreed overall cost of external support for their delivery further approval was not sought. It is noted that in subsequent reports any virements between budget headings were highlighted and approval sought for the changes.
- 2.3.7 Investment of Transformation Funds was predicated on delivery of savings and a return on investment within a defined period. Although transformation is being delivered over 5 years, some projects indicated a 3 year payback period. The returns / savings are not currently being presented to the Strategic Transformation Committee in the budget monitoring alongside Fund expenditure. It is however important that the anticipated savings are set out in advance, and progress towards achieving them monitored consistently. Inclusion of projected and actual savings within the monitoring report would provide more assurance over return on investment.
- 2.3.8 It was set out that the Finance Delivery Board would monitor spend and savings and model benefit realisation across the entire programme. The Strategic Transformation Committee was then asked to agree that each individual workstream report back to Committee via the Boards on their outcomes and expected benefits. Finance coordinates budget updates for each meeting of the Committee against relevant programme boards.
- 2.3.9 Presentation of financial data has developed iteratively as programmes have progressed. Content and timelines have varied: for example, some business cases requested one off investment, for programmes that would include ongoing costs, and it was not recorded how the ongoing revenue costs would be paid for. Year 1 savings, and cumulative 3 year savings, were provided for some programmes, without providing detail on annual savings thereafter. In early documents spend to date had been included as a comparator with future savings, without including the remaining expenditure commitment for comparison. Some activities presented minimum and maximum anticipated returns and there was no detail to suggest which was considered most likely.
- 2.3.10 Although there is evidence of early development of plans for benefits (savings) realisation, including fleet and facilities savings in addition to those included on the savings tracker, there is no up to date listing of all the original potential savings against each of the key transformational activities. Finance has stated that as business cases have been further developed, and savings quantified with more accuracy, these are subject to separate approval. Following approval, they will be monitored and reported in more detail.
- 2.3.11 Without a clear pattern of expected and agreed spend and savings, and a consistent approach to reporting, it will be difficult to monitor whether or not the anticipated results are being achieved.

Recommendation

The Committee should be provided with a clear comparison of actual against planned progress, costs and savings.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. Financial reporting has evolved through the year and information on the savings has been reported to the Strategic Transformation Committee in September 2018.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
Implemented	Chief Officer – Finance	Significant within audited
		area

Figures used in Transformation Fund monitoring to 31 March 2018 (reported to Strategic Transformation Committee in May 2018) did not all match those recorded in the financial ledger system and were not consistent with the movement in reserves reported to the City Growth & Resources Committee in April 2018. Expenditure of £1.723 million was recorded in the ledger against the Transformation Fund in the year to 31 March 2018, compared with £1.594 million reported to the Strategic Transformation Committee, and £1.967 million (after taking a year end adjustment of £1.778 million into account) to the City Growth & Resources Committee for the same period. £0.456 million has been spent in 2018/19 to date.

Recommendation

All reported costs should be reconciled back to the ledger system.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. The costs have now been reconciled and checks have been implemented to ensure costs are coded correctly in future. Updated figures have been reported to the Strategic Transformation Committee in September 2018.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	Grading
Implemented	Chief Officer – Finance	Significant within audited
		area

2.4 Restructuring

- 2.4.1 A key element of delivering the transformation programme is the restructure of the organisation and its staffing establishment into new functions. Changes have been agreed through business cases, reviewed by the Control Boards. There are three distinct areas where financial savings are being delivered as a result: removal of vacant posts, voluntary severance / early retirement, and cases for change resulting in establishment / disestablishment or other changes in existing posts.
- 2.4.2 Whilst there were clear plans set out for delivery of savings, actual progress with transforming the organisational structure, and adjustment of budgets and posts as a result, has been an iterative process as assumptions have been reviewed and changes implemented. Savings from deleted posts are being recalculated based on the salaries of departing staff, in proportion to the number of months remaining in the financial year, as each wave of structural change is processed.
- 2.4.3 At the point of the audit, vacancies had been deleted, though recorded figures varied, and it had yet to be determined whether any delays in finalising voluntary severance / early retirement might have a material impact on costs. Finance has stated that £9.953 million of a planned £10.3 million has been removed from the 2018/19 budget to date for

vacancies and deleted posts. Further adjustments are being made as cases for change are implemented. It is anticipated the full saving will be made.

- 2.4.4 Finance stated that budgets, and therefore savings deducted, were based on the actual cost of staff in post. Supporting detail for a sample of seven budget adjustments was provided, demonstrating that reasonable proportions of budget were being deducted based on termination dates. Two had been processed based on actual costs (including salary and on-costs: employer's pension and national insurance contributions) from the payroll system in 2017/18 plus 1% for an estimated pay award.
- 2.4.5 The remaining five had been processed based on the post salary plus on-costs at 33.1%. Recalculation by Internal Audit showed that the actual on-costs for the staff previously in these posts were closer to 30% of salary, indicating that around 3% more had been deducted from budgets than was likely to be achieved from these posts being deleted. Finance confirmed that this should not have been the case and undertook a full review. A combined error of £47,665 was identified and is being addressed. In the context of £10.3 million savings overall this is not material (0.5%).
- 2.4.6 Following calculation and adjustment of the budget by Finance, People and Organisation are updating system records to reflect changes to the establishment. This work is being carried out in tandem with work to prepare for implementation of a new Human Capital Management system (known as CoreHR). Reconciliation of system to budget is work in progress, after which Chief Officers will be issued with a report to confirm the staffing establishment set up for their area. People and Organisation anticipate only minor adjustments will be required thereafter. However, records of progress to date and the extent of any potential adjustments are not yet available. Once fully reconciled, Finance will complete monthly checks to confirm or explain any changes.
- 2.4.7 Whilst it is appreciated that budget constraints are a major driver for change, and therefore recalculation of the budget is important, more assurance over accuracy could have been achieved by updating the HR system in the first instance, then reconciling the budgets to these records. A recommendation is made here to track progress with the reconciliation.

Recommendation

People and Organisation should conclude reconciliation of budgets to HR system records.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

<u>Implementation Date</u> <u>Responsible Officer</u> <u>Grading</u>

December 2018 Interim Chief Officer – Significant within audited

People and Organisation area

2.5 New Scheme of Governance

- 2.5.1 Following detailed review of governance documentation by the Governance Delivery Board, and approval from Full Council, the new scheme of governance was implemented on 6 March 2018.
- 2.5.2 A review of the documents indicates that they set out a reasonable framework for the operation of the Council through its Officers, Chief Officers and Committees. Application of the various governance procedures, and their effectiveness, will be reviewed as part of future audit testing. External Audit has reviewed leadership and governance and findings have been reflected in their annual report, provided to the Audit, Risk and Scrutiny

Committee in September 2018. CIPFA will be invited to review and assess the new governance arrangements in 2019.

2.5.3 There is however a potential for inefficiency in decision making for capital projects: each project business case must obtain approval from the Capital Programme Committee, funding must be approved by City Growth and Resources Committee and approval for the procurement elements of that business case must also be obtained from the Strategic Commissioning Committee (where the estimated costs are in excess of the Council's procurement thresholds). This might present a risk of delay in progressing with such projects pending Committee reporting schedules.

Recommendation

The Governance function should review options to enhance the efficiency of committee decision making.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. The Chief Officer – Governance, has commenced a review of potential enhancements to the governance arrangements which will take into account members and officers' experience to date. Internal Audit's comments will be taken into consideration as part of this exercise.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
March 2019	Chief Officer –	Important within audited
	Governance	area

2.5.4 Training was scheduled for Councillors and Officers, and the majority of sessions were run on more than one date to provide sufficient options for attendance. Guidance and all of the new procedures are available on the Zone to assist Officers in meeting the new requirements.

AUDITORS: D Hughes

C Harvey

Appendix 1 – Grading of Recommendations

GRADE	DEFINITION	
Major at a Corporate Level	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss, or loss of reputation, to the Council.	
Major at a Service Level	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss to the Service/area audited. Financial Regulations have been consistently breached.	
Significant within audited area	Addressing this issue will enhance internal controls.	
	An element of control is missing or only partial in nature. The existence of the weakness identified has an impact on	
	a system's adequacy and effectiveness. Financial Regulations have been breached.	
Important within audited area	Although the element of internal control is satisfactory, a control weakness was identified, the existence of the weakness, taken independently or with other findings does not impair the overall system of internal control.	

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee
DATE	4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	Internal Audit Report AC1903 – Devolved School Management
REPORT NUMBER	IA/AC1903
DIRECTOR	N/A
REPORT AUTHOR	David Hughes
TERMS OF REFERENCE	2.2

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 The purpose of this report is to present the planned Internal Audit report on Devolved School Management.

2. RECOMMENDATION

2.1 It is recommended that the Committee review, discuss and comment on the issues raised within this report and the attached appendix.

3. BACKGROUND / MAIN ISSUES

3.1 Internal Audit has completed the attached report which relates to an audit of Devolved School Management.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

The Internal Audit process considers risks involved in the areas subject to review. Any risk implications identified through the Internal Audit process are as detailed in the attached appendix.

7. OUTCOMES

- 7.1 There are no direct impacts, as a result of this report, in relation to the Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes of Prosperous Economy, People or Place, or Enabling Technology, or on the Design Principles of the Target Operating Model.
- 7.2 However, Internal Audit plays a key role in providing assurance over, and helping to improve, the Council's framework of governance, risk management and control. These arrangements, put in place by the Council, help ensure that the Council achieves its strategic objectives in a well-managed and controlled environment.

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome	
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	An assessment is not required because the reason for this report is for Committee to review, discuss and comment on the outcome of an internal audit. As a result, there will be no differential impact, as a result of the proposals in this report, on people with protected characteristics.	
Privacy Impact Assessment	Not required	
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable	

9. APPENDICES

9.1 Internal Audit report AC1903 – Devolved School Management.

10. REPORT AUTHOR DETAILS

David Hughes, Chief Internal Auditor David.Hughes@aberdeenshire.gov.uk (01467) 537861



Internal Audit Report

Education

Devolved School Management

Issued to:

Rob Polkinghorne, Chief Operating Officer Steven Whyte, Director of Resources Graeme Simpson, Chief Officer of Integrated Children's and Family Services Fraser Bell, Chief Officer – Governance Jonathan Belford, Chief Officer – Finance Eleanor Sheppard, Chief Education Officer Sandy McPhee, DEM Co-ordinator External Audit

Date of Issue: November 2018 Report No. AC1903

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Under the Standards in Scotland's Schools etc Act 2000, education authorities, such as the Council, are required to have a scheme for delegating to school Head Teachers: the management of their share of the Council's budget for a financial year which is available for allocation to individual schools and the preparation of school development plans, with a view to securing improvement in the quality of school education and to raise standards in education.

The objective of this audit was to provide assurance that the devolved school management (DSM) scheme in place is adequate and that the decision making process is appropriate based on delegations in place.

Whilst there is a clear and detailed DSM framework in place prepared with reference to the principles of the national DSM Guidelines 2012, it does not reflect current arrangements in relation to staff budget virements and carry forwards, and devolved budget formulae guidance was also obsolete in parts. In addition, the framework has not been finalised and approved by Committee. The Service has agreed to address these issues.

Under the national DSM Guidelines 2012, Councils should review local DSM schemes every three years. The planned review in 2013 did not take place due to the DEM Co-ordinator being unavailable and a review procedure not being in place, although the 2016 review was completed. The Service has agreed to formalise the DSM review process.

In general, DSM budgets are being prepared in line with guidance; support is provided by Finance to schools; and expenditure is appropriate and in line with the scheme. It was noted that school trip expenditure processed through per capita had been incurred without obtaining quotations and without obtaining exemption from the requirement to obtain quotations from the relevant Chief Officer. The Service has confirmed it will obtain exemption from the requirement to obtain quotations for the school trips concerned from the relevant Chief Officer and remind procuring officers of the requirements of Financial Regulations in this regard.

School improvement plans had been produced in a timely manner in most cases however one improvement plan selected had not been produced as expected. This increases the risk of required improvements failing to be achieved. The Service has confirmed it will ensure school improvement plans are prepared in advance of the academic year they relate to.

Head Teachers have access to eFinancials where 'real time' budget monitoring reports can be reviewed. Effective budget monitoring relies on Head Teachers / School Administrators regularly accessing eFinancials to monitor their school's financial position. The likelihood of this occurring would increase if budget monitoring reports were emailed to schools on a monthly basis. Finance has agreed to investigate whether monthly DSM budget monitoring reports can be issued automatically.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Under the Standards in Scotland's Schools etc Act 2000, education authorities, such as the Council, are required to have a scheme for delegating to school Head Teachers: the management of their share of the Council's budget for a financial year which is available for allocation to individual schools and the preparation of school development plans, with a view to securing improvement in the quality of school education and to raise standards in education.
- 1.2 DSM was introduced in 1993 to enhance and improve the management of resources at school level. The guidelines produced at that time required local authorities to devolve 80% of school budgets to Head Teachers, with the twin aims of improving local decision-making and providing more flexibility to Head Teachers in responding to the needs of individual schools. The guidelines were revised in 2006, with a recommendation that local authorities increase the level of devolved budgets to 90%. That advice reflected the principle that everything that could be devolved should be devolved, except for certain areas of expenditure that were not considered suitable for devolution.
- 1.3 The revised DSM Guidelines 2012 aimed to empower Head Teachers to meet local needs and deliver the best possible outcomes for young learners, in line with the objectives of Curriculum for Excellence, Getting it Right for Every Child (GIRFEC), and the Early Years Framework. The principles of the scheme include empowerment, partnership working, accountability, and local flexibility.
- 1.4 The Scottish Government launched a Governance Review in September 2016 partly with the objective of further empowering teachers, starting with the presumption that decisions about children's learning and school life should be taken at a school level. This included transferring legal responsibilities for delivering education and raising standards from local authorities to schools as well as developing a national funding formula for allocating resources directly to schools. Following consultation, legislative changes are no longer being sought and instead an agreement has been reached between the Scottish Government and COSLA setting out a shared commitment to empower schools. Under this agreement Head Teachers will be supported to make additional decisions on learning and teaching and on the day-to-day running of their schools.
- All nursery, primary, special and secondary schools in Aberdeen City have been operating under devolved school management since August 1997. £107.222 million was spent by schools through devolved budgets in 2017/18 while £53.648 million (48% of the 2018/19 budget) has been spent in 2018/19 as at 30 September 2018. The 2018/19 DSM budget is £110.9 million and represents 25% of the Council's budget.
- 1.6 The objective of this audit was to provide assurance that the scheme in place is adequate and that the decision making process is appropriate based on delegations in place.
- 1.7 The factual accuracy of this report and action to be taken with the recommendations made have been agreed with Chief Education Officer, Eleanor Sheppard, Sandy McPhee, DEM Co-ordinator, and Brian Dow, Finance Partner.

2. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 Written Procedures

- 2.1.1 Comprehensive written procedures which are easily accessible by all members of staff can reduce the risk of errors and inconsistency. They are beneficial for the training of current and new employees and provide management with assurance that correct and consistent instructions are available to staff, important in the event of an experienced employee being absent or leaving.
- 2.1.2 The Education and Children's Services (ECS) Draft Framework for Devolved School Management Schools and Services (draft DSM framework) was prepared in February 2018 and sets out a framework within which DSM operates within the Council.
- 2.1.3 The framework acknowledges the history of DSM in Scotland and has been prepared with reference to the principles of the national DSM Guidelines 2012 which are linked to the requirements of the Standards in Scotland's Schools (2000) etc Act. These principles cover subsidiarity and empowerment (promotion of local decision making), partnership working (collaboration with third party agencies and internally between Head Teachers, Officers, and Councillors), accountability and responsibility (seeking to obtain Best Value and continuous improvement), and local flexibility (allocation of budget based on criteria such as school roll and deprivation factors, resource use that meets needs of school).
- 2.1.4 The draft framework covers: the roles of key stakeholders; the requirement for consultation as part of DSM; which expenditure and income is devolved, devolved but ring-fenced, and which is non-devolved; and, support available to establishments operating DSM, e.g. budget training and the requirements for a 3 yearly review of the DSM framework. Whilst the draft DSM framework is clear and detailed it has not been approved by Committee. In addition, as detailed in sections 2.4 and 2.5 of this report, the draft DSM Framework does not reflect current arrangements in relation to school staff virements, the ring-fenced nature of devolved staffing budgets, and carry-forwards.
- 2.1.5 The national DSM guidelines are currently being reviewed. The scope of the review includes creating a template framework to allow local authorities to adopt a common framework whilst allowing local flexibility. This will include a review of existing schemes at a local level to identify best practice and avoid poor practice.

Recommendation

The DSM framework should be updated to reflect current arrangements, finalised and approved by Committee.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. The DSM framework will be finalised and reported to Committee for approval once the national review is concluded.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
June 2019	DEM Co-ordinator	Significant within audited
		area

2.1.6 The Devolved School Management Budget Allocation Formulae and Operational Procedure (Budget Allocation Formulae procedure) explains in detail the basis of formulae used to calculate devolved school budgets, including staffing, support costs, and year end budget carry forwards. It was noted, however, that the description of the carry forward calculation was outdated, since it does not reflect the current practice advised by the Service of all overspends being carried forward (as opposed to 2.5%) and it does not reflect the fact that underspends on staffing budgets cannot be carried forward. The

Service also advised that telephone budgets are no longer calculated in accordance with the Budget Allocation Formula procedure, instead being based on historic costs.

- 2.1.7 Whilst landlord (Council) and tenant (school) responsibilities are described in relation to DSM property repairs and maintenance budgets in a separate agreement, the Budget Allocation Formulae procedure does not indicate how these budgets are calculated, nor does it include the basis of income devolved to schools.
- 2.1.8 Staffing allocations and school rolls used to calculate devolved budgets are communicated to staff via school circulars. A 'Quality Assurance Framework Financial Management schools' procedure is in place for schools describing budget monitoring requirements, available support from Finance, and budget carry forwards. However, this procedure also reflects the historic arrangements for budget carry forwards.

Recommendation

The DSM Budget Allocation Formulae and Operational procedure and Quality Assurance Framework – Financial Management schools procedure should be updated to reflect current devolved budget arrangements.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date
March 2019Responsible Officer
DEM Co-ordinatorGrading
Significant within audited
area

2.2 Budget Allocation

- 2.2.1 According to the Budget Allocation Formulae procedure, budgets are devolved based on a range of formulae developed locally and nationally and where appropriate in accordance with negotiated agreements. Budget formulae are intended to ensure an equitable distribution of available resources.
- 2.2.2 Devolved budgets include: Staff Costs (Teachers including management, Supply Teacher absence cover up to 10 days, School Support Managers, School Administrators, Library Resource Centre Co-ordinators, Pupil Support Assistants, Technicians, Early Years Practitioners); Premises Costs (property repairs and maintenance (tenant)); Supplies and Service (Extended Per Capita Allowance (pupil per capita allowance and furniture, equipment and postage); school focused development (staff training); and, telephone call charges); Income (per capita recoveries and phone recoveries).
- 2.2.3 The 2018/19 budget spreadsheets were obtained and checked to ensure the budgets had been calculated in accordance with the Budget Allocation Formulae procedure, with the exception of income, premises costs and telephone call charges, which the Service had confirmed were being prepared on a different basis to that described in the procedure or guidance was not present, as indicated in paragraphs 2.1.4 and 2.1.5.
- 2.2.4 Staffing and Extended Per Capita Allowance budgets are primarily based on pupil roll (September / October prior to the financial year commencing), year of schooling and deprivation factors. The School Focused Development budget is for teacher training and is based on £115 per teacher FTE. These budgets were prepared in accordance with the Budget Allocation Formulae procedure.

2.3 DSM Support

2.3.1 The Budget Allocation Formulae procedure states that schools are to have a budget holder meeting with Finance on a timetabled basis throughout the year, to discuss budget, actuals and forecast. The 2018/19 timetable showed all Academies, 24 primaries and 1 special school had been visited during August and September 2018.

2.4 Virements

- 2.4.1 Virement is the mechanism for transferring funds between budgets. The Council's draft DSM framework states that maximum flexibility exists within and between fully devolved budgets such as staff costs. Finance process virements on behalf of schools at their request.
- 2.4.2 Finance monitors staff underspends due to vacancies across all Council budgets and vires the related unused budget to a centrally held 'Staff Vacancy Factor' budget. £12.68 million has been removed from devolved staffing budgets since 1 April 2016 as follows: 2016/17 £4.953 million; 2017/18 £4.835 million; and 1 April to 28 September 2018 £2.892 million.
- 2.4.3 Requests can be made by Head Teachers for staff vacancy budget to be vired to a school's Per Capita budget in order to procure the services of an external body to deliver the curriculum e.g. pupil counselling services.
- 2.4.4 The arrangements in relation to staff virements described in paragraphs 2.4.2 and 2.4.3 are not reflected within the current DSM framework and have the effect of making staff costs a ring-fenced school budget. A recommendation to update the DSM framework to reflect current arrangements has already been made at paragraph 2.1.5.

2.5 Carry Forwards

- 2.5.1 The Service advised devolved budget balances are carried forward to the following financial year as follows: 2.5% of non-staffing underspend, overspends are carried forward in full, and staff underspends will not be carried forward. Budget carry forwards are further restricted on this basis when compared to the draft DSM framework, which states an establishment may carry forward up to 2.5% of its total devolved budgets (including ringfenced budget) as either an under or overspend into the next financial year. A recommendation to update the DSM framework to reflect current arrangements has already been made at paragraph 2.1.5.
- 2.5.2 A sample of Primary, Secondary and Special school carry forward balances was selected to ensure they were prepared as indicated by the Service and that the April 2018 opening carry forward balances agreed to the carry forward balances calculated at year-end 2017/18. This was found to be the case.

2.6 Monitoring

2.6.1 Head Teachers have access to eFinancials where 'real time' financial information can be reviewed. Head Teachers also receive monthly financial monitoring reports, which show the full year budget, budget to date, spend to date, over / under spend to date, projected annual spend, and projected annual overspend / underspend. These are further supplemented for Schools by additional DSM monitoring reports, which in addition to the information on the general monitoring report also detail the provisional carry forward limit and a range of additional transactional information. These reports are useful at identifying where underspends and overspends are developing during the financial year and need to be addressed. The Head Teacher concerned can then use eFinancials to investigate the

related transactions and if required flag any miscodings to Finance to arrange a correcting journal entry.

2.6.2 Effective budget monitoring relies on Head Teachers / School Administrators regularly accessing eFinancials to monitor their school's financial position. The likelihood of this occurring would increase if DSM budget monitoring reports were emailed to schools on a monthly basis.

Recommendation

Finance should investigate whether automated monthly DSM budget monitoring reports can be issued to schools.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

January 2019 Finance Partner Important within audited

area

2.7 Expenditure

- 2.7.1 Devolved expenditure should improve the quality of school education and raise educational standards in accordance with the Standards in Scotland's Schools etc Act 2000. The Council's 2012 draft DSM framework describes expenditure which can and cannot be devolved to schools. Non-devolved expenditure includes: certain staff costs such as long service awards; landlord repairs and maintenance costs (mainly anything structural); certain course expenses; and, transport costs including hired transport and season tickets. Devolved expenditure is as described in paragraph 2.2.2.
- 2.7.2 A sample of 10 schools was selected and all invoices processed between April 2018 and June 2018 that were above £10,000 and were charged to Per Capita and Repairs and Maintenance devolved budgets were reviewed. The spend was found to be appropriate and in line with the draft DSM framework. It was, however, noted that a contract was not in place for 3 suppliers used relating to school trips. Each trip cost less than £50,000 and quotations had not been obtained by the schools concerned prior to engaging the suppliers used. Two schools submitted Quotation Exemption Forms however one of these was not authorised by the relevant Chief Officer as required by Financial Regulations. In addition, one school did not request exemption from the requirement to obtain quotations.

Recommendation

Ferryhill school and Mile End school should obtain exemption from the requirement to obtain quotations for the school trips concerned from the relevant Chief Officer.

A reminder should be issued to schools of the requirement to obtain quotations or to seek exemption from this requirement for expenditure under £50,000.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation DateResponsible OfficerGradingDecember 2018Chief Education OfficerSignificant within audited area

2.7.3 A recommendation is outstanding from Internal Audit report AC1623 "Compliance with Procurement Legislation", that the Service should ensure that spend on supplies which are likely to be used by more than one school is forecast so that appropriate Committee

approval and tendering can be completed for aggregate spend. This included spend on school trips. The Service intends to resolve this by developing a work plan for Education and Children's Services supported by business cases, approval for which will be sought from the Strategic Commissioning Committee.

2.8 Development Plans

- 2.8.1 As stated in paragraph 1.1, under the Standards in Scotland's Schools etc Act 2000, the Council is required to have a scheme for delegating to school Head Teachers the preparation of school development plans. The plans are required to set objectives for schools, taking into account the Council's education improvement objectives.
- 2.8.2 The draft DSM Framework states that the key to quality management at establishment level is the development plan and that the linkages between the plan, devolved processes, and devolved budgets are critical to the effective delivery of the curriculum.
- 2.8.3 School 'Improvement Plans' are prepared by Head Teachers at the beginning of the school year. These include national, Council and school key priorities. An action plan is included for each school priority, including required resources, measures of success, implementation dates, progress to date, and intended impact. The plan also includes continued development work, a copy of the Pupil Equity Fund (PEF) plan, and a summary of the actions and consultation that has taken place with staff, parents and pupils on improvement priorities.
- A sample of 10 schools was selected to establish if improvement plans had been prepared for 2018/19 in a timely manner, they refer to national and Council education improvement objectives, and there is evidence of consultation with parents, pupils and staff. This was found to be the case with the exception of one school who had not produced their 2018/19 improvement plan as at 30 October 2018. Delays producing improvement plans increase the risk that school improvements will fail to be achieved.

Recommendation

School improvement plans should be formalised ahead of the academic year they relate to.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
January 2019	Quality Improvement	Significant within audited
	Manager	area

2.9 DSM Review

2.9.1 Under the national DSM Guidelines 2012, Councils should review local DSM schemes every three years. Although individual elements of the scheme, such as adjustments to formulae, have been subject to review in February 2018, the scheme was last fully reviewed in 2016 and previously in June 2010. The planned review in 2013 did not take place due to the DEM Co-ordinator being unavailable. This suggests there is a lack of shared knowledge to enable a DEM review to take place in the absence of the DEM Co-Ordinator. There is not currently a procedure in place covering the DSM review process.

Recommendation

A procedure should be prepared covering the DSM review process.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

March 2019 DEM Co-ordinator Significant within audited

area

AUDITORS: D Hughes

A Johnston A McDonald

Appendix 1 – Grading of Recommendations

GRADE	DEFINITION
Major at a Corporate Level	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss, or loss of reputation, to the Council.
Major at a Service Level	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss to the Service/area audited. Financial Regulations have been consistently breached.
Significant within audited area	Addressing this issue will enhance internal controls. An element of control is missing or only partial in nature. The existence of the weakness identified has an impact on a system's adequacy and effectiveness. Financial Regulations have been breached.
Important within audited area	Although the element of internal control is satisfactory, a control weakness was identified, the existence of the weakness, taken independently or with other findings does not impair the overall system of internal control.

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee
DATE	4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	Internal Audit Report AC1830 – Internal Transport Tendering
REPORT NUMBER	IA/AC1830
DIRECTOR	N/A
REPORT AUTHOR	David Hughes
TERMS OF REFERENCE	2.2

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 The purpose of this report is to present the planned Internal Audit report on Internal Transport Tendering.

2. RECOMMENDATION

2.1 It is recommended that the Committee review, discuss and comment on the issues raised within this report and the attached appendix.

3. BACKGROUND / MAIN ISSUES

Internal Audit has completed the attached report which relates to an audit of Internal Transport Tendering.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

The Internal Audit process considers risks involved in the areas subject to review. Any risk implications identified through the Internal Audit process are as detailed in the attached appendix.

7. OUTCOMES

- 7.1 There are no direct impacts, as a result of this report, in relation to the Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes of Prosperous Economy, People or Place, or Enabling Technology, or on the Design Principles of the Target Operating Model.
- 7.2 However, Internal Audit plays a key role in providing assurance over, and helping to improve, the Council's framework of governance, risk management and control. These arrangements, put in place by the Council, help ensure that the Council achieves its strategic objectives in a well-managed and controlled environment.

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	An assessment is not required because the reason for this report is for Committee to review, discuss and comment on the outcome of an internal audit. As a result, there will be no differential impact, as a result of the proposals in this report, on people with protected characteristics.
Privacy Impact Assessment	Not required
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable

9. APPENDICES

9.1 Internal Audit report AC1830—Internal Transport Tendering.

10. REPORT AUTHOR DETAILS

David Hughes, Chief Internal Auditor David.Hughes@aberdeenshire.gov.uk (01467) 537861



Internal Audit Report

Operations

Internal Transport Tendering

Issued to:

Rob Polkinghorne, Chief Operating Officer, Operations Mark Reilly, Chief Officer – Operations and Protective Services Craig Innes, Chief Officer – Commercial and Procurement Jonathan Belford, Chief Officer – Finance Fraser Bell, Chief Officer – Governance William Whyte, Fleet Services Manager External Audit

Date of Issue: October 2018 Report No. AC1830

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Fleet procures all vehicles, plant and equipment, in accordance with the requirements of Operations and other Council functions, and in conjunction with the Commercial and Procurement Shared Service (CPSS). Between April 2016 and March 2018, payments of £1.7 million have been made for vehicle hires, and £5 million of vehicle and plant has been purchased.

All procurement activity needs to comply with the requirements set out in the Council's Financial and Procurement Regulations, and associated guidance, to ensure best value is being obtained and compliance with legislation. The objective of this audit was to ensure that purchases are being undertaken in line with these Regulations.

Whilst procurement exercises had been undertaken, and in most cases CPSS was consulted, documentation held on file was not always sufficient to fully evidence justification for selection and award, including direct awards without competition, particularly during a period of change for the Service. In addition, not all contracts entered into had been formally recorded on the Council's contracts register. Fleet will ensure records, calculations, and justifications are checked and retained on file for future procurement.

Following changes to procurement regulations in March 2018, Services have been required to present procurement work plans in advance of further procurement exercises. The Service submitted a work plan to the Strategic Commissioning Committee in September 2018, and this was agreed.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Fleet procures all vehicles, plant and equipment, in accordance with the requirements of Operations and other Council functions, and in conjunction with the Commercial and Procurement Shared Service. Between April 2016 and March 2018, payments of £1.7 million have been made for vehicle hires, and £5 million of vehicle and plant has been purchased.
- 1.2 All procurement activity needs to comply with the requirements set out in the Council's Financial and Procurement Regulations, and associated guidance, to ensure best value is being obtained and compliance with legislation. The objective of this audit was to ensure that purchases are being undertaken in line with these Regulations. This involved examining a selection of purchases from the previous two financial years including ensuring that where appropriate tenders were undertaken and Committee approval evidenced in advance.
- 1.3 The factual accuracy of this report and action to be taken with regard to the recommendations made have been agreed with William Whyte, Fleet Services Manager and Craig Innes, Chief Officer Commercial and Procurement.

2. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 Written Procedures

- 2.1.1 Comprehensive written procedures which are easily accessible by all members of staff can reduce the risk of errors and inconsistency. They are beneficial for the training of current and new employees and provide management with assurance of correct and consistent practices being followed, especially in the event of an experienced employee being absent or leaving.
- 2.1.2 Corporate documentation, including Procurement Regulations and Procurement Guidance Notes, is available covering all aspects of purchasing. This should be referred to prior to making any purchase, and in conjunction with communication with the Commercial and Procurement Shared Service (CPSS) for high value or high risk procurements.

2.2 Hires

- 2.2.1 The Service regularly hires vehicles on behalf of services for operational service delivery. Between 1 April 2016 and 31 March 2018 this amounted to £1.7 million, with spend on the top six suppliers of these services amounting to £1.63 million. This expenditure consisted of numerous hires of relatively small value and in these instances cumulative spend with the supplier must be taken in to account when determining the appropriate procurement route.
- 2.2.2 All suppliers with which the Service has placed orders for hires were on a Scotland Excel Framework Agreement which expired on 31 October 2017 and has subsequently been replaced by a new Agreement through which each of these suppliers can still be contracted. However, call off contracts are only recorded on the contracts register (BOrganised) for four out of the six suppliers, indicating that two had not been officially contracted for the supplies. Each of these call offs expired with the Framework Agreement, and whilst the suppliers have continued to be used, there have been no new call off contracts recorded on the register. Services are required to update the register promptly following the award of new contracts. In addition, there is no record of formal adoption of the new Framework (effective from 1 November 2017) by the Council as required under Procurement Regulations.

Recommendation

The Service (CPSS & Fleet) should ensure that the new Framework is considered for formal adoption for use, and call offs are registered as appropriate, before further purchases are made.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. The framework has been formally adopted but call offs with appropriate suppliers still require to be added to the contracts register.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	Grading
January 2019	Fleet Services Manager;	Significant within audited
-	Category Manager CPSS	area

2.2.3 The Procurement Regulations additionally require that projected expenditure in excess of specified thresholds is reported on a workplan for approval by the Strategic Commissioning Committee, prior to the commencement of the new financial year. Expenditure on hires, and one-off capital purchases (see section 2.3 below), is likely to continue to exceed these thresholds, but a workplan has yet to be prepared and approved.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure a work plan is submitted to the Strategic Commissioning Committee in support of all projected expenditure.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. A work plan was agreed by the Strategic Commissioning Committee on 13 September 2018.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
Implemented	Fleet Services Manager	Significant within audited
		area

2.2.4 There has been no recent analysis of the comparative value offered through hire or purchase of fleet. Such an analysis could aid the Service in decisions on whether to continue hiring or purchase vehicles outright.

Recommendation

The Service should review the costs and benefits of hires compared with purchasing.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. A review has been completed in conjunction with Building Services and, taking into account the resale value of vehicles, outright purchase is the most economically advantageous.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
Implemented	Fleet Services Manager	Important within audited
		area

2.3 Capital Purchases

- 2.3.1 Twelve capital purchases with a combined value of £4.4million were reviewed, out of total expenditure of £5 million for vehicles and plant between April 2016 and March 2018. It should be noted that although payment for these purchases was made within this period, the procurement process had in some instances started prior to these dates.
- 2.3.2 All high level purchases should be subject to Committee approval as part of overall planned Service expenditure. It was noted that Committee (Communities, Housing & Infrastructure) approval was sought and subsequently given in January 2017 for expenditure to 30 April 2018.
- 2.3.3 There was no record of approval for expenditure prior to January 2017, although expenditure up to this date was presented to Committee in January 2017 with it being subsequently noted. The Service explained that following an investigation and subsequent report by the Traffic Commissioner emergency measures had been adopted by the Service to bring the fleet up to date, including employing a Consultant, following which a number of purchases were made, which as discussed further below do not appear to have followed the correct protocol.
- 2.3.4 The Service has drafted a report for the Operations Committee detailing projected expenditure on new vehicles for the period to 31 March 2019. The Fleet Manager has confirmed that no further expenditure is to be incurred until Committee approval has been granted. This will however require to be supported by a procurement workplan for the Strategic Commissioning Committee as noted at 2.2.3 above.
- 2.3.5 Procurement procedures require that a minimum of four quotations should be sought for purchases between the value of £10,000 and £50,000. Between £50,000 and the EU

tender threshold limit (currently £181,302) a tender exercise must be undertaken, and for amounts over the threshold this must be OJEU compliant – which includes additional steps and requirements. Direct awards can however be made to suppliers by the appropriate Officer without going to tender, on an exceptional basis, but only if there are justifiable reasons for doing so and these have been approved in advance, in a specified format, by CPSS. There is a risk in doing so, as it will be more difficult to demonstrate that best value is being obtained, and a risk of the process or outcome being challenged.

- 2.3.6 Of the twelve purchases examined, eight had been recorded as direct awards to suppliers with a combined value of £3.9 million. Although the Service had retained notes indicating why a direct award was considered appropriate in six cases, there was only a record of CPSS agreement for one, for an award to the value of £1.79 million. In the other two instances orders with a combined value of £183,364 had been placed directly with suppliers with no recorded justification for direct award.
- 2.3.7 In addition, although it was recorded that one contract had been awarded on the basis of lowest price following a mini competition between Framework suppliers further examination showed that only one supplier had been approached for a quotation, which had then been compared with fixed pricing as originally included in the Framework agreement for the other supplier. The supplier to which the contract was awarded had offered a discount on pricing, which the other supplier had not been afforded the opportunity to do. This purchase was therefore also a direct award, with no clear justification.
- 2.3.8 Where the Service had recorded reasons for direct award (without CPSS approval) these were not generally supported with evidence or statements that clearly demonstrated the requirement to award contracts without competition. An element of brand preference was evident, with reasons including workshop and operator experience, longevity, resale values, service quality and availability, being noted. These assumptions were not supported by evidence of either prior experience in comparison to other options or of comparative elements from providers' sales literature. Even if the assumptions are correct, there is no guarantee that this will remain the case in the future. Continued direct award for the same supplies risks further reducing the opportunity to explore other competitive options, and of these decisions being subject to challenge.
- 2.3.9 If direct awards are appropriate they need to be supported with clear evidence to demonstrate this, and CPSS approval.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure that if direct awards are proposed these are supported by clear evidence of the reasons for doing so, and approved by CPSS.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date
ImplementedResponsible Officer
Fleet Services ManagerGrading
Significant within audited
area

2.3.10 Arithmetical errors were noted in the scoring of the mini-competition. One of the five elements used to rank the suppliers had not been included within the calculation, although in this case it would not have affected the final result due to the suppliers scoring equally in this regard, and the weighting applied to price. However, in other circumstances an error could lead to a supplier being incorrectly awarded a contract.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure that tender scoring is independently checked before proceeding with contract awards.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

Implemented Fleet Services Manager Important within audited

area

2.3.11 In one instance where tenders had been prepared, received and reviewed it was apparent that quality aspects of bids were being reviewed by a panel and compared with each other to provide a ranked order for each quality element. This is not appropriate procurement practice as it introduces subjectivity: bids should each be individually compared with the advertised award criteria, not with each other.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure that tenders are scored against the advertised award criteria, not against other bids.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

Implemented Fleet Services Manager Important within audited

area

- 2.3.12 In order to evidence that the correct procedure has been followed in all instances, appropriate documentation should be retained by the Service. In one instance an order was placed to the value of £136,500 following a mini competition. While there was evidence of the scoring which indicated which supplier had been awarded the contract, there was no documentation showing the original invitation to quote: the Service stated that the Consultant employed at the time would have emailed the relevant suppliers, but there was no evidence retained of this. The returned quotations were also not present, therefore it was not possible to determine whether the scoring was accurate. It was further noted on the Purchase Order that this order had been authorised via email due to it being a business critical case. Again no evidence had been retained of this.
- 2.3.13 Upon a supplier being successful they should be issued with a letter confirming the award, and this should be signed and returned by the supplier in order to confirm the contract. In 7 of the 12 instances there was either no copy of the letter issued to the supplier or there was no copy of the letter having been signed and returned. In a further instance there was no evidence of the unsuccessful suppliers being informed of the award.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure that all relevant documentation is retained in relation to procurement exercises.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

Implemented Fleet Services Manager Significant within audited

2.3.14 The Service has options to purchase vehicle chassis and bodies separately or in combination through various Scotland Excel and other Framework Agreements. In some cases combined vehicles are being purchased (a chassis with a specific body) following tender exercises, with the body supplier purchasing the chassis and including it within the price. There is no comparison on file to demonstrate that this offered best value in comparison to the Service purchasing the chassis (at a discounted Framework rate) then providing it to the body supplier, which was the process in other cases.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure it can demonstrate the best value combination of vehicle components is being selected.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date
ImplementedResponsible Officer
Fleet Services ManagerGrading
Important within audited
area

AUDITORS: D Hughes

C Harvey D Henderson

Appendix 1 – Grading of Recommendations

GRADE	DEFINITION
Major at a Corporate Level	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss, or loss of reputation, to the Council.
Major at a Service Level	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss to the Service/area audited. Financial Regulations have been consistently breached.
Significant within audited area	Addressing this issue will enhance internal controls. An element of control is missing or only partial in nature. The existence of the weakness identified has an impact on a system's adequacy and effectiveness. Financial Regulations have been breached.
Important within audited area	Although the element of internal control is satisfactory, a control weakness was identified, the existence of the weakness, taken independently or with other findings does not impair the overall system of internal control.

This page is intentionally left blank

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee
DATE	4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	Internal Audit Report AC1911 – Business Rates
REPORT NUMBER	IA/AC1911
DIRECTOR	N/A
REPORT AUTHOR	David Hughes
TERMS OF REFERENCE	2.2

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 The purpose of this report is to present the planned Internal Audit report on Business Rates.

2. RECOMMENDATION

2.1 It is recommended that the Committee review, discuss and comment on the issues raised within this report and the attached appendix.

3. BACKGROUND / MAIN ISSUES

3.1 Internal Audit has completed the attached report which relates to an audit of Business Rates.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

The Internal Audit process considers risks involved in the areas subject to review. Any risk implications identified through the Internal Audit process are as detailed in the attached appendix.

7. OUTCOMES

- 7.1 There are no direct impacts, as a result of this report, in relation to the Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes of Prosperous Economy, People or Place, or Enabling Technology, or on the Design Principles of the Target Operating Model.
- 7.2 However, Internal Audit plays a key role in providing assurance over, and helping to improve, the Council's framework of governance, risk management and control. These arrangements, put in place by the Council, help ensure that the Council achieves its strategic objectives in a well-managed and controlled environment.

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment	An assessment is not required because the reason for this report is for Committee to review, discuss and comment on the outcome of an internal audit. As a result, there will be no differential impact, as a result of the proposals in this report, on people with protected characteristics.
Privacy Impact Assessment	Not required
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable

9. APPENDICES

9.1 Internal Audit report AC1911 – Business Rates.

10. REPORT AUTHOR DETAILS

David Hughes, Chief Internal Auditor David.Hughes@aberdeenshire.gov.uk (01467) 537861



Internal Audit Report

Customer

Business Rates

Issued to:

Andy MacDonald, Director of Customer Services Jacqui McKenzie, Chief Officer – Customer Experience Jonathan Belford, Chief Officer – Finance Fraser Bell, Chief Officer – Governance Wayne Connell, Revenues and Benefits Manager External Audit

Date of Issue: November 2018 Report No. AC1911

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Business Rates are levied in respect of all non-exempt commercial properties. The amount to be paid by the liable party is based on the rateable value (as determined by the local Assessor) and the poundage rate set by the Scottish Government each year. The Council is responsible for the billing, collection and enforcement of Business Rates, with mandatory reliefs and reliefs the Council has discretion over prescribed by legislation.

The net amount collectable by the Council for Business Rates for 2018/19 is approximately £255.6 million (2017/18 - £239.49m), after the granting of various forms of exemption and relief totalling £44.44 million (2017/18 - £48.81m). As at August 2018, Business Rates applied to over 10,800 rateable subjects, with 3,640 qualifying for some type of exemption or relief.

The objective of this audit was to provide assurance over the accuracy of Business Rates billing and robustness of collection arrangements.

In general, Business Rate bills were accurate and collection arrangements were adequate, however, a number of recommendations were made to improve procedures and controls.

The procedures covered all aspects of NDR administration. However, they had not been kept up to date, with arrangements for writing off debts found to be obsolete. The Service has agreed to update written procedures.

The system relies on relief end dates to be entered manually rather than automatically calculating the end date based on the start date entered. An error was identified in relation to relief being incorrectly awarded for two years instead of one as a result of the incorrect end date being entered. The Service has agreed to liaise with the system provider to establish whether the system can be updated.

The NDR system automatically suppresses bills under £50 during the year however this is not the case for annual billing. Whilst the number of bills suppressed is relatively small this has the effect of writing off these bills. The Service has agreed to raise amounts owed for bills lower than £50 and greater than £25 in line with Finance Regulations.

NDR bills are issued at the beginning of the financial year, usually during the first week of April. It was noted that evidence of reconciliation of the bills printed to the Assessor records had not been retained. The Service advised this was a one-off and have agreed to retain evidence in future.

The NDR Suspense account is reviewed every month and transactions are investigated and cleared on a regular basis by the NDR and Bank Reconciliation teams working together. Reports were reviewed and seen to be run regularly. However, as at 3 September 2018, there was a balance of £70,044 in the Suspense account with transactions dating back to March 2010. The Service has agreed to liaise with Finance to identify the best way to investigate and clear suspense transactions over 12 months old.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Business Rates / Non Domestic Rates (NDR) are levied in respect of all non-exempt commercial properties. The amount to be paid by the liable party is based on the rateable value (as determined by the local Assessor) and the poundage rate set by the Scottish Government each year. The Council is responsible for the billing, collection and enforcement of Business Rates, with mandatory reliefs and reliefs the Council has discretion over prescribed by legislation. All Business Rates collected by local authorities are notionally paid into the national non-domestic rates pool, maintained by the Scottish Government. This is then redistributed to local authorities according to each authority's estimated collection levels via an adjustment to the General Revenue Grant.
- 1.2 The poundage rate set for 2018/19 was 48p (2017/18 46.6p), with a Large Business supplement of 2.6p for rateable values in excess of £51,000 (2017/18 same).
- The Council uses the Northgate NDR system to manage Business Rates, and the Civica Universal Revenues & Benefits (URB) electronic document management system to store scanned information pertaining to reliefs claimed, liabilities owed and correspondence from ratepayers. The net amount collectable by Aberdeen City Council for Business Rates for 2018/19 is approximately £255.6 million (2017/18 £239.49m), after the granting of various forms of exemption and relief totalling £44.44 million (2017/18 £48.81m; the lower net amount collected in 2017/18 is partly due to transitional relief awarded following the 2017 Assessor rates revaluation). As at August 2018, Business Rates applied to over 10,800 rateable subjects, with 3,640 qualifying for some type of exemption or relief.
- 1.4 The objective of this audit was to provide assurance over the accuracy of Business Rates billing and robustness of collection arrangements.
- 1.5 The factual accuracy of this report and action to be taken with regard to the recommendations made have been agreed with Wayne Connell, Revenues & Benefits Manager and Alison Blair, Team Leader Non-Domestic Rates & Aberdeen Business Improvement District.

2. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 Written Procedures and Training

- 2.1.1 Comprehensive written procedures which are easily accessible by all members of staff can reduce the risk of errors and inconsistency. They are beneficial for the training of current and new employees and provide management with assurance that correct and consistent instructions are available to staff, which is important in the event of an experienced employee being absent or leaving.
- 2.1.2 Written procedures are stored on an online platform, in the same way as Council Tax and other Revenue and Benefits guidance. They are accessible to all members of staff who have been given the log in details; these credentials are not unique to each individual, are not regularly changed and a list of staff with access is not maintained, as access is not seen to be high risk. The procedures were reviewed by Internal Audit and seen to cover all aspects of NDR administration. However, they had not been kept up to date.
- 2.1.3 In one case (*Billing, Recovery, and Interest on Overpayments*) the reasons, values and authority for approval for writing off debts were all obsolete as they did not agree with Finance Regulations whilst the version date was incorrect in a number of areas.
- 2.1.4 During the audit at least one new member of staff had recently been recruited and was receiving face to face training. If procedures are not kept up to date new staff may not be efficiently trained and tasks may be carried out inconsistently or incorrectly.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure that guidance documents are brought up to date and regular reviews are scheduled thereafter.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. Reviews of procedures will take place when legislation is updated.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
February 2019	Revenues & Benefits	Important within audited
	Manager	area

2.1.5 Training transcripts were examined to confirm that a sample of 5 system users had received sufficient training to carry out their duties, including Information Governance and this was found to be the case.

2.2 System Access

- 2.2.1 The NDR system has been provided by Northgate since 2002. The system is not currently fully compliant with GDPR, but an upgrade is due to be provided by the system vendor which is expected to address this. The Regional Manager of the ICO Scotland Office advised at a meeting for data protection officers that it was acceptable for existing systems which were not currently compliant to remain so until an update could be supplied under contract or the contract ended.
- 2.2.2 Access is granted by the Systems team on receipt of a system access form. Access requirements are reviewed on a yearly basis and users are terminated when the Systems team is notified by a manager that the employee has left or no longer requires access. A sample of 5 users was reviewed. For all users, access forms had been completed and filed, and the access level granted was appropriate.

2.3 Billing

- 2.3.1 Liability for business rates is determined by the Rateable Value of the property, multiplied by the national poundage rates set by the Scottish Government each year. Rateable Values are determined by the local Assessors (currently a revaluation exercise is carried out every five years). On top of the standard poundage, a large business supplement is charged on all property over a set value. The 2017 Revaluation exercise raised this from £35,000 to £51,000.
- 2.3.2 Prior to annual billing, the test Northgate NDR system is updated to reflect the current position on the live system. The parameters for the new financial year (changes to the poundage rate and any relief) are input by the Revenues & Benefits Manager and checked by the Team Leader NDR & Aberdeen BID. Screen prints are taken of all billing parameters and signed and dated by the Manager and Team Leader. Annual billing is then run on the test system and a sample of bills produced for checking. If the results are as expected, the process is repeated on the live system, with bills being checked once more for accuracy before being issued.
- 2.3.3 The documents supporting the update process for 2018/19 were obtained and reviewed. They were seen to be complete and updated parameters had been confirmed as correct with a signed and dated screenshot. The sample test and live bills were saved and were seen to be correct and complete.
- 2.3.4 Annual bills were issued on 6 April 2018. A sample of bills reviewed matched local Assessor records recorded in Universal Revenues & Benefits (URB) and were billed accurately.
- 2.3.5 The Service advised that the system is set to automatically suppress zero and in-credit bills as well as those for under £50 during the year however this is not the case for annual billing. This has the effect of writing off bills lower than £50 in year. Finance Regulations states that no debtors invoice should be raised for a value less than £25. 10 charges under £50 and over £25 were outstanding as at 19 October 2018 totalling £357.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure bills are raised in line with Finance Regulations.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
January 2019	Revenues & Benefits	Significant within audited
	Manager	area

2.3.6 The bills tested were those which had been scanned into URB in response to customer requests for duplicate bills. The bills are produced and enveloped by the Printing Service based in Woodhill House and cannot be printed at Marischal College. The Service has advised they are in the process of testing the production of copy bills at Mariscal College now that the required server has been put in place by Digital and Technology. A recommendation is included for tracking purposes.

Recommendation

Printing of copy bills at Mariscal College should be made available.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

January 2019 Revenues & Benefits Important within audited

Manager area

- 2.3.7 The Service advised that the Northgate system is capable of producing e-bills to be sent by email, but these have not been used as businesses have stated previously that paper bills are preferable. However, adding functionality to the system to enable billing documents to be easily viewed would enable the team to respond more quickly and efficiently to requests for duplicate bills. It is also noteworthy that the Barclay Review of the business rates system in Scotland has recommended that ratepayers be incentivised to sign up for online billing where available except in exceptional circumstances as savings can be made in print and postage costs.
- 2.3.8 Sending new and copy Business Rates bills electronically has been identified as a "digitalisation opportunity" to be investigated and implemented as part of the wider corporate Digital Transformation programme.
- 2.3.9 The bills are issued at the beginning of the financial year, usually during the first week of April. The Team Leader NDR & Aberdeen BID and a member of the NDR system support team check the print run and reconcile the numbers. The Service advised that this had been carried out as usual in April 2018, but evidence of this activity had not been retained.

Recommendation

The Service should ensure that evidence of billing run reconciliations is retained.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

January 2019 Revenues & Benefits Important within audited

Manager area

2.4 Assessors Valuation Roll

- 2.4.1 Any changes can be intimated by the rate payer to the Council, but most come from a weekly notification from the local Assessor which is interfaced with the NDR system. This is discussed further in section 2.8 below.
- 2.4.2 A sample of 8 changes made to accounts in the NDR system following notification from the local Assessor was reviewed to confirm that they were accurate and supported, that liabilities had been recalculated where required, and that revised bills had been issued timeously. All changes were supported and liabilities had been recalculated. Revised demand notices were timeous and accurate and reasons for changes in liability, were documented and authorised where required by the Team Leader NDR & Aberdeen BID dating and initialling the relevant section of the report.

2.5 Exemptions and Reliefs

- 2.5.1 Although the liability calculated using the poundage rate and rateable value gives the gross rates bill due, rate payers may be eligible for a number of mandatory and discretionary reliefs, and for certain business and properties, exemption can be claimed. These reductions on the rates due can be up to 100%. Service procedures require documented approval by the "Head of Service" for discretionary charitable reliefs however they do not specify who is authorised to approve other types of discretionary relief. The Council's Powers Delegated to Officers 2018 states that the Chief Officer Customer Experience has power to administer, collect and enforce recovery of non-domestic rates in accordance with appropriate regulations, legislation, and council policy. Authority has been delegated to the officers who currently approve discretionary reliefs, as allowed under general delegations to Chief Officers.
- 2.5.2 Statutory exemptions apply to properties which are exempt from the valuation roll (e.g. agricultural lands, crown properties, public parks, fishings and shootings, etc), or are included on the valuation roll but fully exempt from payment of rates (e.g. churches and other places of worship), or are fully exempt if and as long as they remain empty (listed buildings, properties valued under £1,700, properties where occupation is prohibited by law). Other reliefs are available for a variety of property types, although these must be applied for. The Council's procedures require that reliefs awarded be reviewed every 12 months.
- 2.5.3 A sample of 25 properties that have been classified as exempt from assessment or valuation within the NDR system was reviewed and it was confirmed that all properties had been appropriately classified and that relief was being appropriately applied.
- 2.5.4 Charitable organisations are eligible for mandatory relief of 80% which may, at the Council's discretion, be increased by up to a further 20%. Sports clubs are eligible for a discretionary award of up to 100%. A sample of 25 accounts where such mandatory and / or discretionary relief had been granted was reviewed to confirm that the appropriate form had been completed and was supported by evidence, that the ratepayer or property met the criteria for the relief, that the relief had been correctly calculated and processed timeously, and that where discretionary relief was awarded that this had been approved by the "Head of Service".
- 2.5.5 15 of the properties had first been awarded the relief prior to 2005 and the original application and approval had not been retained. It was noted all had completed a fresh application or review form within the past 12 months. The review forms were authorised by the Team Leader NDR and Aberdeen BID while 'Briefing Report Discretionary Rates Relief' forms had been authorised by the Revenues Support Manager in response to applications. In all cases, a constitution, evidence of charitable status, and set of accounts had been supplied where required; the relief had been correctly calculated and applied timeously; and the ratepayer had been informed of the award. No refunds had been required.
- 2.5.6 For one of the sample, both mandatory and discretionary relief had been appropriately awarded on charitable grounds in 2011 but since June 2015 the playing field has not been in use, as advised by the property owner and recorded in URB. It is therefore not clear that granting full relief remains appropriate, since this provides no encouragement to the ratepayer to put the property back into use. The Service advised that this would be considered but that the property was likely to continue to receive 100% relief.
- 2.5.7 If discretionary awards are renewed without being reviewed there is a risk of loss of income to the Council through inappropriate awards.

Recommendation

The Service should investigate the identified property and confirm that the type and level of relief awarded is correct.

Service Response / Action

Agreed. The relief will be reviewed in January 2019 as part of the property's annual review.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
January 2019	Revenues & Benefits	Important within audited
	Manager	area

- 2.5.8 Other types of relief include void relief, which grants 50% relief for 3 months followed by 10%; Small Business Bonus, which offers up to 100% relief based on the rateable value of the property; and miscellaneous reliefs such as Fresh Start, offering 12 months 50% relief to newly occupied properties, and Disabled, offering 100% relief to properties used by an organisation where care or welfare services are provided. Tests were carried out on 25 properties for each of these categories. All applications for void and Small Business Bonus relief reviewed were supported by the appropriate exemption form and were supported by evidence, had been reviewed if necessary, and had been entered accurately into the NDR system. Revised liability had been correctly calculated, date of reduction where relevant was correct and refunds had been processed timeously.
- 2.5.9 All sampled applications for other types of relief were supported by the appropriate form and by evidence where required. In all cases relief and revised liability had been correctly calculated and applied from the correct date. However, in 12 Disabled relief cases, the award had not been reviewed within the last 12 months as required by Service procedures. If awards of relief are not regularly reviewed there is a risk of loss of income to the Council. The Service advised that these had been a low priority for review as they were long-standing and rarely changed. However, the process for review was underway at the time of the audit.
- 2.5.10 In one case the relief had been granted for one year from 1 August 2017 but, as at September 2018, there was no indication that this had been reviewed or the liability recalculated. The Service advised that an error had been made when the relief was entered into the system in 2017 which had extended the relief to 2019 rather than 2018. The error was corrected after the Internal Audit query and a fresh bill generated.
- 2.5.11 Relying on manual entry of data increases the risk of error. If reliefs which have a set expiry date had an end date automatically calculated by the NDR system upon input, this would minimise the risk of inappropriate extension.

Recommendation

The Service should investigate with the system provider whether it would be possible to have end dates for certain reliefs automatically completed by the system.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date	Responsible Officer	<u>Grading</u>
January 2019	Revenues & Benefits	Significant within audited
	Manager	area

2.6 Payments

Income

- 2.6.1 There are several options for payment and these are described on the back of the Bill issued. Payments can be made through the online payments portal; in person or by post; over the phone; or by setting up a direct debit. Rates are payable by 10 instalments from May to February or in full before 30 September in any year. If an account is issued after the start of a financial year, the number of instalments will reduce.
- 2.6.2 A daily reconciliation is carried out between the cash receipting system, the ledger and the NDR system by the Bank Reconciliation team. The reconciliation and supporting documents for August 2018 were reviewed and it was confirmed that the process is working satisfactorily.
- 2.6.3 Failed payments are reported to the Bank Reconciliation team as part of the matching and reconciliation process and are investigated and corrected by the Bank Reconciliation team and NDR team working together. Failed payment reports for August 2018 were obtained and reviewed and the appropriate actions were seen to have been taken. In addition, Billing and Collection reports and Charges and Transactions reports are run on a monthly basis by the Team Leader NDR & Aberdeen BID to show what has been collected, and how, and what remains outstanding; this data is reported to the Revenues and Benefits Manager.

Refunds

- 2.6.4 Refunds may become due if previous years are found to have been overpaid after recalculation, or if relief is allocated after payments were made. Where the overpayment is as a result of an error or a Rateable Value reduction, the Council is required to pay interest at a rate determined by the Scottish Government (at present this is based on the current Bank of England base rate). The NDR system automatically recalculates the liability and shows resulting credits on the account. The NDR team checks that the ratepayer has no other outstanding rates bills for other properties, and also notifies the corporate cash collection team to ensure no corporate debt is outstanding. Account credits should be allocated against such debts before being refunded. The customer is then refunded with the transaction being recorded in the NDR system, and the balance updated. Refunds are reported on monthly and checked by the Team Leader - NDR & Aberdeen BID, who also reconciles refunds paid on an annual basis. Recent reports were obtained and reviewed and seen to be regularly run and the appropriate action taken. although the refund reconciliation for 2017/18 had not been completed at the time of the audit.
- According to the NDR system report 712 refunds were made in 2017/18 with a value of £4.77 million; this compares to 691 refunds in 2016/17 with a total value of £3.89 million. As at the end of September 2017, 127 refunds had been made for a total value of £860,000, giving an average payment of £6,771. As at the end of August 2018, 89 refunds for a total value of approximately £300,000 had been made, giving an average payment of £3,370.
- 2.6.6 A sample of 8 refunds was selected at random and reviewed. All refunds had been appropriately authorised, were supported by documentation and were calculated correctly. The correct amount had been paid to the correct payee, per documents supplied, and no interest payments were due. In one case the payment was not made timeously; the refund became due after Small Business Bonus relief was awarded on 6 February 2018 (having been applied for on 30 October 2017) but the payment was not actioned until 16 May 2018. In another a further payment had been made after liability ended which had yet to

be refunded, leaving the account in credit. If applications for relief and payments due are not processed promptly there is a risk of reputational damage and the Council may become liable for interest payments.

Recommendation

A reminder should be issued to staff in relation to expected processing times for relief applications and refunds.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

Implemented Revenues & Benefits Important within audited

Manager area

Suspense

- 2.6.7 Payments which cannot be allocated to an account are placed in a Suspense account in the NDR system. The Suspense account is reviewed every month through a report run by the Team Leader NDR & Aberdeen BID and transactions are investigated and cleared on a regular basis by the NDR and Bank Reconciliation teams working together. Reports were reviewed and seen to be run regularly. However, as at 3 September 2018, there was a balance of £70,044 in the Suspense account with transactions dating back to March 2010. Activity within the account showed more recent transactions have been investigated and cleared.
- 2.6.8 If suspended transactions are not investigated and cleared, accounts may appear to be in arrears when payments have been made. The longer a payment is held, the more difficult it will be to investigate and return the payment if it has been made in error.

Recommendation

The Service should work with Finance to identify the best way to investigate and clear Suspense transactions over 12 months old.

Service Response / Action

Agreed.

Implementation Date Responsible Officer Grading

January 2019 Revenues & Benefits Important within audited

Manager area

2.7 Arrears

Recovery

2.7.1 The Business Rates team run the Top Debts report on a monthly basis. This shows the recovery stage of every account. Reminders are sent to accounts in arrears at the end of August. A batch job is then run to create a Recovery report showing a list of accounts at Final Notice stage. This is manually checked for accounts where the Final Notice should be suppressed (e.g. business in liquidation, liabilities being appealed) and these are removed. Then the report is run again to generate the Final Notices, and these are sent to the Printing Service at Woodhill House. Should payment in full or by arrangement not be made by the set deadline then when the report is next run a summary warrant from the Sheriff Court will be requested and a 10% admin charge will be applied to the account. Accounts with arrangements are reported on and reviewed each month by the Team Leader – NDR & Aberdeen BID.

2.7.2 A sample of 8 accounts in arrears was selected from system reports and reviewed. The accounts selected were those in arrears from previous years. In all cases documents had been sent timeously and the appropriate recovery actions had been taken. Accounts had been debited with a surcharge where a warrant had been applied for and payment arrangements, where appropriate, had been put in place and were being monitored through regular reporting and correspondence recorded in URB. In one case, recovery had reached Final Notice then been put on hold as the liability was being disputed; this was being monitored. Accounts on hold are reviewed on a monthly basis through a report run by the Team Leader – NDR & Aberdeen BID. Reports were obtained and reviewed and seen to be run regularly; 45 accounts were shown in the August 2018 report and all had been given a reason for the suspension and scheduled for review.

Write Offs

- 2.7.3 Per Finance Regulations, NDR debts up to £25,000 per debtor may be written off under the authority of the Chief Officer Finance or Chief Officer Customer Experience (CE). Nominated officers, in this case the Revenues and Benefits Manager, may write off debts up to the value of £10,000 per debtor on the basis that a schedule is supplied to the Chief Officer CE at least every 6 months. Debts over £25,000 must be reported at least annually to the City Growth & Resources Committee with details of the debt and the reasons for recommending write-off; there are a limited number of reasons which will be accepted.
- 2.7.4 A write off form must be completed by NDR staff and authorised either by the Team Leader or Revenues and Benefits Manager, then recorded in URB. The write off will be recorded on Northgate and the balance reduced to zero. A spreadsheet is maintained throughout the year by the Team Leader NDR & Aberdeen BID and this is used to create a report by the Revenues and Benefits Manager for Committee at year end.
- 2.7.5 A sample of 8 written off debts was selected from system reports and reviewed. All written off debts were supported by the appropriate form and by evidence and were recommended for one of the reasons under the procedure after all recovery methods had been exhausted. The forms had been authorised appropriately and the write-offs had been properly approved, either by the Revenues and Benefits Manager or by Committee, and entered correctly into the system.

2.8 Reconciliations & Reporting

- 2.8.1 As described in 2.6.2 above, a daily reconciliation between the cash receipting system, the ledger and the NDR system is carried out by the Bank Reconciliation team and recorded in a spreadsheet. At the end of each month a Fund Analysis report is run for the whole month from the cash receipting system and this is used to double-check the figures entered in the spreadsheet. The reconciliation spreadsheets for April August 2018 were reviewed and seen to have been completed timeously, with reconciling differences explained.
- 2.8.2 A reconciliation is also carried out of properties in the NDR system to the local Assessor's database. A full extract of the local Assessors' database (the Valuation Roll Interfaces Report) is interfaced / uploaded to the system on a weekly basis, generally a Friday, which updates existing accounts and creates new accounts, including the rateable value. An Error Report is run following the interface to identify any mismatches and confirm that the numbers of properties in the NDR system and on the Valuation Roll reconcile. Errors are investigated and corrected so that the values reconcile. The NDR team follows a checklist which must be initialled at each stage to confirm completion and then countersigned by the Team Leader. Records of data received and input for August 2018 were reviewed

- and seen to be complete and timeously input, with new bills being generated the following week. Errors had been investigated and corrected promptly.
- 2.8.3 New and revised bills are issued on a weekly basis. As part of this process a reconciliation is carried out between the number of documents produced by the NDR system and the number confirmed as printed by Xerox. The reconciliation for the most recent run was provided to Internal Audit and seen to be complete.
- 2.8.4 A report showing all liabilities for the current year, known as the FOI report as it is produced to respond to Freedom of Information requests, is extracted as a spreadsheet every three months; confidential information is redacted, and the report is published on the Council website. Any changes throughout the year will be included.
- 2.8.5 The Council's performance reporting system is updated monthly with NDR amounts collected and collection rates, by the Revenues and Benefits Manager, which are available to the Director of Resources to review.
- 2.8.6 Collection rates are reported to the Improvement Service as part of the Local Government Benchmarking Framework and to CIPFA as part of the Directors of Finance Scottish Performance Indicators, where the Council was reported as having the eighth highest collection rate over the past 5 years, prior to 31 March 18, when compared to other Scottish Councils. This is in contrast to the previous audit when a report was regularly produced. The Service advised that this report had not been found useful and so had been discontinued.
- 2.8.7 Each year a confirmation of the actual collected rates is submitted to the Scottish Government so that the total can be contributed to the notional pool before being redistributed. The return, having been checked by External Audit, must be submitted by a set date, which for 2017/18 was 3 October 2018. It was submitted by the Revenues and Benefits Manager and confirmed as received by the Scottish Government on 21 September 2018.

AUDITORS: D Hughes

A Johnston L Jarvis

Appendix 1 – Grading of Recommendations

GRADE	DEFINITION
Major at a Corporate Level	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss, or loss of reputation, to the Council.
Major at a Service Level	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss to the Service/area audited. Financial Regulations have been consistently breached.
Significant within audited area	Addressing this issue will enhance internal controls.
	An element of control is missing or only partial in nature. The existence of the weakness identified has an impact on
	a system's adequacy and effectiveness. Financial Regulations have been breached.
Important within audited area	Although the element of internal control is satisfactory, a control weakness was identified, the existence of the weakness, taken independently or with other findings does not impair the overall system of internal control.

This page is intentionally left blank

ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE	Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee
DATE	4 December 2018
REPORT TITLE	Internal Audit Reports – Follow-up of Agreed Recommendations
REPORT NUMBER	IA/18/018
DIRECTOR	N/A
REPORT AUTHOR	David Hughes
TERMS OF REFERENCE	2.3

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 This report advises the Committee of progress made by Services with implementing recommendations that have been agreed in Internal Audit reports.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is requested to:

2.1 Review, discuss and comment on the issues raised within this report and the attached appendices.

3. BACKGROUND / MAIN ISSUES

- 3.1 The Public Sector Internal Audit Standards require that Internal Audit monitors the implementation of agreed recommendations until they are implemented by management. The Corporate Management Team and the Audit, Risk and Scrutiny Committee receive a report from Internal Audit at each of its meeting which shows progress made.
- 3.2 However, circumstances may change following completion of an Internal Audit. Having investigated implementation more fully, a recommendation may, for example, take longer to implement, or the cost of implementation may be higher, than originally anticipated. In these circumstances either more time may be required, or management may conclude, based on the risk to the organisation, that the recommendation should no longer be implemented. Where this is the case, management will make recommendations regarding how the agreed recommendation should be treated.
- 3.3 The attached appendices show progress made by Services with completing agreed Internal Audit recommendations, based on assurances received from officers tasked with their implementation and independent checks

Item: Page: 2

where appropriate. Where all recommendations contained in individual reports issued before 1 April 2018 have been completed, these are no longer shown in the appendices.

Where recommendations have not been completed by their original due date, reasons are provided along with recommendations from management (where appropriate) regarding how and when the agreed Internal Audit recommendation will be concluded or whether it should no longer be implemented.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no direct legal implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

6. MANAGEMENT OF RISK

6.1 The Internal Audit process considers risks involved in the areas subject to review. Any risk implications identified through the Internal Audit process are detailed in the resultant Internal Audit reports. Recommendations are made to address the identified risks and Internal Audit follows up progress with implementing those that are agreed with management. Those not implemented by their agreed due date are detailed in the attached appendices.

7. OUTCOMES

- 7.1 There are no direct impacts, as a result of this report, in relation to the Local Outcome Improvement Plan Themes of Prosperous Economy, People or Place, or Enabling Technology, or on the Design Principles of the Target Operating Model.
- 7.2 However, Internal Audit plays a key role in providing assurance over, and helping to improve, the Council's framework of governance, risk management and control. These arrangements, put in place by the Council, help ensure that the Council achieves its strategic objectives in a well-managed and controlled environment.

8. IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Assessment	Outcome
Equality & Human	An assessment is not required because the
Rights Impact	reason for this report is for Committee to
Assessment	review, discuss and comment on the outcome of an internal audit. As a result, there will be no differential impact, as a result of the proposals in this report, on people with protected characteristics.
Privacy Impact	Not required

Item: Page: 3

Assessment	
Duty of Due Regard / Fairer Scotland Duty	Not applicable

9. APPENDICES

- 9.1 Appendix A Position with Agreed Recommendations Summary.
- 9.2 Appendix B Position with Agreed Recommendations Cross Service.
- 9.3 Appendix C Position with Agreed Recommendations Commissioning.
- 9.4 Appendix D Position with Agreed Recommendations Customer.
- 9.5 Appendix E Position with Agreed Recommendations Operations.
- 9.6 Appendix F Position with Agreed Recommendations Resources.
- 9.7 Appendix G Position with Agreed Recommendations Health and Social Care Partnership.

10. REPORT AUTHOR DETAILS

David Hughes, Chief Internal Auditor David.Hughes@aberdeenshire.gov.uk (01467) 537861

<u>APPENDIX A – SUMMARY</u>

POSITION WITH AGREED RECOMMENDATIONS AS AT 21 NOVEMBER 2018

The following table provides a summary of progress being made by Services with completing agreed recommendations.

On 26 June 2018, the Committee was advised that, as at 12 September 2018, there were 37 recommendations which were due to have been completed by 31 July 2018 which were not fully complete. This has reduced to 28.

The total not fully complete, which had an original due date of before 30 September 2018, is 41. Full details relating to progress, on a report by report basis, are shown in appendices B to G.

SERVICE	Agreed in reports shown in Appendices B to G	Due for completion by 31.07.18	Confirmed complete by Service	New in August and September 2018	Confirmed complete by Service	Not fully complete by original due date	Major	Significant	Important
Cross Service	28	28	27	0	0	1	0	1	0
Commissioning	25	25	19	0	0	6	0	6	0
Customer	29	8	8	8	7	1	1	0	0
Operations	100	74	66	17	8	17	3	7	7
Resources	84	50	38	4	4	12	0	11	1
Health & Social Care	62	48	47	9	6	4	0	4	0
Total	328	233	205	38	25	41	4	29	8

KEY TO COLOURING USED IN FOLLOWING APPENDICES

Recommendation Grading:

Grading	Definition
Major	The absence of, or failure to comply with, an appropriate internal control which could result in, for example, a material financial loss, or loss of reputation. Financial Regulations have been consistently breached.
Significant	Addressing this issue will enhance internal controls. An element of control is missing or only partial in nature. The existence of the weakness identified has an impact on a system's adequacy and effectiveness. Financial Regulations have been breached.
Important	Although the element of internal control is satisfactory, a control weakness was identified, the existence of the weakness, taken independently or with other findings does not impair the overall system of internal control.

Length of time overdue

Over 12 months
6 to 12 months
Less than 6 months

APPENDIX B CROSS SERVICE

					Nu	umber of Recomn	nendations	
Report Number	Report Ti	tle	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations
AC1623	Complia Procurer Legislati	ment	June 2016	28	28	27	1	1 Significant
The positi	ion with the	e overdue recomn	nendation is a	s follows:				
Chief Office	ef Officer Recommendation Gra		Recommendation		Position			
Integrated Children's Family Se	s and	The Service shot that spend on so which are likely by more than or forecast so that Committee appretendering can both for aggregate specific specif	upplies to be used ne school is appropriate roval and e completed	Significant September 2016	 by March 207 Committee as being taken. Committee as complete by Committee as June 2018. Committee as complete by The latest update 	17. dvised on 22 Jund dvised on 26 Sep March 2018. dvised on 8 May 2 dvised on 25 Sep November 2018. e from the Service vill be submitted to	rember 2016 that this e 2017 that a different etember 2017 that this 2018 that this would be etember 2018 that this etember 2018 that this exist that relevant busing to Strategic Commission	t approach was would be be complete by would be ess cases on

APPENDIX C COMMISSIONING

					Ni	ımber of Recomn	nondations		
Report Number	Report Ti	tle	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations	
AC1621	ALEOs		February 2016	10	10	6	4	4 Significant	
The positi	ion with the	e overdue recomn	nendations is	as follows:					
Chief Offi	cer	Recommendation	on	Grading / Due Date	Position				
Commerc Procurem		Services should ensure that there is an up to date Service Level Agreement with each ALEO (2.2.6 (a))		Significant June 2017	 Committee advised on 26 September 2017 that this would be complete by August 2018. Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that reports were scheduled to go to the Strategic Commissioning Committee in 				
Commerc Procurem	ercial & Services should ensure all Significant September 2018 instructing the Chief Officer of Services to review and amond Services to review and se			ew and amend SLAs wased and contain robused implement a standar which ALEOs will be re	vith ALEOs to st contract rd annual				
Commerc Procurem		Services should financial clause: accurately reflect partners' intentici(i))	l ensure s in all SLAs ct the	Significant June 2017					

			Number of Recommendations				
Report	Report Title	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of
Number		Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue
				by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations

(AC1621 – ALEO	s – Continued)		
Chief Officer	Recommendation	Grading / Due Date	Position
Commercial & Procurement	Services should ensure all material ALEO SLAs contain	Significant	As above.
	a requirement for Internal Audit arrangements, and	June 2017	
	facilitate reporting of assurance gained through		
	these arrangements to the Council (2.4.11)		

				Number of Recommendations					
Report	Report Titl	e	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of	
Number			Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue	
					by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations	
						-			
AC1722	ALEOs -	Management	August	15	15	13	2	2 Significant	
	by Service		2017						
The posit	The position with the overdue recommendations is as follows:								
Chief Offi	icer	Recommendati	on	Grading / Due Date	Position				

Chief Officer	Recommendation	Grading / Due Date	Position
Commercial & Procurement	Education and Children's Services should review options for performance management within future ALEO agreements (2.4.3)	Significant September 2017	 Committee advised on 23 November 2017 that this was being reported to the Finance, Policy and Resources Committee in December 2017. Committee advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be complete by June 2018. Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that reports are scheduled to go to the Strategic Commissioning Committee in September 2018 instructing the Chief Officer Commercial and Procurement Services to: review and amend SLAs with ALEOs to ensure they are outcomefocused and contain robust contract management measures and to implement a standard annual performance report template, which ALEOs will be required to submit annually for performance monitoring purposes.

			Number of Recommendations				
Report	Report Title	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of
Number		Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue
				by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations

Chief Officer	Recommendation	Grading / Due Date	Position
Chief Finance Officer (ACH&SCP)	The Health and Social Care Partnership should review options for performance management within future ALEO agreements (2.4.3)	Significant July 2018	Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that this will not be complete until January 2019. The latest update from the Service is that the current contract with Bon Accord Care has been extended to 31 March 2019. The refreshed contract will focus on outcomes and each outcome will have a set of performance measures against it. A series of workshops and "task and finish" groups have been set up to identify and agree relevant measures for each outcome. Thereafter, there will be regular performance review meetings.

APPENDIX D CUSTOMER

				Nu	umber of Recomn	nendations	
Report Number	Report Title	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations
AC1822	YourHR	February	8	5	5	0	0
A01022	Tourne	2018		3	3	· ·	
AC1825	Housing Support Budget	April 2018	8	7	7	0	0
		•	•				
AC1905	Universal Credit and Housing Rent	August 2018	6	2	2	0	0
		<u>.</u>	•				
AC1909	Timesheets and Allowances	August 2018	7	2	1	1	1 Major

The position with the overdue recommendations is as follows:

		1	
Chief Officer	Recommendation	Grading /	Position
		Due Date	
Operations and Protective Services	The Service should put arrangements in place that demonstrate compliance with the Working Time Regulations regarding required rest breaks (2.6.5)	September 2018	The Service has advised that a review has commenced of the details of the Business Case and associated documentation with regard to the renegotiation of the craftworkers terms and conditions. This review is being currently being carried out by the service with support from HR. Once the review of the business case has been completed consideration will be given to the proposed re-negotiation of the Craft Workers Terms and Conditions. A review of the Business Case has been carried out and a draft updated Business Case has been completed. It is intended to finalise the Business Case and progress this to CMT.

APPENDIX E OPERATIONS

			1	ı						
D	D	1.	Date			ımber of Recomr				
Report	Report Tit	ile	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of		
Number			Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue		
					by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations		
				T						
AC1602		rkers Terms	October	9	9	5	4	1 Major		
AW	and Cond	ditions	2015					3 Significant		
The posit	tion with the	overdue recomr	mendations is	as follows:						
Chief Offi	Chief Officer Recommendation The Service should			Grading / Due Date	Position					
	Operations and The Service sho		ould	Major	Committee advised on 27 September 2016 that this would be					
Protective	e Services	renegotiate the	•		complete b	by December 201	6.			
		based on curre	nt working	June 2016	 Committee 	advised on 23 F	ebruary 2017 that this	s would be		
		practice (2.2.6)			complete a	as soon as possib	ole in 2017.			
					 Committee 	advised on 22 J	une 2017 that this wo	uld be complete in		
					the near fu					
					I	e advised on 26 S by June 2018.	September 2017 that the	his would be		
						•	September 2018 that the	his is to be subject		
							ed in the work-plan for			
					I	tion programme.	•	,		
							view has commenced			
					I		d documentation with i	_		
					•		ms and conditions. Th	O		
					, ,	,	service with support fr	ı		
					I		een completed consid	ı		
					, 0	<u> </u>	on of the Craft Worker	ı		
							ess Case has been ca			
							been completed. It is	intended to finalise		
					the Business Cas	se and progress t	TIIS LO CIVIT.			

					Number of Recommendations					
Report Report T Number		le	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations		
Chief Officer R		Recommendation		Grading / Due Date	Position					
(AC1602A	W – Craft	Workers Terms a	and Conditions	s – Continued)						
Operations and Protective Services		The Service should complete the roll out of the hand held system to ensure that periods of stand-by are covered (2.3.13)		Significant June 2016	Updates are show	vn against recom	mendation 2.2.6, abo	ve.		
Operations and Protective Services		The Service should consider whether such payments remain appropriate (2.3.14)		Significant June 2016						
Operations and Protective Services		The Service should consider whether calls that have been cancelled within a short period of having been lodged should be verified to confirm the identity of the caller (2.3.16)		Significant June 2016						

					Nu	umber of Recomr	mendations			
Report Report To		le	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations		
AC1705	Roads Pa	s Payroll August 2016		22	21	20	1	1 Important		
The position with the overdue recommendation is a				s follows:						
Chief Officer Recommendation Operations and The Service should			ion	Grading / Due Date	Position					
Operations and Protective Services		options to ensurelevant duties supervision, acand vehicle chacompleted with hours (2.2.13)	ure all (including Iministration ecks) can be	February 2017	December Committee complete be Committee complete be The Service has a the Business Cas negotiation of the currently being ca review of the busi given to the proportions. A rev	2017. e advised on 22 Foy August 2018. e advised on 25 Soy December 201 advised that a revise and associated craftworkers terrarried out by the siness case has be used re-negotiation view of the Business Case has lessiness Case has less lessiness case has less	view has commenced documentation with a ms and conditions. The service with support from the completed considers of the Craft Worker ess Case has been cabeen completed. It is	of the details of regard to the re- nis review is being om HR. Once the eration will be restricted out and a		

					Number of Recommendations					
Report	Report Tit	le	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of		
Number			Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue		
					by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations		
AC1808	Vehicle Maintenance October 2017		20	20	13	7	1 Major 2 Significant 4 Important			
The position with the ov		overdue recom	mendations is	as follows:						
Chief Officer		Recommendation		Grading / Due Date	Position					
Operations and Protective Services		The Service should ensure that Service Level Agreements are in place between Fleet with all Services for which the maintenance and servicing of vehicles is undertaken, and that these are reviewed periodically (2.3.2)		April 2018	by July 20° Committee have now s Audit was have been	18. advised on 25 S signed and returr awaiting confirma signed and retur	une 2018 that these was eptember 2018 that so ned Service Level Agration that all Service Land.	ome Services eements. Internal evel Agreements		
Operations and Protective Services				Significant April 2018	The Service has advised that this is unlikely to be achieved until April to allow for a review of the Fleet Management System.					

				Number of Recommendations					
Report Number	Report Tit	Report Title		Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations	
						,			
Chief Officer Recomm		Recommendation	on	Grading / Due Date	Position				
(AC1808	– Vehicle N	Maintenance – Co	ontinued)						
Protective Services		The Service should ensure that Job Checklist cards are completed (2.4.9)		Important			s unlikely to be achied anagement System.	ved until April 2019	
Trotective dervices				April 2018			g ,		
Operation		The Service should clarify		Important					
Protective Services		the charging policy to staff (2.4.10)		April 2018					
Operation	ns and	The Service sho	ould review	Important					
Operations and Protective Services		The Service should review system or other options to obtain assurance over all sources of rechargeable income and returns (2.4.11)		April 2018					

					Number of Recommendations						
Report	Report Tit	tle	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of			
Number			Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue			
					by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations			
Chief Offi	cer	Recommendation	n	Grading /	Position						
			Due Date								
(AC1808 – Vehicle Maintenance – Continued)		ntinued)									
,											
Operations and The Service shou		uld ensure	Major	The Service has a	advised that this i	s unlikely to be achiev	ved until April				
•		that Procuremer	nt Standing		2019. Contracts	need to be put in	place with relevant su	uppliers and			
		Orders are adhe	red to	April 2018	training is require	d in relation to the	e full suite of Procurer	ment Regulations.			
		(2.7.5)		·	The Service is wo	orking with Comm	ercial and Procureme	ent Services to			
					achieve this.						
Operation	and and	The Comice she	uld opouro	Cignificant							
Operation		The Service sho		Significant							
Protective	e Services	purchase orders	_	1 0046							
		detail of costs ar		April 2018							
		are raised and a									
		advance of work									
		being ordered (2	2.7.8)								

					Nι	umber of Recomm	nendations	
Report	•			Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of
Number	Number		Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue
					by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations
AC1817	AC1817 Vehicle Usage		January 2018	8	3	2	1	1 Important
The positi	ion with the	overdue recomm	nendation is a	s follows:				
Chief Office	Chief Officer Recommendation		on	Grading / Due Date	Position			
Operation Protective	s and Services	Fleet should have of relevant driving	_	Important			are currently address he provider of the ser	
	(2.1.11)			September 2018	resolving this by c	January 2019.		
AC1803	AC1803 Health and Safety - Septem 2017		September 2017	17	16	16	0	0

Number Issued Report implementation by 30.09.18 by Service by 30.09.18 by Service AC1811 Placing Requests November 9 8 6 2 1.5	Grading of overdue ommendations Significant I Important					
Number Issued Report Implementation by 30.09.18 Implemented by Service Ser	overdue ommendations Significant					
AC1811 Placing Requests November 2017 9 8 6 2 1.8 Progress with the overdue recommendations is as follows: Chief Officer Recommendation Grading / Due Date Integrated Children's and Family Services Placing request process (2.1.3) Description Position Grading / Due Date Significant January 2018. Significant January 2018. Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.	Significant					
AC1811 Placing Requests November 2017 9 8 6 2 13 Progress with the overdue recommendations is as follows: Chief Officer Recommendation Grading / Due Date Position Integrated Children's and Family Services The Service should prepare detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) Significant January 2018. • Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be committee advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.	Significant					
Progress with the overdue recommendations is as follows: Chief Officer Recommendation Oue Date Integrated Children's and Family Services The Service should prepare detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) Position Committee advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be considered advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.						
Progress with the overdue recommendations is as follows: Chief Officer Recommendation Oue Date Integrated Children's and Family Services The Service should prepare detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) Position Committee advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be considered advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.						
Progress with the overdue recommendations is as follows: Chief Officer Recommendation Grading / Due Date Integrated Children's and Family Services The Service should prepare detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) Formula is as follows: Grading / Due Date Significant July 2018. Committee advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be considered advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.	Important					
Chief Officer Recommendation Grading / Due Date Integrated Children's and Family Services The Service should prepare detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) Family Services Grading / Due Date Significant July 2018. January 2018 Committee advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be considered advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.						
Chief Officer Recommendation Grading / Due Date Integrated Children's and Family Services The Service should prepare detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) Grading / Due Date Significant July 2018. January 2018 Grading / Due Date Significant July 2018. Committee advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be considered advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.						
Integrated Children's and Family Services The Service should prepare detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) Due Date Significant July 2018. Committee advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be considered advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.						
Integrated Children's and Family Services The Service should prepare detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) Significant July 2018. January 2018 that this would be considered advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be considered advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.						
Children's and Family Services detailed procedures for the placing request process (2.1.3) January 2018. Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.						
Family Services placing request process (2.1.3) January 2018 Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be by January 2019.	complete by					
(2.1.3) by January 2019.						
	be complete					
Integrated The Service should Important • Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be						
Integrated The Service should Important • Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be						
Integrated The Service should Important • Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that these would be						
	be complete					
Children's and rationalise application forms by January 2019.						
Family Services used to a single form. The April 2018						
Service will implement a						
new system which will						
enable this, but is						
dependent on prioritisation of IT transformation projects						
(2.2.1)						
\\\(\alpha.\alpha.1)						
AC1826 Out of Authority April 6 6 0						
Placements 2018	0					

					Nι	umber of Recomm	nendations		
Report Number	Report Tit	tle	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations	
AC1829	Stores P	urchasing	April 2018	9	8	6	2	1 Major 1 Significant	
The position with the overdue recommendation is a			s follows:		I				
Chief Offi	Chief Officer Recommendation		on	Grading / Due Date	Position				
Operations and Protective Services		The Service should ensure that spend with off contract Suppliers (due to transitional arrangements) is monitored, in lieu of new contractual arrangements being put in place, with appropriate action being taken where expenditure is noted as excessive (2.1.7)		Significant September 2018	There has been n	no update from the	e Service.		
Operation Protective	ns and e Services	The Service, in with Commercial Procurement Se should develop implement a for procurement to future tendering are completed procure expiry of existin (2.2.12.b)	al and ervices, and ward plan for ensure that exercises orior to the	September 2018	There has been n	o update from the	e Service.		

APPENDIX F RESOURCES

					Nι	umber of Recomn	nendations		
Report	Report Tit	le	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of	
Number	lumber		Issued	Report	implementation by 30.09.18	Implemented by Service	by original due date	overdue recommendations	
AC1714	C1714 Land and Buildings February 2017		9	9	8	1	1 Significant		
Progress with the overdue recommendation is detail			iled below:						
Chief Offi	cer	Recommendation		Grading / Due Date	Position				
Corporate	Landlord	Housing stock numbers held in the above systems should		Significant	 Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that progress with this being discussed with the Chief Officer – Corporate Landlord. 				
		be reconciled prior to providing Estates with a record of properties to be		May 2018	σε συν				
		revalued (2.7.6)							

			1							
						umber of Recomn				
Report	Report Ti	tle	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of		
Number			Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue		
					by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations		
AC1805	Attendance August		August	9	9	5	4	3 Significant		
	Managen	nent	2017					1 Important		
The posit	ion with the	e overdue recomn	nendations is	as follows:				'		
ino poon		, overade 1000mm		ao ionomo.						
Chief Off	icer	Recommendation	on	Grading /	Position					
	Treservine		•	Due Date						
People a	People and The Service should review		ould review.	Important	Committee	advised on 8 Ma	y 2018 that this would	d be complete by		
Organisa		and update where			 Committee advised on 8 May 2018 that this would be complete by September 2018. 					
3		necessary, the Maximising		February	•		September 2018 t	hat this would be		
		Attendance poli		2018			approved by Comm			
		and training (2.1		2010	2018).	ao	approved by comm	11100 (1 11010111001		
			,		2010).					
					The latest update	from the Service	e is that the Policy wa	as approved by the		
							November 2018 for in			
							working on the nee	•		
							and communications			
							anagement briefings			
					during the early	part of 2019 wit	h completion anticipa	ated by the end of		
					January 2019.			-		
					-					
People a	nd	All Services sho		Significant	As for recommen	dation 2.1.3, abov	ve.			
Organisa	tion	reminded of the		14 1 22 12						
		requirements in		March 2018						
		recording abser								
		management ad								
		need to be take								
		employee return	ns to work							
		(2.2.9)								

			Number of Recommendations						
Report	Report Title	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of		
Number		Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue		
				by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations		

(AC1805 – Attendance Management – Continued)									
Chief Officer	Recommendation	Grading / Due Date	Position						
People and Organisation	Once the training on the Maximising Attendance policy has been reviewed and updated as necessary, HR should promote its completion amongst managers (2.3.4a)	Significant March 2018	As for recommendation 2.1.3, above.						
People and Organisation	HR should continue to work with Services to ensure that all staff are aware of how to access guidance and support when administering the Maximising Attendance Policy (2.3.4b)	Significant March 2018	As for recommendation 2.1.3, above.						

					Nı	umber of Recomn	nendations			
Report Number	Report Tit	tle	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations		
AC1806 The posit	6 Corporate Landlord September 2017 September 2017		9 as follows:	9	5	4	4 Significant			
Chief Offi	Chief Officer Recommendation		on	Grading / Due Date	Position					
Corporate	e Landlord	Asset Management should work with the Health & Safety Team to align Service and Corporate Policies (2.2.6)		Significant March 2018		e advised on 25 S by December 201	eptember 2018 that tl 8.	nis would be		
Corporate Landlord		The Service should formalise the procedures used to demonstrate compliance with its Corporate Landlord Responsibilities and other cyclical maintenance activities (2.2.3)		Significant June 2018	Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that this would be complete by October 2018.					

			Number of Recommendations						
Report	Report Title	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of		
Number		Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue		
				by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations		

Chief Officer	Recommendation	Grading / Due Date	Position
Corporate Landlord	The Service should ensure data regarding its Corporate Landlord Responsibilities is maintained centrally, accurately and up to date	Significant June 2018	Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that this would be complete by October 2018.
ı	(2.3.8)		
Corporate Landlord	The Service should ensure it has assurance that all	Significant	 Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that this would be complete by October 2018.
	property in which the Council has an interest is statutorily compliant (2.3.13)	June 2018	

				Nu Nu	ımber of Recomn	nendations			
Report Number	Report Title	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations		
AC1814	Travel Costs November 2017		13	12	9	3	3 Significant		
The position with the overdue recommendations is as follows:									
Chief Office	Chief Officer Recommendat		Grading / Due Date	Position					
Finance	place to most of of trave advan- given to journe Counce cost ec	Procedures should be put in place to ensure that the most cost effective method of travel is approved in advance with consideration given to restricting claims for journeys outwith the Council's boundaries to the cost equivalent of the cheapest option (2.2.9)		 Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that revised timescales for completion of the review had not yet been determined. Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that this would be complete by November 2018. The latest update from the Service is that the Staff Governance Commit deferred consideration of the Staff Travel Policy to its January 2019 meeting to allow for consultation with the Trade Unions. This action will now be complete by February 2019. 					
Finance	foreigr review	•		 Committee advised on 26 June 2018 that revised timescales to completion of the review had not yet been determined. Committee advised on 25 September 2018 that this would be complete by November 2018. The latest update from the Service is that the Staff Governance Condeferred consideration of the Staff Travel Policy to its January 2019 in to allow for consultation with the Trade Unions. This action will recomplete by February 2019. 			nined. his would be ernance Committee nuary 2019 meeting		

					Nı	umber of Recomn	nendations	
Report Number	Report Ti	Report Title		Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations
(AC1814	– Travel C	osts – Continued)	1					
Chief Offi	icer	Recommendation	on	Grading / Due Date	Position			
Finance	Finance Services shoreminded to travel application forwarded to Team as soot to ensure that travel arrangemade (2.6.6)		sure that ns are e Travel as possible ost effective	Significant March 2018	completion Committeecomplete b The latest updatedeferred consider	of the review had advised on 25 S by November 201 from the Service ration of the Staff ultation with the	une 2018 that revised d not yet been determ eptember 2018 that the 8. is that the Staff Gove Travel Policy to its Jar Trade Unions. This	nined. nis would be ernance Committee nuary 2019 meeting
AC1818	Capital P	Plan	April 2018	7	7	7	0	0
AC1819	Capital Contracts February 2018		8	4	4	0	0	
AC1823	Page 123 Fixed Asset Register August 2018		7	2	2	0	0	
AC1901			August 2018	5	0	0	0	0

			Number of Recommendations						
Report	Report Title	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of		
Number		Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue		
				by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations		
AC1902	Debtors System	August	14	2	2	0	0		
	_	2018							
AC1906	VAT	August	3	0	0	0	0		
		2018							

<u>APPENDIX G</u>

HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE PARTNERSHIP

					Nι	ımber of Recomn	nendations		
Report Number	Report Tit	le	Date Issued	Agreed in Report	Due for implementation by 30.09.18	Confirmed Implemented by Service	Not implemented by original due date	Grading of overdue recommendations	
AC1617	Self-Direc	self-Directed Support Octo 2016		21	21	20	1	1 Significant	
Progress	with the over	erdue recommer	dations is det	ailed below:					
Chief Offi	Chief Officer Recommendatio		on	Grading / Due Date	Position				
and Trans	Head of Strategy and Transformation (ACC H&SCP) The Service sho and implement to Contributing to Note and Support Police guidance (2.4.2)		the Your Care licy and	Significant March 2017	October 20 Committee complete be Committee September Committee	017. e advised on 23 N by April 2018. e advised on 8 Ma r 2018.	une 2017 that this work lovember 2017 that the ay 2018 that this would September 2018 t	is would be	
AC1801	1801 Adult Client Transport September 2017		13	12	12	0	0		
AC1813	AC1813 Financial Assessments February 2018		February 2018	15	13	13	0	0	

			T		. .	. (5	1.0			
_ ,		a.				umber of Recomn				
Report	Report Ti	tle	Date	Agreed in	Due for	Confirmed	Not implemented	Grading of		
Number			Issued	Report	implementation	Implemented	by original due	overdue		
					by 30.09.18	by Service	date	recommendations		
104000	0 11		·	10	1 44			0.0: :0: 1		
AC1828	Care Mai	nagement	April 2018	13	11	8	3	3 Significant		
The posit	tion with the	e overdue recomn	nendations is	as follows:						
Chief Off	icer	Recommendation	on	Grading /	Position					
				Due Date						
	Chief Finance The Service shou			Significant	The Service has advised that CareFirst Training Notes are updated and a					
Officer (I	JB)	standardise and simplify			timetable for reviewing the individual Practice Notes on a rolling					
		their written pro		September	programme is to be arranged by Business Support, in liaison with Adult Social Care's Management Team. This programme will be agreed in					
		ensure all staff l		2018	 	nagement Leam.	This programme will	be agreed in		
		to them. (Revise			December 2018.					
		and share with practitioners making it clear what should be recorded on system and								
		when) (2.1.1)	System and							
Chief Fin	ance	The Service sho	nuld ensure	Significant	The Service has advised that progress has been made in relation to					
Officer (I		arrangements for		Olgrinicarit	budgeting and this work will be complete by April 2019. Some Option 2					
Omoci (ii	00)	managing paym		September						
		CareFirst, and r		2018	payments that have a direct correlation to commissioned services, and catherefore be accurately budgeted, are now being managed via CareFirst.					
		third party use of		2010	 	, ,	rst as soon as the wor			
		developed for S	•		complete.	•		3 3		
		(SDS Board act			•					
		(2.3.5)	. ,							
Chief Fin	ance	The Service sho		Significant			lowing the reviews thi			
Officer (I.	JB)	conclude the re-			to the Aberdeen City Integration Joint Board in January 2019.					
		residential prop		September						
		in conjunction w	ith Housing	2018						
		(2.3.14)								